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Abstract

The project contends that explicit appropriation can be a legitimate method of architectural production. The scope encompasses four canonical works of architecture: Villa Rotonda, Villa Savoye, Fallingwater, and the Farnsworth House. These works are appropriated as the basis of a retrospective analysis and as the foundation for a speculative, generative design strategy.

Following the height of postmodernism, the notion of explicit formal appropriation was characterized in a negative light, seen as inauthentic imitation. However, an increasing number of contemporary artists and architects are utilizing explicit appropriation and historical reference as a primary method of production. This mode of thinking can perhaps be traced to our contemporary network culture, where all material is appropriated, copied, pasted, and rehashed. Through appropriation, fidelity to the original is lost, and the intention for producing the copy becomes embedded in the product itself. Rather than postmodern, this project’s strategy of appropriation identifies with the atemporality of network culture. We are challenged by the notion of origin and, therefore, originality. Elements are freely appropriated from history and seamlessly incorporated into new contexts.

Within this network culture, society is also consuming imagery at an ever more rapid pace, bombarded with images that discard history, context, and meaning. We are becoming more numb to form, and as we search for gratification through newness, everything has begun to look the same. The appropriation of form without content has become easier, encouraging an uncritical consumption and production of design. Exposure to high volumes of imagery has overtaken any critical, extended engagement with a single project. Projects are categorized and homogenized, denying a more critical and overarching understanding of architecture.

The project manifests through two types of representation. Orthographic drawings fulfill the project’s intention of rigorous analysis and comparison. Through the flattening and abstraction achieved through orthographic projection, the drawings emphasize the seamless formal integration of the works. Logics inherent to the works are discovered once more as the projects are re-diagrammed, juxtaposed, and remade. Secondly, the project goes beyond abstract comparisons through the usage of quasi-realist representation. It utilizes appropriation as a generative method towards the creation of new spatial conditions. Here, the project begins to speculate on how contemporary culture might appropriate these past works. It attempts to exacerbate the dissonance between icon and reality and examine the dissemination of ideas from high-brow to mass culture.

The project serves as a critique of the traditional understanding of architectural authorship and the contemporary production and consumption of architecture.
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Chapter 1

Relevance

This project critiques the traditional notion of architectural authorship. Architecture has largely retained the outdated idealization of architect as sole artist and author. Critics praise what makes a work different and distinct, and we still assess value of architecture based on authorship and the traditional methods of assigning economic value to art. This adherence to individual authorship is problematic; architecture has no single author. In reality, all products of culture, including architecture, are primarily advanced through the appropriation and regurgitating of ideas. In fact, it can be argued that all architectural works express evidence of past precedent.

Following the height of postmodernism, the notion of any explicit formal appropriation was characterized in a negative light, seen as inauthentic pastiche. However, an increasing number of contemporary artists and architects are utilizing explicit appropriation and historical reference as a primary method of production. Historical material has become the basis for new interpretation and arrangement. This perhaps can be traced back to the culture of the internet and networking technologies, a network culture, where all material is appropriated, copied, pasted, and rehashed. In every case of appropriation, the resultant would likely be different. Rather than postmodern, this strategy identifies with the atemporality of network culture. Unlike in previous decades, the naming of eras has largely been discontinued since the beginning of this culture. It suggests that
with our immediate and nearly unbounded access to information, we can no longer situate ourselves within a broader historical structure. We are challenged by the notion of origin and, therefore, originality. Elements are freely appropriated from history and seamlessly incorporated into new contexts.

Concurrently, in this network culture, society is consuming imagery at an ever more rapid pace, bombarded with images that discard history, context, and meaning. We are becoming more numb to form, and as we search for gratification through newness, everything has begun to look the same. Digital form-making has also made the appropriation of form without content easier, encouraging an uncritical consumption and production of design. Exposure to high volumes of imagery has overtaken any critical, extended engagement with a single project. Exacerbated by our instant consumption of imagery, architecture is more quickly pigeonholed. Projects are grouped based on style or time, homogenizing bodies of work and excluding a more critical and overarching understanding of architecture.

This project explores the issues of appropriation, authorship, and the contemporary production and consumption of architecture. The scope encompasses four canonical works of architecture: Villa Rotonda, Villa Savoye, Fallingwater, and the Farnsworth House. These works are appropriated as the basis of a retrospective analysis and as the foundation for a speculative, generative design strategy. The project contends that explicit appropriation can be a legitimate method of architectural production.
Chapter 2
Objectives

The project employs explicit appropriation as a method of architectural design. The scope encompasses four canonical works of architecture: Villa Rotonda, Villa Savoye, Fallingwater, and the Farnsworth House. The four works offered the iconicity and heavy historical associations that were necessary to the project. They also offered the variety in formal parameters that would allow for a productive juxtaposition. Additionally, the works all have an established relationship to appropriation already, whether as the product of appropriation itself, or as the subject of later appropriation. The project separates these canonical forms from their cultural significance in order to test form-making strategies in a context-less environment.

The project is both retrospective and projective. It manifests through two types of representation in order to fully achieve its intended goals. Traditional orthographic drawings fulfill the project’s intention of rigorous analysis and comparison. Through the unavoidable flattening and abstraction achieved through orthographic projection, the drawings emphasize the seamless formal integration of the works. In this sense, it aims to revisit past projects in order expand the understanding of the works. Logics inherent to the works are discovered once more as the projects are re-diagrammed, juxtaposed, and remade. Ideas previously thought to have been dissimilar are illustrated to be much more alike. The appropriated forms are initially devoid of meaning and context, but in
appropriating the form of these iconic, revered works, the project forces the viewer to bring personal understanding and imparted meaning into the experience. In line with the notion of atemporality, the project appropriates from different styles/time periods/lines of thought, ignoring “labels” and pre-conceived associations in order to challenge these specific understandings and encourage a more complex understanding of the project.

Additionally, the project goes beyond abstract comparisons through the usage of quasi-realist representation. It utilizes appropriation as a generative method towards the creation of new spatial conditions. Through the atemporality of network culture, past forms are re-earthed for further design. It is a form of repetition that challenges the notion of origin and originality. It does not attempt to create something wholly new, but rather to make anew that which we already know. A productive way to understand appropriation may be through the process of creating the copy. The process of appropriation often means that fidelity to the original is lost, and the intention for producing the copy inevitably becomes embedded in the product itself. Therefore, the product of appropriation need not be a direct simulation, but rather something radically new, with additional layers of complexity. The combination of unrelated forms results in a hybrid that was previously unimaginable. Here, the project begins to speculate on how contemporary culture might appropriate these past works. It attempts to exacerbate the dissonance between icon and reality and examine the dissemination of ideas from high-brow to mass culture.
Chapter 3

Methods

Formal Parameters

The initial research methodology for the case studies consisted of diagrammed analysis of formal parameters. This process identified the distinct conditions and elements inherent to the projects. The *formal parameters* were: spatial relationships, spatial organization, form-making, volumetric compositions, and relationship between building and ground.
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Methods of Defamiliarization

These identified formal parameters were then appropriated and redeployed through different *methods of defamiliarization*: displacement, figuration, scalar shift, volume reconfiguration, and hybridization. These methods allowed for the productive generation of new form.
### Site Displacement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Displacement</th>
<th>Villa Rotonda</th>
<th>Fallingwater</th>
<th>Villa Savoye</th>
<th>Farnsworth House</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placed on the site of...</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda on Villa Rotonda site</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda on Fallingwater site</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda on Villa Savoye site</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda on Farnsworth House site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallingwater</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda on Villa Rotonda site</td>
<td>Fallingwater on Fallingwater site</td>
<td>Fallingwater on Villa Savoye site</td>
<td>Fallingwater on Farnsworth House site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villa Savoye</td>
<td>Villa Savoye on Villa Rotonda site</td>
<td>Villa Savoye on Fallingwater site</td>
<td>Villa Savoye on Villa Savoye site</td>
<td>Villa Savoye on Farnsworth House site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farnsworth House</td>
<td>Farnsworth House on Villa Rotonda site</td>
<td>Farnsworth House on Fallingwater site</td>
<td>Farnsworth House on Villa Savoye site</td>
<td>Farnsworth House on Farnsworth House site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figuration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figuration</th>
<th>Alteration of shape through...</th>
<th>Planar Figuration</th>
<th>Planar Defiguration</th>
<th>Volumetric Figuration</th>
<th>Volumetric Defiguration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Villa Rotonda</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda altered through Planar Figuration</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda altered through Planar Defiguration</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda altered through Volumetric Figuration</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda altered through Volumetric Defiguration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallingwater</td>
<td>Fallingwater altered through Planar Figuration</td>
<td>Fallingwater altered through Planar Defiguration</td>
<td>Fallingwater altered through Volumetric Figuration</td>
<td>Fallingwater altered through Volumetric Defiguration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villa Savoye</td>
<td>Villa Savoye altered through Planar Figuration</td>
<td>Villa Savoye altered through Planar Defiguration</td>
<td>Villa Savoye altered through Volumetric Figuration</td>
<td>Villa Savoye altered through Volumetric Defiguration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farnsworth House</td>
<td>Farnsworth House altered through Planar Figuration</td>
<td>Farnsworth House altered through Planar Defiguration</td>
<td>Farnsworth House altered through Volumetric Figuration</td>
<td>Farnsworth House altered through Volumetric Defiguration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scalar Shift

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scalar Shift</th>
<th>Exhibiting isolated cases of...</th>
<th>Lateral Compression</th>
<th>Lateral Expansion</th>
<th>Vertical Compression</th>
<th>Vertical Expansion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Villa Rotonda</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda with cases of Lateral Compression</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda with cases of Lateral Expansion</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda with cases of Vertical Compression</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda with cases of Vertical Expansion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallingwater</td>
<td>Fallingwater with cases of Lateral Compression</td>
<td>Fallingwater with cases of Lateral Expansion</td>
<td>Fallingwater with cases of Vertical Compression</td>
<td>Fallingwater with cases of Vertical Expansion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villa Savoye</td>
<td>Villa Savoye with cases of Lateral Compression</td>
<td>Villa Savoye with cases of Lateral Expansion</td>
<td>Villa Savoye with cases of Vertical Compression</td>
<td>Villa Savoye with cases of Vertical Expansion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farnsworth House</td>
<td>Farnsworth House with cases of Lateral Compression</td>
<td>Farnsworth House with cases of Lateral Expansion</td>
<td>Farnsworth House with cases of Vertical Compression</td>
<td>Farnsworth House with cases of Vertical Expansion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Volume Reconfiguration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume Reconfiguration</th>
<th>Exhibiting isolated cases of...</th>
<th>Vertical Displacement</th>
<th>Horizontal Displacement</th>
<th>Rotational Displacement</th>
<th>Reflected Displacement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Villa Rotonda</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda with cases of Vertical Displacement</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda with cases of Horizontal Displacement</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda with cases of Rotational Displacement</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda with cases of Reflected Displacement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallingwater</td>
<td>Fallingwater with cases of Vertical Displacement</td>
<td>Fallingwater with cases of Horizontal Displacement</td>
<td>Fallingwater with cases of Rotational Displacement</td>
<td>Fallingwater with cases of Reflected Displacement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villa Savoye</td>
<td>Villa Savoye with cases of Vertical Displacement</td>
<td>Villa Savoye with cases of Horizontal Displacement</td>
<td>Villa Savoye with cases of Rotational Displacement</td>
<td>Villa Savoye with cases of Reflected Displacement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farnsworth House</td>
<td>Farnsworth House with cases of Vertical Displacement</td>
<td>Farnsworth House with cases of Horizontal Displacement</td>
<td>Farnsworth House with cases of Rotational Displacement</td>
<td>Farnsworth House with cases of Reflected Displacement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hybridization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hybridization</th>
<th>Exchanging identifiable elements of...</th>
<th>Villa Rotonda</th>
<th>Fallingwater</th>
<th>Villa Savoye</th>
<th>Farnsworth House</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Villa Rotonda</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda with elements of Villa Rotonda</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda with elements of Fallingwater</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda with elements of Villa Savoye</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda with elements of Farnsworth House</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallingwater</td>
<td>Fallingwater with elements of Villa Rotonda</td>
<td>Fallingwater with elements of Fallingwater</td>
<td>Fallingwater with elements of Villa Savoye</td>
<td>Fallingwater with elements of Farnsworth House</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villa Savoye</td>
<td>Villa Savoye with elements of Villa Rotonda</td>
<td>Villa Savoye with elements of Fallingwater</td>
<td>Villa Savoye with elements of Villa Savoye</td>
<td>Villa Savoye with elements of Farnsworth House</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farnsworth House</td>
<td>Farnsworth House with elements of Villa Rotonda</td>
<td>Farnsworth House with elements of Fallingwater</td>
<td>Farnsworth House with elements of Villa Savoye</td>
<td>Farnsworth House with elements of Farnsworth House</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Techniques of Appropriation

The design iterations fell into four larger techniques of appropriation, whose terminology has been borrowed from the discipline of music and the techniques of the disc jockey: cover, remix, sampling, and mashup.

Cover

A cover is a subtle reworking of a single appropriated work, whose transformation is less legible than in the case of the remix. The cover is characterized by a lack of hybridization, a low degree of transformation, and a high degree of subtlety. The cover is an especially productive strategy for developing a deeper comprehension of the appropriated work, as the applied transformations must closely adhere to the inherent rationalities of the work in order to remain subtle.

Remix

A remix is a noticeable reworking of a single appropriated work, whose transformation is immediately legible. The remix is characterized by a lack of hybridization, a high degree of transformation, and a low degree of subtlety. The remix is a productive strategy for generating form, as the transformations can be pushed beyond the limitation of subtlety and closer to a new, diverging proposition for form.
Sampling

A sampling is a subtle, barely legible integration of a small portion of one work into another appropriated work. The sampling is characterized by a low degree of hybridization, a low degree of transformation, and a high degree of subtlety. The sampling is a productive strategy for developing a deeper understanding of the juxtaposed works, as the subtle overlay of elements can reveal specific hidden similarities between the logic of the projects.

Mashup

A mashup is a legible, comprehensive integration of two appropriated works, where there is no clear dominance of either of the works’ forms. The mashup is characterized by a high degree of hybridization, a high degree of transformation, and a low degree of subtlety. The mashup is a productive strategy both for generating new form and for discovering hidden likenesses, as the inherent logics to the works must be carefully overlaid and distorted in order to appear seamless.
### Techniques of Appropriation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hybridization</th>
<th>Transformation</th>
<th>Subtlety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cover</strong></td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remix</strong></td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sampling</strong></td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mashup</strong></td>
<td>high</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

characterized by a ____ degree of:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iterations</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Work(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>Villa Savoye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>Farnsworth House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>Fallingwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Remix</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Remix</td>
<td>Villa Savoye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Remix</td>
<td>Farnsworth House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Remix</td>
<td>Fallingwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sampling</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sampling</td>
<td>Villa Savoye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sampling</td>
<td>Farnsworth House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sampling</td>
<td>Fallingwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mashup</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mashup</td>
<td>Villa Savoye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mashup</td>
<td>Farnsworth House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mashup</td>
<td>Fallingwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mashup</td>
<td>Villa Rotonda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mashup</td>
<td>Villa Savoye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mashup</td>
<td>Farnsworth House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mashup</td>
<td>Fallingwater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 4

Mashups

The mashup proved to be the most productive strategy of appropriation. With the mashup, success can be declared when there is no clear dominance of either of the works’ forms. The resulting architecture creates a sort of “search and find” game, where the viewer must determine which formal elements originated in which appropriated work. This addresses a more minor goal for the project: to make architecture fun. The project reintroduces a sense of lightheartedness into architectural analysis, design, and representation. We inherently enjoy visuals that we are familiar with, and finding easter eggs within the mashups provides gratification through recognition and identification.

The following mashups were chosen for further development: Farnsavoye, Villa Satonda, Fallingworther, and Villa Farnssavwater.
Villa Savoye’s outdoor terrace is oriented to act as the Farnsworth House’s upper level outdoor space, revealing the houses’ similar, but opposite, geometric relationship between solid and void.

The linear spatial organization of the Farnsworth House is arranged in order to mirror the grid organization of Villa Savoye.

The Farnsworth House’s i-beam columns are used in place of Villa Savoye’s pilotis.

Spaces are linked by central circulation elements.
The lower platform of the Farnsworth House is mirrored in order to allow the outdoor spaces of both houses to merge together.

Both Villa Savoye and the Farnsworth House have five column bays, but an additional column bay is added in order to merge the spaces more seamlessly.

By combining the void spaces of Villa Savoye and the Farnsworth House, a new spatial relationship is created: the interlocking relationship between solid and void.

The geometry within the original void spaces in the Farnsworth House and Villa Savoye are revealed to be similar.

The lower platform of the Farnsworth House is maintained in order to allow the outdoor spaces of both houses to merge together.
Instead of Villa Savoye’s ribbon windows, the Farnsworth House’s floor-to-ceiling glass windows are used in order to maximize views.

By elevating the base plane, the structure reduces the amount of green area damaged by allowing it to flow underneath.
Elevations

New geometric relationships within the facade are created by using the dimensions of the height of the Farnsworth House and the spacing of Villa Savoye’s column grid.

The upper elevation of the Farnsworth House is partially replicated through a similar interplay of solid and void volumes.

Villa Savoye and the Farnsworth House similarly use a projection of the facade past the column grid in order to create the appearance of a floating volume.

The Farnsworth House and Villa Savoye both utilize an elevated base plane and roof plane.
Renderings
Renderings
Villa Savoye’s roof tiles are scaled down in order to fulfill new programmatic requirements (swimming pool tiles).

Cast-in-place concrete balconies are formally reminiscent of Fallingwater’s cantilevered terraces.

Villa Savoye’s roof tiles are scaled down in order to fulfill new programmatic requirements (swimming pool tiles).

The form of Villa Savoye’s skylights, roof garden components, and stair enclosure are reused for new program.

Villa Savoye’s roof tiles are scaled down in order to fulfill new programmatic requirements (swimming pool tiles).

Vertical load is supported by a combination of Villa Rotonda’s Ionic columns, Villa Savoye’s pilotis, and Fallingwater’s stacked stone bearing walls.

Axon
The void condition of Villa Savoye’s outdoor terrace is kept in its original position within the plan, cutting through the wall tower of Fallingwater.

The glazing-to-structure condition within the Farnsworth House is replicated, but with Villa Savoye’s pilotis.

Spatial organization is based on Villa Savoye’s grid of pilotis. It is utilized in order to provide the maximum flexibility for interior partitions.

Interior proportioning systems are based primarily on Villa Rotonda and Villa Savoye.

The primary volumetric form of the Farnsworth House is appropriated and repatriated throughout the open floor plan.
The waterfall from Fallingwater’s site is abstracted and redeployed as a waterfall water feature.

New spatial relationships are created through the juxtaposition of previous forms. First floor spaces are linked by Villa Rotonda’s common central space, while upper level spaces are primarily set adjacent to each other.

Floor plates branching out from the imposing stone wall is a formal move appropriated from Fallingwater.

Villa Savoye’s ribbon window is used to cut through Fallingwater’s well tower providing a method of diffuse lighting.

Elevating the base plane conceptually links to Villa Rotonda, Villa Savoye, the Farnsworth House, and Fallingwater.
Elevations
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The upper volume of Villa Savoye is shifted off axis by one column bay to align the terrace with Villa Rotonda’s central dome. The terrace and the central act as central geometric elements within the original plans.

Villa Rotonda’s dome is slightly scaled down to fit within the terrace of Villa Savoye.

The usage of only two porticos and staircases emphasizes the primary axis. The staircases instead act as seating extended towards the landscape, reinforcing the connection to nature (a shared concept from both projects).

Villa Rotonda’s pilotis are exchanged for Villa Savoye’s Ionic columns, providing a sense of grandeur to the monumental stair.

The varying facades create different viewing conditions, allowing for subtle, but unique moments of framing.

At the last bay of columns, Villa Savoye’s pilotis are exchanged for Villa Rotonda’s Ionic columns, providing a sense of grandeur to the monumental stair.
Villa Savoye and Villa Rotonda are shown to have near-alike column grids with the same number of bays. Villa Savoye's pilotis are utilized in conjunction with the thick bearing walls of Villa Rotonda in order to create exaggerated structure and enclosure.

Distinct and similar geometry is visible within both Villa Rotonda and Villa Savoye.

Both Villa Savoye and Villa Rotonda place primary circulation on the central axis. The geometry and placement of Villa Savoye’s ramp also parallels that of the passageway in Villa Rotonda.

Plan
The central placement of the rotunda creates a spatial relationship where all surrounding spaces are linked by the common atrium space.

In order to support Corbusier’s intentions regarding exposure to sunlight, Villa Rotonda’s cupola is switched out for an oculus to let in daylight.

Scamozzi, the architect who completed the building after Palladio’s death in 1580, had wanted to top the building with an oculus, but it was ultimately finished with a cupola.

When overlaid, Villa Savoye’s ramp juts straight through the passageway and central space of Villa Rotonda. The ramp rises upwards toward the dome in a position exposed from all sides, creating a heightened sense of procession.
Shifting the upper volume of Villa Savoye by one column bay recreates the elevation geometry of Villa Rotonda. The new overhanging volume recalls the original pediment and projecting portico.

The curved glazing on the ground floor of Villa Savoye is substituted for bearing walls. The change attempts to replicate the heaviness of the base plinth in Villa Rotonda.

Villa Rotonda's chimneys intermingle with the roof garden components and chimneys of Villa Savoye.

Villa Savoye's ribbon windows are scaled vertically in order to match the original proportions of Villa Rotonda's windows.

The Ionic columns of Villa Rotonda's portico are arranged according to Villa Savoye's column grid. The stairs are then horizontally scaled in order to maintain the portico.

The elevation is strongly reminiscent of both Villa Savoye's elevation and Villa Rotonda's elevation. In both projects, there is a strong overall symmetry in the facade driven by a front row of columns.

Villa Rotonda's chimneys intermingle with the roof garden components and chimneys of Villa Savoye.

The elevation is strongly reminiscent of both Villa Savoye's elevation and Villa Rotonda's elevation. In both projects, there is a strong overall symmetry in the facade driven by a front row of columns.
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The Farnsworth House’s I-beam columns are utilized instead of Fallingwater’s thick bearing walls in order to maximize views outward towards the landscape.

The floor-to-ceiling glazing system of the Farnsworth House is used in order to maximize views to the landscape.
The linear spatial organization of the Farnsworth House is arrayed in order to create a larger grid. The floor tile of the Farnsworth House is utilized to create an organizational pattern on the grid. The form of the Farnsworth House is repeated and clustered alongside stairs and sunshades, acting as objects within the larger abstracted floorplate of Fallingwater. The technique generates a new spatial relationship by creating secondary spaces within the larger space.
The relationship between solid and void in the Farnsworth House is exaggerated. While the majority of the square footage is exterior, glass-enclosed volumes and screened porches (a trait of the Farnsworth House until 1972) allow for a play between interior and exterior spaces. Open-air circulation is dispersed within the structure allowing for continuous views of the surrounding landscape. The stairs cut through the floorplates, reinforcing the openness of the space and the lightness of the structural system.
The piers from Fallingwater are combined with the I-beams of the Farnsworth House in order to illustrate the exaggerated elevation in base planes.

Instead of Fallingwater’s narrow glazed panels, the more expansive panels of the Farnsworth House are rotated 90 degrees and utilized for the glazing of the tower.

The horizontality of both the Farnsworth House and Fallingwater is maintained by a series of elongated horizontal planes.

Fallingwater’s three story tower is altered to allow for glazing and more views laterally through the structure.

The tower is turned into a stair core in order to support the concept of constant views to nature during.
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Chapter 5

Conclusion

In conclusion, the project challenges a traditional understanding of architectural authorship, appropriation, and the production and consumption of architecture. The project contributes to the discipline both by prompting the reconsideration of these canonical works and by providing a new strategy for the generation of architectural form.

In its retrospective sense, the project makes explicit our unbridled access to information and past works. We are living in a network culture, where all material is available to be appropriated and reconsidered; today, there is little notion of origin or originality. The project breaches styles, time periods, and labeling conventions in order to challenge our pre-conceived associations. Simultaneously, new understandings of the works are developed through a careful juxtaposition.

The project also illustrates the strategy of explicit appropriation in producing new form. Through appropriation, fidelity to the original is lost, and the intention for producing the copy becomes embedded in the product itself. Meaning is rewritten. Through the repetition of form, the appropriated work translates into something new, with additional layers of complexity developed through reference. The combination of unrelated forms results in a hybrid that was previously unimaginable, prompting a speculative questioning of what is possible.