Conspicuous Space: Parking Lot Suburbanism

Ian Nicholson

Follow this and additional works at: https://surface.syr.edu/honors_capstone

Part of the Other Architecture Commons, and the Urban, Community and Regional Planning Commons

Recommended Citation

This Honors Capstone Project is brought to you for free and open access by the Syracuse University Honors Program Capstone Projects at SURFACE. It has been accepted for inclusion in Syracuse University Honors Program Capstone Projects by an authorized administrator of SURFACE. For more information, please contact surface@syr.edu.
Conspicuous Space: Parking Lot Suburbanism (abstract)

What can be accomplished without a car? In a city: everything. In a suburb: nothing. Without a car, one cannot escape the city. Without a city, one cannot escape the car. Neither city nor suburb is an ideal habitat. The city has no nature. The suburb has no culture. What’s good about the suburbs over the city? According to economics: houses.

The American dream has long been “one’s own house with a private yard” (Nelesson xi); an acre and a mule for every free citizen. But this dream has created distance; a nightmare of endless commutes and oil addiction. What if we could bridge that distance, without reverting to the “city?” What if the suburbs “are almost all right” (Venturi 6)? What should we move and where should we move it?

Perhaps instead of building our subdivisions of mass-customized dream homes in rapidly disappearing virgin forests, grasslands, farmlands, and other productive ecosystems, we could find a place that is already underused, ugly, obsolete, and vast. Sound familiar? How about surface parking lots?! By relocating the single-family house into the parking lot of the shopping center, (I contend that) a place is created that is neither city nor suburb: it is both city and suburb; a place that is neither sprawling nor dense: it is sprawling and dense. This type will neither occupy nor preserve the conspicuous space of the consumerist suburb; it will both occupy and preserve it. Just as the “motorized city that tries to overcome distance... tries to make  distance at the same time” (Lerup 40) this new place will be both space and object, figure and field, community and privacy. Is it a parking lot or is it a suburban neighborhood? Both. And neither. Where are the lines drawn between public and private? They are not drawn, but inferred. This type is meant to be both ubiquitous and pliable, both subtle and overbearing; just like the separate types that it is consuming (house and big box). This place shall remain nameless, open-ended, and subject to interpretation, just like the environment it is to inhabit (the city outside the city). This place is not meant to replace, but to exist in parallel and serve as an alternative to the single-use zoned suburb, the traditional city, the modern downtown, and whatever other settlement patterns the future holds. With this thesis, I mean simply to add another item to the menu of urban conditions from which the discerning consumer of space and habitat will choose his preferred built environment. Hopefully, if this kind of scheme were realized in the real world, it would help to slow suburban sprawl and positively impact the built environment and the natural environment that supports it. However, this thesis is concerned explicitly with the ability of this strategy to be an architecturally viable alternative to more straightforward scheme of simple re-urbanization, as the New Urbanists would propose. Consumerism is not being questioned here. Automobility is not being questioned here. I simply mean to argue that the vast amounts of space wasted by suburban parking lots could be used as a viable alternative site to the virgin wilderness or farmland, and that those parking lots have inherent architectural qualities that are worth investigating.


...at the time of the final review
Akers Mill
Shopping. Living.

shopping includes:
Bed Bath & Beyond; Sports Authority; Office Max; Party City

dining includes:
Honey Baked Ham; Subway; Chipotle; Longhorn Steakhouse; Chick-Fil-A

living options:
1. the “Executive” (22) for singles
2. the “Retreat” (15) for couples
3. the “Homestead” (17) for small families

neighborhood includes:
community garden; walking garden; soccer field; tennis courts;
lots of green and open space; hidden parking; restricted access; trees to keep out noise and pollution and to shade the houses

south elevation: fronting on Cobb Pkwy, US-41
main facade / commercial entry
front walk
back walk
HOUSE 3 - the “Homestead”

WIDTH: 5 PARKING SPACES
OCCUPANCY: SMALL FAMILY
(parent(s) + 1/2 children)
AMENITIES:
garage for 3 cars
front lawn
double-height foyer w/ skylight
fireplace on every floor
covered back porch
space for gardening
3 extra rooms (2 w/ skylights)
customizable plan
level 0 - lower level 1 - main level 2 - loft level 3 - roof
long section through stairs short section through garage short section through stairs
HOUSE 2 - the “Retreat”

WIDTH: 4 parking spaces

OCCUPANCY: AMOROUS COUPLE -or- 2 HOUSEMATES

AMENITIES: garage for 2 cars
            front lawn
            double-height foyer w/ skylight
            fireplace on every floor
            covered back porch
            9' ceilings on all floors
            all operable windows
            2 extra rooms (1 w/ skylight)
            customizable plan

ROOMS:
level 0 - lower
level 1 - main
level 2 - loft
level 3 - roof

sections:
long section through tree court and back porch
short section through foyer
HOUSE 1 - the “Executive”

WIDTH:
3 PARKING SPACES

OCCUPANCY:
SINGLE ADULT

AMENITIES:
garage for 1 car
double-height foyer w/ skylight
fireplace on every floor
covered back porch
“cathedral” ceilings on 2nd floor
space for gardening
9’ ceilings on all floors
customizable plan

AMENITIES:
garage for 1 car
double-height foyer w/ skylight
fireplace on every floor
covered back porch
“cathedral” ceilings on 2nd floor
space for gardening
9’ ceilings on all floors
customizable plan
SUMMARY

Conspicuous Space: Parking Lot Suburbanism addresses the architectural and social issues of the American suburb by looking at its main components, the developer house and the big-box shopping center. It also looks at the role of the car in our lives and how it shapes the way we live, shop, travel, interact, and most importantly the way we build our cities. The contemporary American suburb is built around the automobile, an invention that Americans generally take for granted and cannot imagine living without. There is a new kind of suburb being built in many places across the country that is neither truly suburb nor city. It goes by many names, “edge city” being the one I have used, and it generally happens where suburbanites have moved their jobs out to where they have been living since the 1950s. It is characterized by a heavy dependence on the automobile and vast open or unbuilt spaces set next to typically urban building types, such as the office tower skyscraper. It is a land of contradictions well documented in Joel Garreau’s Edge City and elsewhere. This project is an attempt to embrace those contradictions and twist them to help reduce waste in the built environment.

The idea is to first hunt down those characteristics that make the American suburbs (or edge cities) tick and to maintain them but change the context in which they operate in order to make them serve multiple purposes simultaneously. This project has identified the following characteristics: separation of different land uses enforced through zoning codes (notably residential from commercial), the dominance of the automobile, and a stylistic promiscuity resulting in kitschy traditionalizing houses right down the road from modern steel and glass office towers right down the road from sign-as-building commercial strip malls and shopping centers.
This project does not question any of these paradigms: it simply reorients them. The housing in this project is separated from the commercial strip, but instead of horizontal separation achieved through sprawling land use, it is a vertical separation achieved by raising the housing above the stores, thereby reducing land use. The automobile is dominant in this project – each housing unit has its own garage at the front door and the parking for the shopping center below is practically untouched – but the automobiles are buried in the structure of the housing, thereby freeing the false ground above to pedestrian movement and children playing. And finally, the styles of the various building elements are as jarringly collaged as it is in the suburban context: the houses in this project are made to resemble houses in the public imagination and the big boxes under the housing get an even bigger box with even bigger signs, thereby integrating the project with the disjointed vernacular of American corporate suburbia.

The particular edge city under scrutiny is the Cumberland/Galleria area, northwest of Atlanta, Georgia. I chose this site not only because I know it well (I have lived in the suburbs of Atlanta my entire life) but because it is a perfect example of an edge city: it’s population is a quarter that of Atlanta’s, it headquarters multiple Fortune 500 companies, most notably Home Depot, it is completely automobile dependant and is at the intersection of two interstate highways, and it doesn’t actually exist as a municipality, only as a Community Improvement District within Cobb County. It is also a very strong area economically: this project is intended to be synergistic, not revitalizing; the intent is to seize upon those aspects of suburbia that are proving successful, and to make them better by working with their existing logics rather than imposing some idealistic scheme for social justice or architectural nirvana. My intent is distinctly not to turn the “edge city” into a “city;” I intend simply to make edge city a bit more efficient and livable. In
this way, the title of the project is very intentional when it uses the word “suburbanism” rather than “urbanism.” And so Cumberland provides a very rich canvas on which to paint my ideas about using the wasted space of suburbia to more productive ends.

That’s how the project started: as a desire to put the wasted space of suburbia to better use. But as the research evolved, I found the waste and emptiness more and more compelling. Those spaces included the roofs of warehouses and big box stores, sprawling surface parking lots, idle front lawns, and other such spaces. The most promising space to direct my attack in terms of polemics was the parking lot because it gets right to the heart of the tension: the automobile and the space it requires. In a way, this whole endeavor has been an exercise in irony: critique the city the automobile built, and then design a project around the automobile. As I said earlier, embracing contradictions has been an explicit goal since very early on, and juxtaposing the surface parking lot with housing seemed to perfectly express the tension between people and cars, housing and parking, and how much relative importance our society places on each. And so the task became to find the right parking lot. At first, I assumed my housing would be occupying the parking lot in some literal way, on the ground, so I looked for a lot that was as big, coherent, and simple as possible within the bounds of the Cumberland area I had already identified as my area of study. That parking lot was very clearly the one serving the Akers Mill shopping center. Having found the parking lot, I began experimenting with different ways of using the pattern of the parking spaces to create a grid on which to draw my housing units. This effort resulted in a serious tension between how much parking should be preserved and how nice it would actually be to live in a parking lot, in the shadow of big-box stores with their big, loud signs on the front. I was violating one of the cardinal rules of suburbia: separation of commercial from residential.
After some checking into the zoning ordinance for Cobb County, I discovered that there already wasn’t enough parking at Akers Mill (according to code; in practice, there’s way too much), meaning that there was no space for housing on the ground, unless the law were to be changed. So I simply moved the housing up thirty feet above the parking lot. This allowed me to recreate the separation of uses so necessary to successful suburbia without gobbling up more land. It allowed me to work within the confines of existing parking requirements and to create a residential world above that is almost completely unaware of the commercial landscape below it. This means that I have essentially just placed one half of suburbia – neighborhoods of houses – above the other half – big box shopping centers – thereby doubling land use efficiency without sacrificing any of the amenities expected from either program. This move also implicitly critiques the nature of the zoning code: by going to great lengths to allow code-required space for cars while creating space for housing, I am at the same time suggesting that the law over-values the car at the expense of sustainable building patterns.

Mid-way through the Thesis Project, I discovered the necessary yin to Conspicuous Space’s yang: Invisible Separation. Conspicuous Space is the idea that the wasted spaces of suburbia are not completely wasted: they communicate an abundance, or an ability to waste. It is through the creation of vast spaces that we communicate our affluence in that we have the means to create and maintain those vast spaces. (Of course, we are coming to learn that we no longer have that ability, which is where projects like this thesis come in to propose compelling ways to put that Conspicuous (wasted) Space to better use.) However, the same facts that have allowed vast open spaces (such as parking lots) to proliferate – super-cheap land, outdated zoning laws, heavily subsidized automo-
bile transportation, and bad tax incentives – have also allowed the opposite to proliferate: Invisible Separation, the idea that different land uses should be completely unaware of each other, thereby preserving the purity of each and preventing a massive collision of different scales (even a McMansion looks fairly modest when placed within view of a SuperTarget). This separation requires enough land to be able to sufficiently prevent one programmatic ghetto from being in any way aware of another. It’s okay to live in a neighborhood right behind a shopping center, so long as you would never be able to tell, from within the neighborhood, that the shopping center exists. Around Atlanta, that Invisible Separation is achieved largely by the ever-presence of trees, which form a suburban poche, a mass from which is cut the voids of human inhabitation, with the left-over mass (trees) serving as a natural barrier between different suburban realms. This project acknowledges both sides of the coin – Conspicuous Space and Invisible Separation – by expressing itself as one massive building to the commercial space on the ground and then expressing itself as many individual houses within the residential area above, with the perimeter of the residential area being lined with trees and green public space – such as a soccer field, tennis courts and a community garden – to cut off sight lines to the outside world. As another example of intentional contradiction, however, there are office towers right across the street that no tree could completely hide from view, thereby exposing the trick and slowly cluing the inhabitant back into the reality of life in a city, even of the edge variety.

In short, this project is about maintaining the suburban ideal – rugged individualism, privacy, mobility – while mitigating its liabilities – isolation, distance, and automobile dependance - by building residential neighborhoods in currently underutilized space.
Monotony of any kind is debilitating.
...like ostriches, many architects, and town planners prefer to ignore the suburb, hoping somehow that the suburb will prove as inconsequential as it is distasteful.

...the suburb, not the city, is ubiquitous in modern American life.

...unless we confront the suburb directly — by understanding both its hold on the American imagination and its liabilities — the liabilities will overwhelm us!

...while Americans keep dreaming of a good place to live, many of our dreams — to live in the presence of nature; to live near city and country; to own and control our own property; to have constant freedom of movement; to move up; to move away; to start again; to portray our individuality; to cherish our privacy — all tend to work against establishing good communities in which to live.
What can be accomplished without a car?
In a city: everything. In a suburb: nothing.
Without a car, one cannot escape the city.

As soon as the suburban pattern became universal the virtues it at first boasted began to disappear...presently the suburbanite had the advantages of neither society nor solitude.

What’s good about the suburbs?
According to economics: houses.
The American dream has long been “own’s own house with a private yard.”

An acre and a mule for every free citizen.

But this dream has created distance.

A nightmare of endless commutes and oil addiction.

What if we could bridge that distance?

Without reverting to the ‘city.’

What if the suburbs “are almost all right?”

What should we move, and where should we move it?

There is nothing wrong with the car per se. What is wrong is the exaggerated use we make of it.

...the current American way of life is founded not just on motor transportation but on the religion of the motorcar, and the sacrifices that people are prepared to make for this religion stand outside the realm of rational criticism.


Perhaps instead of building our subdivisions of mass-customized dream homes in rapidly disappearing virgin forests, grasslands, farmlands, and other productive ...we must think of a new form of the city, which will have the biological ecosystems, we could find a place that is already underused, ugly, obsolete, and vast. Sound familiar? How about surface parking lots! advantages of the suburb, the social advantages of the city, By relocating the single-family house into the parking lot of the shopping center, (I contend that) a place is created that is neither city nor suburb; it is both city and new esthetic delights that will do justice to both modes. and suburb. A place that is neither sprawling nor dense; it is sprawling and dense. This type will neither occupy nor preserve the conspicuous space of the There is no lack of underutilized property within consumerist suburb; it will both occupy and preserve it. Just as the “motorized city that tries to overcome distance... tries to make distance at the same time,” the built-up areas of most American cities. this new place will be both space and object, figure and field, community and privacy. Is it a parking lot or is it a suburban neighborhood? Both. And neither. Accepting the fact the car will be with us in one form or another, Where are the lines drawn between public and private? They are not drawn, but inferred. This type is meant to be both ubiquitous and pliable, both subtle and what options exist for reducing its footprint, or mitigating overbearing; just like the separate types that it is consuming (house and big box). This place shall remain nameless, open-ended, and subject to interpretation, the unsightliness of fields of asphalt parking? just like the environment it is to inhabit (the city outside the city). This place is not meant to replace, but to exist in parallel and serve as an alternative to the single-use-zoned suburb, the traditional city, the modern downtown, and whatever other settlement patterns the future holds. With this thesis I mean simply to add another item to the menu of urban conditions from which the discerning consumer of space and habitat will choose his preferred built environment. Hopefully, if this kind of scheme were realized in the real world, it would help to slow suburban sprawl and positively impact the built environment and the natural environment that supports it. However, this thesis is concerned explicitly with the ability of this strategy to be an architecturally viable alternative to more straightforward schemes of simple re-urbanization, as the New Urbanists would propose. Consumerism is not being questioned here. I simply mean to argue that the vast amounts of space wasted by suburban parking lots could be used as a viable alternative site to the virgin wilderness or farmland, and that those parking lots have inherent architectural qualities that are worth investigating.
Locating neighborhoods of housing in currently underutilized surface parking lots which serve successful commercial shopping centers and big box stores can synergistically improve the American suburb by allowing opportunities to maintain the suburban ideal of rugged individualism, privacy, and mobility while mitigating its problems of automobile dependence, distance, and isolation.
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The men, women, and children of suburbia are seldom quite together, and never quite alone.

...a simplistic, generic, instinctual sketch...
I created the following diagrams, but I did not invent them.

They are products of my memory, the engrained impressions of suburbia that have accumulated from a life lived completely within its borders.

The diagrams and the elements in them are meant as generalizations.

Specific instances of house and store are sure to deviate in numerous ways.

By analyzing these types through prejudice, I am confronting not only my own assumptions, but my assumptions about the assumptions of others and making them explicit for all to see.

By comparing the two types, I am establishing a dialogue between them.

This dialogue will be the subtext in all that follows: which elements are similar, which elements are unique, which elements are unnecessary to the diagram...

When these two types collide, what is left?
DETACHED HOUSE
the basic building block of residential suburbia. only communists share walls with neighbors.

PITCHED ROOF
keeps the weather out. usually very elaborately shaped with gables, hips, and pitch. it is never flat, and it is always shingles. only communists live in Modern flat-roofed houses.

GARAGE
a house for the car, usually full of tools and bicycles. only communists and poor people park on the street.

BIG BOX
basically a warehouse, except with a bigger sign and the stuff on the inside is pleasantly arranged and displayed. the bigger the box, the more stuff, the fewer stops need to be made while running errands. anything more architecturally interesting means higher prices.

FLAT ROOF
again, economics: anything more interesting means higher prices.

PARKING STALLS
number and size determined by zoning codes. the more the better. peak demands must be accommodated.
FRONT DOOR

ceremonial, rarely used. people usually enter through the garage. only criminals climb in through the window or come in the back door.

FRONT PORCH

place for watching street life and casually socializing with neighbors. it’s been shrinking over the years. only criminals hang out on the street.

DRIVEWAY

first meant as a way to get to the garage, it has become the residential parking lot. it’s been getting bigger over the years, accommodating more cars and turnaround spaces. only poor people (and communists) park on the grass, that oh-so-holy grass.

CUSTOMER ENTRANCE

always under the big sign, usually the only articulated piece on the exterior. really big boxes might even have two.

ENTRANCE VESTIBULE

a place to put carts and vending machines. you’re not supposed to hang out there, nor would you really want to.

PARKING DRIVEWAYS

circulation system for self-parking, dimensions determined by code to allow even the worst driver to comfortably navigate the asphalt savanna.
YARD
one acre and a mule...
without the mule.
only poor people and communists own mules.

GARDEN
a little piece of Eden, forever at war with the rabbits. gardens are rarely producing significant amounts of food. only communists and hippies grow their own food.

FENCE
a place to put your Beware of Dog sign. the physical borderline between you and those pesky neighbors. this is how you proclaim your private property, and as we all know, only communists don’t own private property.

PARKING LOT
fields of asphalt produce the conspicuous space of the consumerist strip in commercial suburbia. space is abundant, prices are low. god bless america.

LANDSCAPING
some shade in the savanna. a way to maintain the illusion of town in the country. the colors of flowers sometimes play well with the colors of automobiles.

BUFFER
sometimes concrete, sometimes grass, usually meant as a drainage device and a way to separate the calm of the parking lot (store plaze) from the roar of the arterial thoroughfare.
QUIET STREET

In a quiet neighborhood in a quiet town, the street is to be avoided unless in a car. A wide street serves the other purpose of providing more distance between yourself and the neighbors. Only communists live close to their neighbors.

SIDEWALK

If it's not a jogger or a kid on a bicycle, it probably shouldn't be here. It is used only for these purposes as civilized transportation occurs only in cars. Only poor people and criminals use the sidewalk to walk from one house to another.

TREE

Rarely an Elm (too soon?), the tree provides shade, visual separation from the neighbors, and a place for the kids to climb around. Communists don’t like trees, they find them to be pretentious.

BUSY ARTERIAL

A precondition for a successful commercial strip, the more traffic the better (up to a point). People must be able to see the sign on the big box from the arterial at whatever speed they may be going, or the fate of the strip is sealed.

SIDEWALK

Not for actual walking, just a place to put carts and rides for small children, separates the customer entrance from the cars in the parking driveways.

TREES

Not necessary, but can make the lot more attractive. Must be short species, so as not to block the signs on the stores.
an apology to our communist readers, poor people, and criminals:
the american suburbs came of age during the height of the cold war.
the influence of the red scare on the form of our american habitat cannot be underestimated.
case in point: possibility of a nuclear war was a major justification for the interstate system. (if nuclear war breaks out, it’s already too late; some roads won’t save you.)
communism is no longer a credible threat to our great union, but luckily 9/11 gave us a new “other” to fear and organize our society around opposing. so perhaps, replace every “communist” in the preceding diagrams with “terrorist” and you will have a more current expression of american prejudices. it is not rational, but neither is fear or prejudice.
poor people and criminals are still feared.
this is a timeless prejudice.
step one of establishing yourself in civilized society is to make clear through whatever means necessary that you are neither poor nor a criminal.
and then you can worry about not being a communist (or terrorist, or whatever the fashionable thing to fear happens to be)
the house is the main place to communicate these social demands to others and to yourself.
clearly, people are generally not thinking to themselves, “gee, i really don’t want people to think i’m a communists/terrorist/criminal/poor person...what kind of house should i buy to convince them i’m not?”
the social signifiers are well enough engrained in our collective psyche that this thought is not necessary.

(...an aside)
these prejudices may be charicatured and comical, but they are intended to dig up the deep roots of the elements of suburban neighborhoods.
in this project, people’s desires and fears matter.
in this book, the desires will be addressed by studying the elements of the most desired housing.
in the design project, the fears will be addressed by adhering to the basic principles of defensible space.
using differences in a field of likeness

a methodical, specific, structural study...
John Wieland

Winner of America’s Best Builder Award, National Housing Quality Award, National Builder of the Year, and J.D. Power’s highest rank in customer satisfaction with new homebuilders in Atlanta and Charlotte.

builds luxury homes in Atlanta, Charleston, Charlotte, Nashville, and Raleigh, since 1970.

A Harvard Business School graduate, he runs a vertically integrated operation with numerous support companies covering everything from architecture to mortgages, all “in-house” (forgive the pun).

The following model homes were created by the architecture group at John Wieland homes, which is constantly shifting things around, adding, changing, and removing plans, and crafting new elevations to be passed onto a number of plans. The following collection, though long, is not comprehensive.

The customer picks his dream home from the catalog and proceeds to the John Wieland Design Center to choose, mix-and-match, and customize everything from baseboards to roof shingle.

**But what is the underlying chassis on which all these pieces are assembled?**

Ed Ruscha

A pop artist active in the 1960s and 70s.

Published in 1967 a book entitled *Thirty-Four Parking Lots* in which he shows 34 aerial photos of parking lots that he took from a helicopter in Los Angeles.

There is something both intriguing and upsetting about these photos and what they represent: their beauty is firmly grounded in the ridiculous.

These photos are used as backgrounds for the study of Wieland houses as a way to introduce the idea of housing in the parking lot in a graphic way.

The sections under all of the pages are sections taken through the parking lot that is in the background above.

Each section is then impregnated with one elevation diagram of a house on that page, roughly to scale, to study the potential relationship in as simple and straightforward a way as possible.

**Can the Wieland style of housing fit into a parking lot?**

All plans, photos, marketing slogans, and names were taken from jawhomes.com

All photos were taken from Ed Ruscha’s *Thirty-Four Parking Lots*
Timeless Homes. Uniquely Yours. Own a truly timeless home, uniquely designed for you. American Classic Homes by John Wieland offer stately elevations with a variety of charming and enduring design characteristics to ensure that each home is fully exclusive to the buyer's taste and lifestyle. Match one of our classically inspired elevations with the floor plan that's perfect for your family to create a one-of-a-kind home, distinctively suited to the way you want to live.
Monotony of any kind is debilitating.
...like ostriches, many architects, and town planners prefer to ignore the suburb, hoping somehow that the suburb will prove as inconsequential as it is distasteful.

...the suburb, not the city, is ubiquitous in modern American life.

...unless we confront the suburb directly — by understanding both its hold on the American imagination and its liabilities — the liabilities will overwhelm us!

...while Americans keep dreaming of a good place to live, many of our dreams — to live in the presence of nature; to live near city and country; to own and control our own property; to have constant freedom of movement; to move up; to move away; to start again; to portray our individuality; to cherish our privacy — all tend to work against establishing good communities in which to live.
In almost all communities designed since 1950, it is a practical impossibility to go about the ordinary business of living without a car. This at once disables children under the legal driving age, some elderly people, and those who cannot afford the several thousand dollars a year that it costs to keep a car. 

What can be accomplished without a car? 

In a city: everything. In a suburb: nothing. 

Without a car, one cannot escape the city. 

Without a city, one cannot escape the car. 

Neither city nor suburb is an ideal habitat. 

The city has no nature. The suburb has no culture. 

What’s good about the suburbs? 

According to economics: houses. 

…the new suburban sprawl has become abjectly dependant upon a single form, the private motor car, whose extension has devoured the one commodity the suburb might rightly boast: space. Instead of buildings set in a park, we now have buildings set in a parking lot. 


As soon as the suburban pattern became universal the virtues it at first boasted began to disappear presently the suburbanite had the advantages of neither society nor solitude. 

0 2
The American dream has long been "own's own house with a private yard."

An acre and a mule for every free citizen.

But this dream has created distance.

A nightmare of endless commutes and oil addiction.

What if we could bridge that distance?

Without reverting to the 'city.'

What if the suburbs "are almost all right?"

What should we move, and where should we move it?

There is nothing wrong with the car per se. What is wrong is the exaggerated use we make of it.

...the current American way of life is founded not just on motor transportation but on the religion of the motorcar, and the sacrifices that people are prepared to make for this religion stand outside the realm of rational criticism.
Perhaps instead of building our subdivisions of mass-customized dream homes in rapidly disappearing virgin forests, grasslands, farmlands, and other productive
...we must think of a new form of the city, which will have the biological
ecosystems, we could find a place that is already underused, ugly, obsolete, and vast. Sound familiar? How about surface parking lots!
advantages of the suburb, the social advantages of the city,
By relocating the single-family house into the parking lot of the shopping center, (I contend that) a place is created that is neither city nor suburb; it is both city
and new esthetic delights that will do justice to both modes.
and suburb. A place that is neither sprawling nor dense; it is sprawling and dense. This type will neither occupy nor preserve the conspicuous space of the
There is no lack of underutilized property within consumerist suburb; it will both occupy and preserve it. Just as the “motorized city that tries to overcome distance... tries to make distance at the same time,”
the built-up areas of most American cities.
this new place will be both space and object, figure and field, community and privacy. Is it a parking lot or is it a suburban neighborhood? Both. And neither.
Accepting the fact the car will be with us in one form or another,
Where are the lines drawn between public and private? They are not drawn, but inferred. This type is meant to be both ubiquitous and pliable, both subtle and
what options exist for reducing its footprint, or mitigating overbearing; just like the separate types that it is consuming (house and big box). This place shall remain nameless, open-ended, and subject to interpretation,
the unsightliness of fields of asphalt parking?
just like the environment it is to inhabit (the city outside the city). This place is not meant to replace, but to exist in parallel and serve as an alternative to the
single-use-zoned suburb, the traditional city, the modern downtown, and whatever other settlement patterns the future holds. With this thesis I mean simply to
add another item to the menu of urban conditions from which the discerning consumer of space and habitat will choose his preferred built environment.
Hopefully, if this kind of scheme were realized in the real world, it would help to slow suburban sprawl and positively impact the built environment and the
natural environment that supports it. However, this thesis is concerned explicitly with the ability of this strategy to be an architecturally viable alternative to
more straightforward schemes of simple re-urbanization, as the New Urbanists would propose. Consumerism is not being questioned here. Automobile is not
being questioned here. I simply mean to argue that the vast amounts of space wasted by suburban parking lots could be used as a viable alternative site to the
virgin wilderness or farmland, and that those parking lots have inherent architectural qualities that are worth investigating.


put simply...

Locating neighborhoods of housing in currently underutilized surface parking lots which serve successful commercial shopping centers and big box stores can synergistically improve the American suburb by allowing opportunities to maintain the suburban ideal

while mitigating its problems
rugged individualism, privacy, and mobility
automobile dependence, distance, and isolation.
CONSPICUOUS SPACE

big
vast
distance
promise of escape
ugly
informal
accomodating

instant communication
10-lane highways

elbow room

impermanent

sprawl

practical

consumerist

savannas of asphalt

high speed
visible horizon

because we can

fields of grass

space for change

sub-urban

plenty of parking

comfortable

room for everyone
The men, women, and children of suburbia are seldom quite together, and never quite alone.

...a simplistic, generic, instinctual sketch...
I created the following diagrams, but I did not invent them. They are products of my memory, the engrained impressions of suburbia that have accumulated from a life lived completely within its borders. The diagrams and the elements in them are meant as generalizations. Specific instances of House and Store are sure to deviate in numerous ways.

By analyzing these types through prejudice, I am confronting not only my own assumptions, but my assumptions about the assumptions of others and making them explicit for all to see.

By comparing the two types, I am establishing a dialogue between them. This dialogue will be the subtext in all that follows: which elements are similar, which elements are unique, which elements are unnecessary to the diagram...

**When these two types collide, what is left?**

*A genuine variety in daily experience is missing.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DETACHED HOUSE</td>
<td>the basic building block of residential suburbia. only communists share walls with neighbors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PITCHED ROOF</td>
<td>keeps the weather out. usually very elaborately shaped with gables, hips, and pitch changes. it is never flat, and it is always shingles. only communists live in Modern flat-roofed houses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GARAGE</td>
<td>a house for the car, usually full of tools and bicycles. only communists and poor people park on the street.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARKING STALLS</td>
<td>number and size determined by zoning codes. the more the better. peak demands must be accommodated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FRONT DOOR
ceremonial, rarely used. people usually enter through the garage. only criminals climb in through the window or come in the back door.

FRONT PORCH
place for watching street life and casually socializing with neighbors. it's been shrinking over the years. only criminals hang out on the street.

DRIVEWAY
first meant as a way to get to the garage, it has become the residential parking lot. it's been getting bigger over the years, accommodating more cars and turnaround spaces. only poor people (and communists) park on the grass, that oh-so-holy grass.

CUSTOMER ENTRANCE
always under the big sign, usually the only articulated piece on the exterior. really big boxes might even have two.

ENTRANCE VESTIBULE
a place to put carts and vending machines, you're not supposed to hang out there, nor would you really want to.

PARKING DRIVEWAYS
circulation system for self-parking, dimensions determined by code to allow even the worst driver to comfortably navigate the asphalt savanna.
YARD
one acre and a mule... without the mule. only poor people and communists own mules.

GARDEN
a little piece of Eden, forever at war with the rabbits. gardens are rarely producing significant amounts of food. only communists and hippies grow their own food.

FENCE
a place to put your Beware of Dog sign. the physical borderline between you and those pesky neighbors. this is how you proclaim your private property. and as we all know, only communists don’t own private property.

PARKING LOT
fields of asphalt produce the conspicuous space of the consumerist strip in commercial suburbia. space is abundant, prices are low. god bless america.

LANDSCAPING
some shade in the savanna, a way to maintain the illusion of town in the country, the colors of flowers sometimes play well with the colors of automobiles.

BUFFER
sometimes concrete, sometimes grass, usually meant as a drainage device and a way to separate the calm of the parking lot (store plaza) from the roar of the arterial thoroughfare.
QUIET STREET
in a quiet neighborhood in a quiet town, the street is to be avoided unless in a car. A wide street serves the other purpose of providing more distance between yourself and the neighbors. only communists live close to their neighbors.

SIDEWALK
if its not a jogger or a kid on a bicycle, it probably shouldn't be here. It is used only for these purposes as civilized transportation occurs only in cars. only poor people and criminals use the sidewalk to walk from one house to another.

TREE
rarely an Elm (too soon?), the tree provides shade, visual separation from the neighbors, and a place for the kids to climb around. communists don't like trees, they find them to be pretentious.

BUSY ARTERIAL
a precondition for a successful commercial strip, the more traffic the better (up to a point). people must be able to see the sign on the big box from the arterial at whatever speed they may be going, or the fate of the strip is sealed.

SIDEWALK
not for actual walking, just a place to put carts and rides for small children, separates the customer entrance from the cars in the parking driveways.

TREES
not necessary, but can make the lot more attractive. must be short species, so as not to block the signs on the stores.
an apology to our communist readers, poor people, and criminals:

the american suburbs came of age during the height of the cold war.

the influence of the red scare on the form of our american habitat cannot be underestimated.

case in point: possibility of a nuclear war was a major justification for the interstate system. (if nuclear war breaks out, it’s already too late; some roads won’t save you.)

communism is no longer a credible threat to our great union, but luckily 9/11 gave us a new “other” to fear and organize our society around opposing. so perhaps, replace every “communist” in the preceding diagrams with “terrorist” and you will have a more current expression of american prejudices. it is not rational, but neither is fear or prejudice.

poor people and criminals are still feared.

this is a timeless prejudice.

step one of establishing yourself in civilized society is to make clear through whatever means necessary that you are neither poor nor a criminal.

and then you can worry about not being a communist (or terrorist, or whatever the fashionable thing to fear happens to be)

the house is the main place to communicate these social demands to others and to yourself.

clearly, people are generally not thinking to themselves, “gee, i really don’t want people to think i’m a communists/terrorist/criminal/poor person...what kind of house should i buy to convince them i’m not?”

the social signifiers are well enough engrained in our collective psyche that this thought is not necessary.

(...an aside)

these prejudices may be caricatured and comical, but they are intended to dig up the deep roots of the elements of suburban neighborhoods. in this project, people's desires and fears matter. in this book, the desires will be addressed by studying the elements of the most desired housing. in the design project, the fears will be addressed by adhering to the basic principles of defensible space.
using differences in a field of likeness

a methodical, specific, structural study...
John Wieland

Winner of America’s Best Builder Award, National Housing Quality Award, National Builder of the Year, and JD Power’s Highest Rank in Customer Satisfaction with New Homebuilders in Atlanta and Charlotte.

Builds luxury homes in Atlanta, Charleston, Charlotte, Nashville, and Raleigh since 1970.

A Harvard business school graduate, he runs a vertically integrated operation with numerous support companies covering everything from architecture to mortgages, all “in-house” (forgive the pun).

The following model homes were created by the architecture group at John Wieland homes, which is constantly shifting things around, adding, changing, and removing plans, and crafting new elevations to be pasted onto a number of plans. The following collection, though long, is not comprehensive.

The customer picks his dream home from the catalog and proceeds to the John Wieland Design Center to choose, mix-and-match, and customize everything from baseboards to roof shingles.

But what is the underlying chassis on which all these pieces are assembled?

Ed Ruscha

A pop artist active in the 1960s and 70s.

Published in 1967 a book entitled thirty four parking lots in which he shows 34 aerial photos of parking lots that he took from a helicopter in Los Angeles.

There is something both intriguing and upsetting about these photos and what they represent. Their beauty is firmly grounded in the ridiculous.

These photos are used as backgrounds for the study of Wieland houses as a way to introduce the idea of housing in the parking lot in a graphic way.

The sections under all of the pages are sections taken through the parking lot that is in the background above.

Each section is then impregnated with one elevation diagram of a house on that page, roughly to scale, to study the potential relationship in as simple and straightforward a way as possible.

Can the Wieland style of housing fit into a parking lot?
Timeless Homes. Uniquely Yours. Own a truly timeless home, uniquely designed for you. American Classic Homes by John Wieland offer stately elevations with a variety of charming and enduring design characteristics to ensure that each home is truly exclusive to the buyer’s taste and lifestyle. Match one of our classically inspired elevations with the floor plan that’s perfect for your family to create a one-of-a-kind home, distinctly suited to the way you want to live.
AMERICANCLASSIC

sandhurst  vermeer  chancery  atwood
Rich authentic architecture. Exciting yet livable floor plans. Luxury appointments and quality craftsmanship. All come together to create a home that is as unique as you are. Experience homebuilding personalized as the attentive professionals at Colonnade work with you to build your dream home.
COLONNADE

telfair

aldridge

wilson

collier
Rich authentic architecture. Exciting yet livable floor plans. Luxury appointments and quality craftsmanship. All come together to create a home that is as unique as you are. Experience homebuilding personalized as the attentive professionals at Colonade work with you to build your dream home.
More growing families need space - lots of space. That's why John Wieland created Habersham Homes with expansive plans that offer 2775 to 4000+ square feet of very livable space at very affordable prices. Spacious plans include gracious owners' suites, large secondary bedrooms, and flexible bonus areas.
HERITAGE

Inspired by classic homes of the past, J. Wieland’s Heritage homes place a special emphasis on character of design and the streetscape. Welcoming front porches and watercolor exteriors combine with innovative and livable interiors to offer the best of yesterday and today. Choose from a variety of Craftsman, Colonial Revival and Victorian elevations, each designed with great attention to detail and cues taken from historic architecture.
HERITAGE

house

wieland

clifton

sterling

summerville

emerson

ruscha

store
An extensive portfolio of tried and true plans, Signature Homes by John Wieland remain on the cutting edge of style and livability. Choose from dozens of plans featuring two-story foyers, high ceilings, deluxe trim packages, gourmet kitchens and luxurious spa baths.
SIGNATURE

house
thomaston

bellmeade

canterbury

canton

wieland

ruscha

store
...to withdraw like a monk and live like a prince —
this was the purpose of the original creators of the suburb.

It’s a huge hangover myth that suburbia is what people want.
An extensive portfolio of tried and true plans, Signature Homes by John Wieland remain on the cutting edge of style and livability. Choose from dozens of plans featuring two-story, high ceilings, deluxe trim packages, gourmet kitchens and luxurious spa baths.
...to withdraw like a monk and live like a prince — this was the purpose of the original creators of the suburb.

It’s a huge hangover myth that suburbia is what people want.
...how [do] you integrate the pedestrian and human scale environments with the car and the automobile scale?

There are two dominant types of suburban environments in America: the conventional suburb and the edge city.

The **conventional suburb** is characterized by ubiquitous flatness and a lingering dependency on the mother city or some other center external to the geopolitical boundaries of the suburb itself. Morrow is such a suburb of Atlanta, its existence owed to the railroad, I-75, and Southlake Mall. Morrow is an incorporated city in Clayton County.

The **edge city** is an emerging phenomenon characterized by concentrated commercial activity, usually including some office towers, and an ability to operate as a distinct node separate from, but linked to, the mother city. Cumberland is such an edge city, its existence owed to the railroad, I-75 and I-285, and Cumberland Mall and Galleria Mall. Cumberland is not incorporated; it exists only as a name and a Community Improvement District in Cobb County.

This thesis project will test both site types –conventional suburb and edge city – through these two particular Atlanta iterations –Morrow and Cumberland – in the interest of probing the possibilities of residential infusion in these two variations of the suburban theme.
the following set of statistics is for Cobb and Clayton counties. after that is comparable statistics for Cumberland/Vinings and Morrow.

all statistics were obtained from city-data.com
NOTE: STATISTICS FOR CUMBERLAND - WITH THE EXCEPTION OF POPULATION, POPULATION DENSITY, AND LAND AREA - ARE ACTUALLY FOR THE CLOSEST CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACE, VININGS, WHICH SHOULD FAIRLY CLOSELY APPROXIMATE THE DEMOGRAPHICS OF CUMBERLAND, WHICH IS NOT RECOGNIZED BY THE CENSUS BUREAU. ALSO SEE THE COBB COUNTY DEMOGRAPHICS LISTED ABOVE, OR CONSULT THE CENSUS BUREAU FOR INFORMATION ON THE CLOSEST INCORPORATED CITY, SMYRNA.
CUMBERLAND CID

UNIT TYPE

- 1 unit, detached: 14%
- 2 units: 6%
- 3-9 units: 18%
- 10-49 units: 26%
- ≥50 units: 37%

MEDIAN NUMBER OF ROOMS

- In apartments: 4.5
- In houses/condos: 6.4

AVERAGE NUMBER OF BEDROOMS

- In apartments: 2.4
- In houses/condos: 3.1

HOUSING DENSITY

- 1780 units/sq. mi.

TRANSIT MODE TO WORK

- Car alone: 80%
- Carpool: 17%
- Public transport: 2%
The two areas of investigation within Morrow and Cumberland were chosen instinctively.
Each area is characterized by a formally cohesive road system, producing readable boundaries.
Each area includes a mall and a concentration of commercial activity.
Each area is directly accessible by an interstate highway.

The implications of this thesis are for densification, therefore locating these areas was a task of finding places that exhibited some kind of potential for urbanity without yet being urban.
The resulting areas each dedicate a huge amount of their space to automobile traffic and storage yet somehow each suggests a level of centrality.

The argument here is that densification of the suburbs is both necessary and impending,
and the place to start is in sites that have the capacity to accommodate increased density without dramatic expansion of infrastructure.

The task is to locate the optimal sites within these areas with an eye to vastness.
The parking lot with the best and biggest conspicuous space is the parking lot that allows the most room for maneuvering when it comes time to design an intervention that somehow accommodates the conspicuous space of both the big box store and the single family house.
how [do] you integrate the pedestrian and human scale environments with the car and the automobile scale?

a survey of the conditions on the ground....
There are two dominant types of suburban environments in America: the conventional suburb and the edge city.

The conventional suburb is characterized by ubiquitous flatness and a lingering dependency on the mother city or some other center external to the geopolitical boundaries of the suburb itself. Morrow is such a suburb of Atlanta, its existence owed to the railroad, I-75, and Southlake Mall. Morrow is an incorporated city in Clayton County.

The edge city is an emerging phenomenon characterized by concentrated commercial activity, usually including some office towers, and an ability to operate as a distinct node separate from, but linked to, the mother city. Cumberland is such an edge city, its existence owed to the railroad, I-75 and I-285, and Cumberland Mall and Galleria Mall. Cumberland is not incorporated; it exists only as a name and a Community Improvement District in Cobb County.

This thesis project will test both site types—conventional suburb and edge city—through these two particular Atlanta iterations—Morrow and Cumberland—in the interest of probing the possibilities of residential infusion in these two variations of the suburban theme.
the following set of statistics is for Cobb and Clayton counties. After that is comparable statistics for Cumberland/Vinings and Morrow.
Life expectancy in Georgia: 80 years

Race (top three):
- Hispanic: 8%
- White: 35%
- Black: 52%

Religion:
- Southern Baptist: 56%
- Catholic: 14%
- Methodist/Catholic: 11%

Education:
- High school: 79%
- Bachelor's degree: 40%
- Grad/prof degree: 15%
- Grad/prof degree: 11%

Land area: 0 - 500 sq. mi.
NOTE: STATISTICS FOR CUMBERLAND - WITH THE EXCEPTION OF POPULATION, POPULATION DENSITY, AND LAND AREA - ARE ACTUALLY FOR THE CLOSEST CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACE, VININGS, WHICH SHOULD FAIRLY CLOSELY APPROXIMATE THE DEMOGRAPHICS OF CUMBERLAND, WHICH IS NOT RECOGNIZED BY THE CENSUS BUREAU. ALSO SEE THE COBB COUNTY DEMOGRAPHICS LISTED ABOVE, OR CONSULT THE CENSUS BUREAU FOR INFORMATION ON THE CLOSEST INCORPORATED CITY, SMYRNA.
median rent
$910
$1020
2000
(dollars)

median home value
$132,600
$584,200
1,000,000
(dollars)

household size
8% 1 person
11% 2 people
40% 1 person
41% 2 people
19% 2 people
20% 3 people
29% 3 people
32% 4 people
100
(percent)

average household size
1.8
2.8
5
(people)

family households
33%
67%
100
(percent)
**Average Car Ownership**

- **in apartments**: 1.7 cars / unit
- **in houses/condos**: 2.0 cars / unit

**Distribution of Car Ownership**

- 0 cars: 4% (apartments), 3% (houses/condos)
- 1 car: 6% (apartments), 9% (houses/condos)
- 2 cars: 35% (apartments), 41% (houses/condos)
- 3 cars: 20% (apartments), 44% (houses/condos)
- 4+ cars: 20% (apartments), 4% (houses/condos)

**Travel Time to Work (Mean)**

- 0 minutes: 25 minutes (apartments), 23 minutes (houses/condos)
- 20 minutes: 60 minutes (apartments), 60 minutes (houses/condos)

**Population Change Due to Commuting**

- -200 people (apartments), +115% (houses/condos)
- +57.7% (apartments), +200 people (houses/condos)

**Population that Lives and Works Here**

- 0 people (apartments), 13.5% (houses/condos)
- 9.6% (houses/condos), 100% (houses/condos)
The two areas of investigation within Morrow and Cumberland were chosen instinctively.

Each area is characterized by a formally cohesive road system, producing readable boundaries.
Each area includes a mall and a concentration of commercial activity.
Each area is directly accessible by an interstate highway.

The implications of this thesis are for densification; therefore locating these areas was a task of finding places that exhibited some kind of potential for urbanity without yet being urban.
The resulting areas each dedicate a huge amount of their space to automobile traffic and storage yet somehow each suggests a level of centrality.

The argument here is that densification of the suburbs is both necessary and impending,
and the place to start is in sites that have the capacity to accommodate increased density without dramatic expansion of infrastructure.

The task is to locate the optimal sites within these areas with an eye to vastness.
The parking lot with the best and biggest conspicuous space is the parking lot than allows the most room for maneuvering when it comes time to design an intervention that somehow accommodates the conspicuous space of both the big box store and the single family house.
It is apparent in the previous diagrams that parking occupies a vast proportion of these landscapes.

The reason for this from a pragmatic standpoint is that everybody drives everywhere because nowhere is close enough to walk.

This reality is reflected in zoning ordinances and codes that require a certain amount of parking for a certain amount of any use.

The implicit assumption in these codes is that a car is an American right which must be provided for.

...an assumption that will not be challenged in this thesis.

How can a vast parking lot be made to accommodate programmatic diversity without surrendering its ability to accommodate peak demand for automobile storage?
viable hybrid?

viable hybrid?

residential + commercial

residential + commercial
High-rise apartments and Multifamily dwelling units
1.75 spaces per dwelling unit.

Condominiums, Single-Family, and Townhouse Dwelling Units
2 spaces per dwelling unit.

Retail (and most commercial uses)
1 space per 200 square feet [net] of floorspace.

Spaces and drives shall conform to the following standards:
Each space set at a 90-degree angle shall be not less than 162 sq ft in size and shall not be less than 8' 6" wide and 19' deep, exclusive of passageways, which shall be not less than 24' wide.
Each space set at a 60-degree angle shall be not less than 117 sq ft in size and shall not be less than 8' 6" wide and 20' 8" deep, exclusive of passageways, which shall be not less than 18' 6" wide.
Each space set at a 45-degree angle shall be not less than 165 sq ft in size and shall be not less than 8' 6" wide and 19' 9" deep, exclusive of passageways, which shall be not less than 13' 6" wide.
There shall be adequate interior drives to connect each space with a public street.

Required dimensions for each parking space:
Each full-size automobile parking space shall be not less than 9.5 ft wide and 19 ft in length. Parking spaces for compact and sub-compact cars shall not be less than 8 feet wide and 17 feet in length. Adequate interior driveways shall connect each parking space with a public right-of-way.

Interior driveways:
Where 90-degree parking is utilized, all interior driveways shall be a minimum of 23 feet in width. If 45 degree or 60 degree angle parking is used then interior driveways shall be at least 12 feet in width for one-way traffic and 20 feet in width for two-way traffic. In the instance where parallel parking is utilized or there is no parking, interior driveways shall be a minimum of 10 feet in width for one-way traffic and 20 feet in width for two-way traffic.

www.municode.com, Code of Ordinances, City of Morrow, GA, Sections 1202, 1203
There is an abundance of parking at Southlake Pavilion, even more than is called for in the code.

There is a lack of parking at Akers Mill, according to code, at least.

This would seem to require a more creative strategy that would seem to do the impossible: maintain open space and 100% of existing parking while adding housing.
DOUBLE STANDARD

(a subjective observation)

traditional domesticity,
modern productivity.

sprawl and congestion peacefully coexisting in the edge city.

also notice the "Private Residence" sign...

is public/private a simple matter of signage?

The only effective cure for urban congestion is to so relate industrial and business zones to residential areas that a large part of their personnel can either walk or cycle to work.

...the identity of a community emerges from the successive operations of transformation on a site over time.

a brainstorming session for actual intervention....
IDEA 1

HOUSING TYPOLOGY: ROWHOUSES

RESIDENTIAL PARKING: ON GRADE, AT UNIT SURFACE COMMERCIAL PARKING: CONCENTRATED INTO AUTOMATED GARAGES

SUGGESTED DESIGN METHODOLOGY: (NONE)

GARAGE + ROWHOUSES

The suggested design methodology for this idea is (NONE). Residential parking is at unit surface, and commercial parking is concentrated into automated garages. This configuration is intended to provide convenient and efficient parking options for the residents of the proposed housing units.

GARAGES AND ALSO PREVENT THE PATRONS FROM EVER HAVING TO LEAVE GROUND LEVEL TO GO TO THE RESIDENTIAL PARKING LOT. PARKING FOR HOUSING UNITS IS STOWED UNDER A RAISED Mews BETWEEN TWO ROWS OF UNITS.
“filter parking confronts the negative impact of parking-lot sprawl in cities and suburbs... American cities and suburbs are inundated with seas of cars, which are housed in unconsidered and wasteful structures and on asphalt expanses.” Leven Betts proposes to concentrate the armies of American automobiles in automated garages to free up space for parks. The program of this proposal could've been anything, or more appropriately, the program of the freed space could relate in some way to the function that the existing parking lot serves.
IDEA 2
HOUSING TYPOLOGY: DUPLEXES / DETACHED HOUSES
RESIDENTIAL PARKING: ON GRADE, AT UNIT SURFACE
COMMERCIAL PARKING: FULLY OCCUPIED, NOT REPLACED
SUGGESTED DESIGN METHODOLOGY: PATTERN COLLAGE

Duplexes and detached houses are lined up in grain with the rows of parking, with the stalls becoming the units and yards and parking and every second driveway becoming a green mews in between fronts of buildings. The units will be customized from a catalog using a preset design code based on individual building elements.
nelesson establishes a design code based on the exterior, visible elements of the buildings in order to create harmony along the street.

duany plater-zyberk create a kit of parts from which to build, allowing greater control of the design of the whole town up front. In cases where they don’t have control of the whole town, they will design through codes that resemble more normative municipal codes, but with the intention of creating a traditionally urban, small-town character.
IDEA 3

HOUSING TYPOLOGY: DETACHED HOUSES
RESIDENTIAL PARKING: ON GRADE, AT UNIT
SURFACE COMMERCIAL PARKING: PARTIALLY OCCUPIED
SUGGESTED DESIGN METHODOLOGY: BOARD GAMES

houses are mass-customized by assembling various "chess pieces," each of which have certain options and sizes and configurations with other pieces. the grid of the parking lot would provide the "chess board" on which to arrange these pieces into a whole: the main pieces would be core elements - bathrooms, kitchens, bedroom - while the more extroverted pieces would be freeform and absorb any slack necessary in the assemblage of the core pieces.
“You can have any color you want, as long as it’s black,”
or kona blue or torch red or sunset gold or brilliant silver or sterling grey
or red candy or performance white or grabber blue...

efficient production of differences by selectively targeted and disguised uniformity. By
creating and marketing a certain number of models, automakers are able to produce
variations on those themes, with options available for all sorts of customizations.
IDEA 3

HOUSING TYPOLOGY: ATTACHED COURTYARD HOUSES

RESIDENTIAL PARKING: ON GRADE, AT UNIT

SURFACE COMMERCIAL PARKING: PARTIALLY OCCUPIED, DUAL PURPOSED

SUGGESTED DESIGN METHODOLOGY: CUT-AND-FILL

courtyard houses occupy the parking lot, with their courtyards sunken a level below grade to create an intimate place within the vast space of the strip’s parking lot. Each house consists of two parallel private bars containing bedrooms and the like, sunken with and opening on the courtyard, intersected by a taller public bar containing dining rooms, family rooms and kitchens that would exist a level above the parking lot, proclaiming the unit’s presence and identity. This sectional strategy allows a significant amount of parking to be maintained as part of the roofs of the housing units.
"This project explores the impact on contemporary suburban culture of combining large-scale retail operations such as shopping centers and big box stores with the single-family house. The joining of these suburban building types creates efficient land use, sharing existing infrastructure, and reduces overall transportation needs while maintaining the patterns and social life of the suburb. Building houses on vast roofs of retailing centers mitigates horizontal suburban sprawl and offers new opportunities for social interaction. In effect, the house and store maintain their conventional uses, programs, and circulations while allowing new connections to the surrounding community."

IDEA 3

HOUSING TYPOLOGY: ATTACHED COURTYARD HOUSES

RESIDENTIAL PARKING: ON GRADE, AT UNIT SURFACE COMMERCIAL PARKING: PARTIALLY OCCUPIED

SUGGESTED DESIGN METHODOLOGY: FILL-AND-CUT

courtyard houses occupy the parking lot, with their courtyards either existing at ground level or simply being a void to the parking lot below. the ground plane is re-established on the roof, providing space for the American lawn to be relocated. this sectional strategy also allows a significant amount of parking to be maintained while still filling the site with housing.
LE CORBUSIER VILLA SAVOYE

NAME
VILLA SAVOYE

AUTHOR
LE CORBUSIER

PROGRAM
HOUSE

SITE
POISSY, FRANCE (RURAL)

The ground floor consists of a column system, leaving the landscape and the roofscape free to be whatever the occupants want it to be, whether that is a grassy lawn or terrazzo terrace.

The two elements of the suburban pattern that cause the greatest problems are the extreme separation of uses and the vast distances between things.
THE PROJECT
program
single-family housing
site
akers mill, cumberland, cobb county, ga
southlake pavilion, morrow, clayton county, ga
design methods
collage, prototyping
first step
creating prototypes to be collaged
intent
reintegrate residence with commerce in the suburb without challenging the existing paradigms
the suburbs don't quite work yet. they started as bedroom communities dependent on mother cities then commerce moved out to the suburbs in some cases, creating edge cities. zoning law didn’t change, however and the various land uses are still separate like so many foods on a plastic plate. by bringing together the house and the big box without changing the basic concept of either i hope to create a new paradigm that is firmly rooted in the status quo while at the same time undermining it to help create a sustainable future.

festina lente
annotated bibliography

with relevant quotes that didn't make it into the book

“Suburbia. ‘Land is the first requisite for suburban development’” (359) “suburban areas offered a desirable place in which to bring up children by providing space to play, playgrounds, and safety from traffic.” (363)

“[from Racine] something is still missing in this suburban aesthetic. Something of beauty, something of humor, something of informality, something of surprise, something in short of nature.” (379) “the primary factor that makes our overcrowded and ever-growing suburb possible is a rather extensive supply of relatively inexpensive land made available because of the automobile and our modern freeways and other primary roads...cheap...few controls” (375)


4. Suburban Flap. “But when everything is everywhere, whenever you go there is nothing tangible to find.” (71)

5. In search of the small. “A genuine variety in daily experience is missing.” (79) “And more becomes less and less, and more quantity and repetition of individually stimulating events kills their effectiveness. The kaleidoscope of brilliant colors splits into the monochromic gray...” (83) “the very instruments that have given man increased dynamic power – total mobility and instantaneous communication – are destroying the equilibrium in the human habitat.” (84)

City-data.com. “Atlanta”; “Vinings”; “Morrow”; “Cobb County”; “Clayton County”.


Foreword. “Statistically speaking, between 1950 and 1955, the proportion of suburban development in the United States pushed past fifty percent...” (7)

Since (and Before) Second. “we admire one kind of place...but we consistently build something very different...we continue to build – and live in – vast tracts of undifferentiated development that form neither neighborhoods, towns, nor cities.” (9) “like the ancient Greeks, Duany and Plater-Zyberk prefer to think of the modern suburb as a rudimentary form of habitation, something which precedes the city and thus is in need of civilizing.” (15)

Afterword. “our era will then be remembered merely as the age of mass movement: travel circulation, transport, migration, commuting...the time, space, and energy invested in building and updating circulation infrastructures leave very little to invest in the places we actually travel to and from. For several decades now, movement has taken precedence over form.” (117)


“A love affair developed between the retailer and the automobile, creating a desire in the retailer to be as close as possible to the object of his affection.” (66) “No automobile – not even the most elegant Cadillac – ever bought a thing.” (103)

7. Generators of Diversity. “A mixture of uses, if it is to be sufficiently complex to sustain city safety, public contact and cross use, needs an enormous diversity of ingredients.” (144) “When distance inconvenience sets in, the small, the varied and the personal wither away.” (147) “A lively city core is likely largely by force of its enormous collection of small elements.” (148) The Need for Mixed Primary Uses. “The district, and indeed as many of its internal parts as possible, must serve more than one primary function predictably more than two. These must insure the presence of many habits at once.” (369) “The purpose of life is to produce and consume automobiles.” (370) Koolhaas, Rem, et al. “Atlanta.” S, M, L, XL. New York: Monacelli Press, 1998.


10. The Need for Aged Buildings. “The district must mingle buildings that vary in age and condition, including a good proportion of old ones.” (387) “If a city area has only new buildings, the enterprises that can exist there are automatically limited to those that can support the high costs of new construction.” (387) “The economic value of new buildings is replaceable in cities by the spending of more construction money but the economic value of old buildings is irredeemable at all. It is created by time.” (199) S, M, L, XL. New York: Monacelli Press, 1998.

11. The Need for Concentration. “The district must have a sufficiently dense concentration of people, for whatever purpose they may be there. This includes people there because of residence.” (200) “No one way is a good way to house a city neighborhood, no mere two or three ways are good. The more variations there can be, the better.” (214) Koolhaas, Rem, et al. “Atlanta.” S, M, L, XL. New York: Monacelli Press, 1998.


The Evil Empire. “Americans have been living car-centered lives for so long that the collective memory of what used to make a landscape or a townscape or even a suburb humanly rewarding has nearly been eroded.” (113) “Not surprisingly, planners are now chiefly preoccupied with administration procedure...All true design questions...were long ago “solved” by civil engineers and their brethren and written into municipal zoning codes.” (113) “America has now squandered its national wealth erecting a human habitat that, in all likelihood will not be usable very much longer, and there are few unpolluted places left to retreat to in the nation’s habitable reaches.” (114) “...the whole system of suburban sprawl is too expensive to operate, to costly to maintain, and a threat to the ecology of living things...It was a foolish waste of resources in the first place, and it remains to be seen whether its components can be recycled, converted to other uses, or moved, or even whether the land beneath all the asphalt, concrete, and plastic can be salvaged.” (114) “Today we have achieved the goal of total separation of uses in the man-made landscape...The amount of driving necessary to exist within this system is stupendous, and ferociously expensive. The costs of all this driving in terms of pollution...are beyond calculation. The cost to society...is also terrific. The least understood cost...although probably the most keenly felt...has been the sacrifice of a sense of place: the idea that people and things exist in some sort of continuity, that we belong to the world physically and chronologically and that we know where we are.” (118) “The Auto Age, as we have known it, will shortly come to an end, but the automobile will still be with us. Whatever the fate of this petroleum supply, there will be cars and trucks around in any plausible version of the future.” (214) Better Places. “The great suburban build-out is over.” (245) “...the monotony and squalor of single-use zoning, which banished the variety that was the essence of our best communities. We have lost our knowledge of how physically to connect things in our everyday world, except by car and telephone.” (246) “...single-family detached homes on half-acre lots out in the hills, minimalls along the connector roads, ac
countant offices out in the old cornfields...are the mindless switching of a brain-dead culture, artificially sustained by the intravenous feeding of cheap oil.” (247) “...we shall have to give up mass automobile use...The adjustment may be painful for a nation that views car ownership as the essence of individual liberty. Indeed, it is estimated that one sixth of all Americans make their living off cars in one way or another.” (248) “During this epoch of stupendous wealth and power, we have managed to ruin our greatest cities, throw away our small towns, and impose upon the countryside a joyless junk habitat which can no longer afford to support. Indulging in a fetish of commercialized individualism, we did away with the public realm, and with nothing left but private life in our private homes and private cars, we wonder what happened to the spirit of community. We created a landscape of scarily places and became a nation of scary people.” (273) “We will have to replace a destructive economy of mindless expansion with one that consciously respects earthly limits and human scale...We’ll have to give up our fetishes for extreme individualism and rediscover public life.” (275)


Pattern Recognition. “Do the configurations of roads, buildings, farming, and landscape that we see from the air reveal a built logic that addresses the needs of the surrounding community and of the natural environment? Or are they short-term solutions that will have to be reconfigured later?” (8)


The Development of Identity. “The dilemma we face is twofold: It centers on the speed and scale. Both factors add to the complexity of the task, Nevertheless, we are well positioned, if we so choose, to engage in the search for the right “fit” between the form and use of our suburban communities by working within the existing interventions and their residues, before erasing all traces of past interventions. Not only will we save resources, energy, and man-made, but our energy will be focused on creating new and unexpected hybrid inventions.” (13) “If the suburbs lack identity, some of it can be attributed to the commodification of architectural typologies associated with corporate entities and their ubiquitous distribution across the country, making places more alike in order to market a consistent brand identity...The social and psychological link to place is secondary.” (13) “Adaptive Design Process” (15) “Suburbs are laid waste not by warfare, but by economic forces and a natural cycle of decay. Over time and through a process of erasure and writing, suburbs can become unique places, imbued with their own distinctive identities.” (16)

Selecting Tools (Hybrid) Building Typologies and Design Tools: Series of diagrammatic intervention types, including parking strategies (93-103)


Suburb – and beyond. “In medieval monastic suburbs gardens and orchards and shady walks, not just paved space, accompanied the buildings.” (483) “...the suburb might almost be described as the collective urban form of the country house...”. (484) “the retreat from the city was an attempt to achieve liberation from the sometimes dreary conventions and compulsions of an urban society; an effort...to have life on one’s own terms, even if it meant having it alone.” (485) “Thus the ultimate effect of the suburban escape in our time is, ironically, a low-grade uniform environment from which escape is impossible.” (486) “In the suburb we cease to be a drama, full of unprecedented challenges and tensions and dilemmas. It became a bland ritual of competitive spending.” (494) “Politically the suburb might be described as an attempt to reduce the functional urban community to a size small enough for an individual family to cope with.” (500) “Neither neighborhood nor city gave cohesion to the suburbs of the “motor age.” The suburban shopping centers, the suburban factories and business offices and research institutions, provide a minimum of facilities for association while imposing through their random distribution a maximum of effort – whether counted in time, mileage, or cost.” (502) “Compulsory mobility provides fewer, more opportunities for association than compulsory visibility provided in the walled towns.” (503) “As soon as the motor car became common, the pedestrian scale of the suburb disappeared, and with it, most of its individuality and charm.” (505) “...our cities are being destroyed...the worship of speed and empty space.” (509) “If we are concerned with human values, we can no longer afford either sprawling Suburbs or the congested Metropolis: still less can we afford a congested Suburbs, whose visual openness depends upon the cellular isolation and regimentation of its component families in mass structures.” (511)


The Case Against Modern Architecture. “In wiping out the past, unfortunately, the cult of the machine surreptitiously destroys its own future – and left only an under-dimensional present, scheduled, like any speculative building investment, for quick replacement.” (165)

The Skyway’s the Limit. “...in our age nearly everybody believes in serving automobile traffic and almost nobody has an interest in serving any other urban need.” (217)
It is apparent in the previous diagrams that parking occupies a vast proportion of these landscapes.

The reason for this from a pragmatic standpoint is that everybody drives everywhere because nowhere is close enough to walk.

This reality is reflected in zoning ordinances and codes that require a certain amount of parking for a certain amount of any use.

The implicit assumption in these codes is that a car is an American right which must be provided for.

...an assumption that will not be challenged in this thesis.

How can a vast parking lot be made to accommodate programmatic diversity without surrendering its ability to accommodate peak demand for automobile storage?
viable hybrid?

residential + commercial

viable hybrid?

residential + commercial
High-rise apartments and Multifamily dwelling units
1.75 spaces per dwelling unit.

Condominiums, Single-Family, and Townhouse Dwelling Units
2 spaces per dwelling unit.

Retail (and most commercial uses)
1 space per 200 square feet (net) of floorspace.

Spaces and drives shall conform to the following standards:
Each space set at a 90-degree angle shall be not less than 162 sq ft in size and shall not be less than 8' 6" wide and 19' deep, exclusive of passageways, which shall be not less than 24' wide.
Each space set at a 45-degree angle shall be not less than 165 sq ft in size and shall not be less than 6' 6" wide and 19' 4" deep, exclusive of passageways, which shall be not less than 10' 6" wide.
Each space set at a 60-degree angle shall be not less than 176 sq ft in size and shall not be less than 8' 6" wide and 20' 8" deep, exclusive of passageways, which shall be not less than 18' 6" wide.
Each space set at a 45-degree angle shall be not less than 76 sq ft in size and shall not be less than 6' 6" wide and 19' 5" deep, exclusive of passageways, which shall be not less than 13' 6" wide.
There shall be adequate interior drives to connect each space with a public street.

Apartment, Duplexes and Other Multiple-Family Uses
2 spaces per unit for up to two bedrooms
plus 1 per unit for each additional bedroom.

Single-Family Residence
2 spaces for up to three bedrooms,
plus 1 for each additional bedroom.

Retail Stores and Shopping Centers
One per each 300 sq. ft. of gross floor area

Required dimensions for each parking space:
Each full-size automobile parking space shall be not less than 9.5 ft wide and 19 ft in length. Parking spaces for compact and sub-compact cars shall not be less than 8 feet wide and 17 feet in length. Adequate interior driveways shall connect each parking space with a public right-of-way.

Interior driveways:
Where 90-degree parking is utilized, all interior driveways shall be a minimum of 23 feet in width. If 45 degree or 60 degree angle parking is used then interior driveways shall be at least 12 feet in width for one-way traffic and 20 feet in width for two-way traffic. In the instance where parallel parking is utilized or there is no parking, interior driveways shall be a minimum of ten feet in width for one-way traffic and 20 feet in width for two-way traffic.

www.municode.com, Code of Ordinances, City of Morrow, GA, Sections 1202, 1203

www.municode.com, Official Code, County of Cobb, GA, Sect 134-272
There is an abundance of parking at Southlake Pavilion, even more than is called for in the code.

There is a lack of parking at Akers Mill, according to code, at least.

This would seem to require a more creative strategy that would seem to do the impossible: maintain open space and 100% of existing parking while adding housing.
(a subjective observation)

DOUBLE STANDARD

traditional domesticity,
modern productivity.

sprawl and congestion peacefully coexisting in the edge city.

also notice the "Private Residence" sign...
is public/private a simple matter of signage?

The only effective cure for urban congestion is to so relate industrial and business zones to residential areas that a large part of their personnel can either walk or cycle to work.

...the identity of a community emerges from the successive operations of transformation on a site over time.
IDEA 1

HOUSING TYPOLOGY: ROWHOUSES
RESIDENTIAL PARKING: ON GRADE, AT UNIT
SURFACE COMMERCIAL PARKING: CONCENTRATED INTO AUTOMATED GARAGES
SUGGESTED DESIGN METHODOLOGY: (NONE)

Urban "façade" edge at arterial road is defined by automated parking garages, which concentrate the vast amounts of code-required parking into multi-level, rampless garages and also preempt the patrons from ever having to leave ground level to go shopping. The south face of the parking garage is a vertical farm, producing food to either be sold at or to the nearby markets, or directly to a cooperative established by the residents of the ex-parking lot. Parking for housing units is stowed under a raised mews between two rows of units.

GARAGE + ROWHOUSES
“filter parking confronts the negative impact of parking-lot sprawl in cities and suburbs... American cities and suburbs are inundated with seas of cars, which are housed in unconsidered and wasteful structures and on asphalt expanses.” leven betts proposes to concentrate the armies of American automobiles in automated garages to free up space for parks, the program of this proposal could’ve been anything, or more appropriately, the program of the freed space could relate in some way to the function that the existing parking lot serves.
IDEA 2

HOUSING TYPOLOGY: DUPLEXES / DETACHED HOUSES

RESIDENTIAL PARKING: ON GRADE, AT UNIT

SURFACE COMMERCIAL PARKING: FULLY OCCUPIED, NOT REPLACED

SUGGESTED DESIGN METHODOLOGY: PATTERN COLLAGE

duplexes and detached houses are lined up in grain with the rows of parking, with the stalls becoming the units and yards and parking, and every second driveway become a green mews in between fronts of buildings. The units will be customized from a catalog using a preset design code based on individual building elements.
Nelesson establishes a design code based on the exterior, visible elements of the buildings in order to create harmony along the street.

Duany Plater-Zyberk create a kit of parts from which to build, allowing greater control of the design of the whole town up front. In cases where they don’t have control of the whole town, they will design through codes that resemble more normative municipal codes, but with the intention of creating a traditionally urban, small-town character.


IDEA 3

HOUSING TYPOLOGY: DETACHED HOUSES
RESIDENTIAL PARKING: ON GRADE, AT UNIT
SURFACE COMMERCIAL PARKING: PARTIALLY OCCUPIED
SUGGESTED DESIGN METHODOLOGY: BOARD GAMES

houses are mass-customized by assembling various “chess pieces,” each of which have certain options and sizes and configurations with other pieces. the grid of the parking lot would provide the “chess board” on which to arrange these pieces into a whole: the main pieces would be core elements - bathrooms, kitchens, bedroom - while the more extroverted pieces would be freeform and absorb any slack necessary in the assemblage of the core pieces.
“You can have any color you want, as long as it’s black,” ...or kona blue or torch red or sunset gold or brilliant silver or sterling grey or red candy or performance white or grabber blue...

efficient production of differences by selectively targeted and disguised uniformity. By creating and marketing a certain number of models, automakers are able to produce variations on those themes, with options available for all sorts of customizations.
SUNKEN COURTYARD HOUSES

IDEA 3

HOUSING TYPOLOGY: ATTACHED COURTYARD HOUSES

RESIDENTIAL PARKING: ON GRADE, AT UNIT SURFACE COMMERCIAL PARKING: PARTIALLY OCCUPIED, DUAL PURPOSED

SUGGESTED DESIGN METHODOLOGY: CUT-AND-FILL

Courtyard houses occupy the parking lot, with their courtyards sunken a level below grade to create an intimate place within the vast space of the strip parking lot. Each house consists of two parallel private bars containing bedrooms and the like, sunken with and opening on the courtyard, intersected by a taller public bar containing dining and living rooms, family rooms and kitchens that would exist a level above the parking lot, amount of parking to be maintained as a part of the roofs of the housing units.
"This project explores the impact on contemporary suburban culture of combining large-scale retail operations such as shopping centers and big box stores with the single-family house. The joining of these suburban building types creates efficient land use, sharing existing infrastructure, and reduces overall transportation needs while maintaining the patterns and social life of the suburb. Building houses on vast roofs of retailing centers mitigates horizontal suburban sprawl and offers new opportunities for social interaction. In effect, the house and store maintain their conventional uses, programs, and circulations while allowing new connections to the surrounding community."
ELEVATED COURTYARD HOUSES

IDEA 3

HOUSING TYPOLOGY: ATTACHED COURTYARD HOUSES

RESIDENTIAL PARKING: ON GRADE AT UNIT SURFACE COMMERCIAL PARKING: PARTIALLY OCCUPIED

SUGGESTED DESIGN METHODOLOGY: FILL-AND-CUT

The ground level or simply being placed in the parking below, the ground plane is re-established on the roof, providing space for the American lawn to be relocated. This sectional strategy also allows a significant amount of parking to be maintained while still filling the site with housing.
epilogue

The two elements of the suburban pattern that cause the greatest problems are the extreme separation of uses and the vast distances between things.
THE PROJECT

program single-family housing
site akers mill, cumberland, cobb county, ga
      southlake pavilion, morrow, clayton county, ga
design methods collage, prototyping
first step creating prototypes to be collaged
intent reintegrate residence with commerce in the suburb without challenging the existing paradigms
the suburbs don’t quite work yet. They started as bedroom communities dependent on mother cities. Then commerce moved out to the suburbs in some cases, creating edge cities. Zoning law didn’t change, however, and the various land uses are still separate. Like so many foods on a plastic plate, by bringing together the house and the big box without changing the basic concept of either, I hope to create a new paradigm that is firmly rooted in the status quo while at the same time undermining it to help create a sustainable future.

festina lente
annotated bibliography
with relevant quotes that didn't make it into the book

“…roads…cheap…few controls” (375)


“…In search of the small…A genuine variety in daily experience is missing” (79)

“…the very instruments that have given man increased dynamic power—total mobility and instantaneous communication—are destroying the equilibrium in the human habitat.” (84)

Carmer, Edward. “Atlanta”; “Vinings”; “Morrow”; “Cobb County”; “Clayton County”,


“…ever bought a thing.” (103)


“…Statistically speaking, between 1950 and 1955, the proportion of suburban development in the United States pushed past fifty percent…” (7)

“…we admire one kind of place…we consistently build something very different…we continue to build—and live in—vast tracts of undifferentiated development that form neither neighborhoods, towns, nor cities.” (15)

“…the ancient Greeks, Duany and Plater-Zyberk prefer to think of the modern suburb as a rudimentary form of habitat, something which precedes the city and thus is in need of civilizing.” (15)


“…a love affair developed between the retailer and the automobile, creating a desire in the retailer to be as close as possible to the object of his affection.” (96)


“…stores and shopping centers.”

"A mixture of uses, if it is to be sufficiently complex to sustain city safety, public contact and cross-use, needs an enormous diversity of ingredients." (344) "When distance inconvenience sets in, the small, the various and the personal wither away." (347) "A lively city core is likely largely by virtue of its enormous collection of small elements." (348)

8. The Need for Mixed Primary Uses: "The district, and indeed as many of its internal parts as possible, must serve more than one primary function; preferably more than two. These must insure the presence of people who go outdoors on different schedules and are in the place for different purposes, but who are able to use many facilities in common." (332) "Secondary diversity... grows in response to the presence of primary uses, to serve the people the primary uses draw." (382) "If secondary diversity flourishes sufficiently and contains enough that is trivial or unique, it seems to have a useful and necessary presence, and is a primary use itself." (363) "A primary use... is a city's emblem. The number of pieces is not fixed by the rules. It is easily deployed. It's a piece multiplier." (397) "Mixtures of primary diversity and sufficient concentration of dwellings are more difficult to create if they are lacking. The sensible thing is to begin where at least one of these two conditions already exists or can be fostered relatively easily." (376)

9. The Need for Small Blocks: "It's a matter of use, and the mixing of paths, not homogeneity of architecture, that ties together city neighborhoods into pools of city use." (382)

10. The Need for Aged Buildings: "The district must mingle buildings that vary in age and condition, including a good proportion of old ones." (387) "If a city has only new buildings, the enterprises that can exist there are automatically limited to those that can support the high costs of new construction." (387) "The economic value of new buildings is replaceable in cities...by the spending of more construction money but the economic value of old buildings is irreplaceable at all. It is created by time." (399)

11. The Need for Concentration: "The district must have a sufficiently dense concentration of people, for whatever purpose they may be there. This includes people there because of residence." (200) "No one way is a good way to house a city neighborhood, no more two or three ways are good. The more variations there can be, the better." (314)

12. Evolution of Cities on Altitudes of Automobiles: "Traffic arteries, along with parking lots, gas stations and drive-ins, are powerful and resilient instruments of city destruction... City character is blurred until every place becomes more like every other place, all going up to a Nobspecified...use that cannot stand functionally alone...are severed from one another." (338) "...to accommodate intricate and concentrated land use without destroying the related transportation?" (340) "It's the Corbusier's vision of skyscrapers in the park degenerates in real life into skyscrapers in parking lots. And there can never be enough parking." (343) "...the main virtue of pedestrian streets is not that they completely lack cars, but rather that they are not overwhelmed and dominated by floods of cars, and that they are easy to cross. Even for children the point may be less to segregate the cars than to reduce the domination by cars and combat the erosion of sidewalks by play space by cars." (348) "...the more space that is provided cars in cities the greater becomes the need for use of cars, and hence for still more space for them." (351) "Duplication of car parking is also familiar in suburbs: the schools, the supermarkets, the churches, the shopping centers, the clinics, the movie, all the residents, must have their own parking lots and this duplicate parking lets die for much of the time." (356) "...the points is not attrition of automobiles in cities but rather the attrition of automobiles by cities. Tactics are salable which give room to other necessary and desired city uses that happen to be in competition with automobile traffic needs." (365) "Possibilities for adding to convenience, intensity and cheer in cities, while simultaneously hampering automobiles, are limitless." (364) "Attrition of automobiles requires changes in habits and adjustments in usage too; just as in the case of erosion it should not disrupt too many habits at once." (369) "The purpose of life is to produce and consume automobiles." (370)


The Evil Empire. "Americans have been living in car-centered lives for so long that the collective memory of how to make a landscape or a townscape or even a suburb humanly rewarding has nearly been erased." (113) "Not surprisingly, planners are now chiefly preoccupied with administration procedure...All true design questions...were long ago "solved" by civil engineers and their brethren and written into municipal zoning codes." (113) "America has now squandered its national wealth erecting a human habitat that, in all likelihood, will be unsalable very much longer, and there are few unpliable places left to retrofit to in the nation's habitable reaches." (114) "...the whole system of suburban sprawl is too expensive to operate, to costly to maintain, and a threat to the ecology of living things...It was a foolish waste of resources in the first place, and it remains to be seen whether its components can be recycled, converted to other uses, or moved, or even whether the land beneath all the asphalt, concrete, and plastic can be salvaged." (114) "Today we have achieved the goal of total separation of uses in the man-made landscape. The amount of driving necessary to exist within this system is stupendous, and financially expensive. The costs of all this driving in terms of pollution...are beyond calculation. The cost to society...is also titanic. The least understood cost...although probably the most keenly felt...has been the sacrifice of a sense of place: the idea that people and things exist in some sort of continuity, that we belong to the world physically and chronologically, and that we know where we are." (118) "The Auto Age, as we have known it, will shortly come to an end, but the automobile will still be with us. Whatever the fate of this petroleum supply, there will be cars and trucks around in any plausible version of the future." (124) Better Places. "The great suburban build-out is over." (245) "...the monotony and soullessness of single-use zoning, which banished the variety that was the essence of our best communities. We have lost our knowledge of how physically to connect things in our everyday world, except by car and telephone." (246) "...single-family detached homes on half-acre lots out in the hills, minimalls along the connector roads, ac-
countants' offices out in the old cornfields...are the mindless switching of a brain-dead culture, artificially sustained by the intravenous feeding of cheap oil.” (247) “...we shall have to give up mass automobile use... The adjustment may be painful for a nation that views car ownership as the essence of individual liberty. Indeed, it is estimated that one sixth of all Americans make their living off cars, in one way or another.” (248) “During this epoch of stupendous wealth and power, we have managed to ruin our greatest cities, throw away our small towns, and impose over the countryside a joyless junk habitat which can no longer afford to support. Indulging in a fetish of commercialized individualism, we slid away with the public realm, and with nothing left but private life in our private homes and private cars, we wonder what happened to the spirit of community. We created a landscape of scary places and became a nation of scary people.” (273) “We will have to replace a destructive economy of mindless expansion with one that consciously respects earthly limits and human scale...We’ll have to give up our fetish for extreme individualism and rediscover public life.” (275)


Pattern Recognition. “Do the configurations of roads, buildings, farming, and landscape that we see from the air reveal a built logic that addresses the needs of the surrounding community and of the natural environment? Or are they short-term solutions that will have to be reconfigured later?” (8)


The development of identity. “The dilemma we face is twofold: it centers on the speed and scale. Both factors add to the complexity of the task. Nevertheless, we are well positioned, if we so choose, to engage in the search for the right ‘fit’ between the form and use of our suburban communities by working within the existing interventions and their residues, before erasing all traces of past interventions. Not only will we save resources, nature, and man made, but our energy will be focused on creating new and unexpected hybrid interventions.” (13) “If the suburbs lack identity, some of it can be attributed to the commodification of architectural typologies associated with corporate entities and their ubiquitous distribution across the country, making places more alike in order to market a consistent brand identity...The social and psychological link to place is secondary.” (13) “Adaptive Design Process” (15) “Suburbs are laid waste not by warfare, but by economic forces and a natural cycle of decay. Over time and through a process of erasure and writing, suburbs can become unique places, imbued with their own distinctive identities.” (16)

Selecting Tools: (Hybrid) Building Typologies and Design Tools. Series of diagrammatic intervention types, including parking strategies (93-103)


Suburb - and beyond. “In medieval monastic suburbs gardens and orchards and shaded walks, not just paving space, accompanied the buildings.” (483) “The suburb might almost be described as the collective urban form of the country house...” (484) “The retreat from the city was an attempt to achieve liberation from the somnolent drayness and complacency of urban society: an effort...to have life on one’s own terms, even if it meant having it alone.” (485) “Thus the ultimate effect of the suburban escape in our time is, ironically, a low-grade uniform environment from which escape is impossible.” (486) “In the suburb the concept of a family, of a home, is blurred...” (487) “The suburb is to be a drama, full of unexpected challenges and tensions and dilemmas.” (494) “Politically the suburb might be described as an attempt to reduce the functional urban community to a size small enough for an individual family to cope with.” (550) “Neither neighborhood nor city give cohesion to the suburbs of the motor age.” “The suburban shopping centers, the suburban factories and business offices and research institutions, provide a minimum of facilities for association while imposing through their random distribution a maximum of effort — whether counted in time, mileage, or cost.” (562) “Communal mobility provides fewer, not more opportunities for association than compulsory visibility provided in the walled towns.” (563) “As soon as the motor car became common, the pedestrian stage of the suburb disappeared, and with it most of its individuality and charm.” (565) “If we are concerned with human values, we can no longer afford either sprawling suburbia or the congested metropolis: still less can we afford a congested suburbia, whose visual openness depends upon the cellular isolation and regimentation of its component families in mass structures.” (511)


The Case Against Modern Architecture. “In wiping out the past, unfortunately, the cult of the machine surreptitiously destroyed its own future — and left only an under-dimensional present, scheduled, like any speculative building investment, for quick replacement.” (165)

The Skyway’s the Limit. “In our age nearly everybody believes in serving automobile traffic and almost nobody has an interest in serving any other urban need.” (217)
this is simply a test of the ideas that have been put forward already.

the information is arranged chronologically for clarity, the project as it stood at three different moments: the First Review, the Second Review and the Final Review

the material for the Project at the Final Review represents the completed Project; the rest is process.
...at the time of the first review
AKERS MILL WITH SOME HOUSING ON TOP
FINDING A STRATEGY...
SECTIONAL EXPLORATIONS
A CLOSER LOOK AT THE “GAME BOARD”
(“UPPER GROUND” PLAN OF HOUSING)
PATTERN STRUCTURES
(“LOWER GROUND” PLAN OF HOUSING)

EXISTING PARKING LOT AND BIG BOXES
(ACTUAL GROUND PLAN W/O STRUCTURE)
SECTIONAL WEAVING
COURTYARD + DETACHED HOUSES
A POSSIBLE STRUCTURE TO HOLD UP THE “GAME BOARD”
A QUICK LOOK AT THE UNDERBELLY
There is a lack of parking at Akers Mill, according to code, at least.

This would seem to require a more creative strategy that would seem to do the impossible: maintain open space and 100% of existing parking while adding housing.

A REMINDER ON WHY ALL THIS IS NECESSARY...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>total retail floor area:</th>
<th>155,000 sq ft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>total required parking stalls:</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actual parking on site:</td>
<td>683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percent unnecessary:</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parking available for housing:</td>
<td>0 units</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUBURBAN / EDGE CITY CHARACTERISTICS THAT MUST BE MAINTAINED:

SEPARATION OF HOME FROM WORK OR SHOP
PLAN SEPARATION TRANSLATED TO SECTION

DIRECT AUTOMOBILE ACCESS
SURFACE ACCESS HIDDEN “UNDERGROUND” BUT MAINTAINED;
PREFERABLY POINT-ACCESS

CONSPICUOUS SPACE (VASTNESS)
PRESERVED AND REPLICATED; REFOCUSED;
RESIDENTIAL VASTNESS SUPERIMPOSED ONTO COMMERCIAL VASTNESS

WHY THIS PROJECT IS BETTER THAN SUBURBIA / EDGE CITY:

DENSIFICATION OF LAND USE
MORE SUSTAINABLE GROWTH PATTERN; BUILDS ON UNDERUSED LAND
PREVENTS DESTRUCTION OF PRODUCTIVE OR VIRGIN LAND

CREATION OF PEDESTRIAN REALM
AUTOMOBILES / GARAGES BURIED WITH STRUCTURE UNDER PEOPLE;
NEW GROUND PLANE FREE TO SERVE PEOPLE ON FOOT
these structural logics are used as a model for the structure holding up the housing above the parking lot, in effect extending the big box beyond its existing perimeter.
SOUTHLAKE PAVILION WITH SOME HOUSING NESTLED INTO IT
FINDING A STRATEGY...
SUNKEN COURTYARD HOUSES
A QUICK LOOK AT THE EXISTING VERTICALS
A REMINDER ON WHY ALL THIS IS NECESSARY...

SUBURBAN / EDGE CITY CHARACTERISTICS THAT MUST BE MAINTAINED:

SEPARATION OF HOME FROM WORK OR SHOP
PLAN SEPARATION AUGMENTED BY SECTION

DIRECT AUTOMOBILE ACCESS
SURFACE ACCESS HIDDEN "UNDERGROUND" BUT MAINTAINED;
PREFERABLY POINT-ACCESS

CONSPICUOUS SPACE (VASTNESS)
PRESERVED AND REPLICATED; REFOCUSED;
RESIDENTIAL VASTNESS NESTLED WITHIN COMMERCIAL VASTNESS

WHY THIS PROJECT IS BETTER THAN SUBURBIA / EDGE CITY:

DENSIFICATION OF LAND USE
MORE SUSTAINABLE GROWTH PATTERN; BUILDS ON UNDERUSED LAND
PREVENTS DESTRUCTION OF PRODUCTIVE OR VIRGIN LAND

CREATION OF PEDESTRIAN REALM
AUTOMOBILES / GARAGES BURIED UNDER PEOPLE;
NEW GROUND PLANE FREE TO SERVE PEOPLE ON FOOT

parking available for housing: 332 units

There is an abundance of parking at Southlake Pavilion, even more than is called for in the code.

This would seem to suggest a straightforward ground strategy for placing housing and the license to claim 33% of the parking lot with minimal impact on existing operations.
this is simply a test of the ideas that have been put forward already.

the information is arranged chronologically for clarity, the project as it stood at three different moments: the First Review, the Second Review, and the Final Review.

the material for the Project at the Final Review represents the completed Project; the rest is process.
THE PROJECT

...at the time of the first review
AKERS MILL WITH SOME HOUSING ON TOP
FINDING A STRATEGY...
A CLOSER LOOK AT THE “GAME BOARD”
(“UPPER GROUND” PLAN OF HOUSING)
PATTERN STRUCTURES
("LOWER GROUND" PLAN OF HOUSING)

EXISTING PARKING LOT AND BIG BOXES
(ACTUAL GROUND PLAN W/O STRUCTURE)
A POSSIBLE STRUCTURE TO HOLD UP THE “GAME BOARD”
A QUICK LOOK AT THE UNDERBELLY
There is a lack of parking at Akers Mill, according to code, at least.

This would seem to require a more creative strategy that would seem to do the impossible: maintain open space and 100% of existing parking while adding housing.

SUBURBAN / EDGE CITY CHARACTERISTICS THAT MUST BE MAINTAINTED:

SEPARATION OF HOME FROM WORK OR SHOP
PLAN SEPARATION TRANSLATED TO SECTION

DIRECT AUTOMOBILE ACCESS
SURFACE ACCESS HIDDEN “UNDERGROUND” BUT MAINTAINED; PREFERABLY POINT-ACCESS

CONSPICUOUS SPACE (VASTNESS)
PRESERVED AND REPLICATED; REFOCUSED; RESIDENTIAL VASTNESS SUPERIMPOSED ONTO COMMERCIAL VASTNESS

WHY THIS PROJECT IS BETTER THAN SUBURBIA / EDGE CITY:

DENSIFICATION OF LAND USE
MORE SUSTAINABLE GROWTH PATTERN; BUILDS ON UNDERUSED LAND
PREVENTS DESTRUCTION OF PRODUCTIVE OR VIRGIN LAND

CREATION OF PEDESTRIAN REALM
AUTOMOBILES / GARAGES BURIED WITH STRUCTURE UNDER PEOPLE; NEW GROUND PLANE FREE TO SERVE PEOPLE ON FOOT

A REMINDER ON WHY ALL THIS IS NECESSARY...

total retail floor area: 155,000 sq ft
total required parking stalls: 772
actual parking on site: 683
percent unnecessary: 0%
parking available for housing: 0 units
these structural logics are used as a model for the structure holding up the housing above the parking lot, in effect extending the big box beyond its existing perimeter.
SOUTHLAKE PAVILION WITH SOME HOUSING NESTLED INTO IT
FINDING A STRATEGY...
SUNKEN COURTYARD HOUSES
A QUICK LOOK AT THE EXISTING VERTICALS
A REMINDER ON WHY ALL THIS IS NECESSARY...

**SUBURBAN / EDGE CITY CHARACTERISTICS THAT MUST BE MAINTAINED:**

- **Separation of Home from Work or Shop**
  - Plan separation augmented by section
- **Direct Automobile Access**
  - Surface access hidden "underground" but maintained;
  - Preferably point-access
- **Conspicuous Space (Vastness)**
  - Preserved and replicated; refocused;
  - Residential vastness nestled within commercial vastness

**WHY THIS PROJECT IS BETTER THAN SUBURBIA / EDGE CITY:**

- **Densification of Land Use**
  - More sustainable growth pattern; builds on underused land
  - Prevents destruction of productive or virgin land
- **Creation of Pedestrian Realm**
  - Automobiles/garages buried under people;
  - New ground plane free to serve people on foot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total retail floor area</td>
<td>402,000 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total required parking stalls</td>
<td>1340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual parking on site</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent unnecessary</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking available for housing</td>
<td>332 units</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is an abundance of parking at Southlake Pavilion, even more than is called for in the code. This would seem to suggest a straightforward ground strategy for placing housing and the license to claim 33% of the parking lot with minimal impact on existing operations.
the morrow site was eliminated after the first review, for practical reasons, as well as the fact that the Cumberland edge city was proving to be the more interesting site which seemed to “want” densification more than the low-rise suburb of Morrow.

All further investigation concerned itself with the Cumberland site. What follows is a diary of sketches between the first and second reviews that played a huge role in developing the idea of the project as well as the individual houses. The sketches are largely searching for the proper way to express the “houseness” of the units, for the proper density levels, for the proper way to express the new “big box” that I have created, as well as drawing parallels between the iconic house shape and the shape of the truss structure.

The struggle that is herein documented is one of many different oppositions:

- public/inhabitant vs architect
- developer house vs big box store
- icon/imagery vs function/structure
- conspicuous space vs conspicuous separation
DOUBLE-TAKES

PITCH ROOF

TRUSS

FOLDED PLANE

ROOF WINDOW

FOLD D

意图

FOLD T
...at the time of the second review
THE PROJECT

...at the time of the final review

*this section to be completed by May 5, 2010 and resubmitted for grading and records*
HOUSE 1 - the "Executive"

amenities include:
- garage for 1 car
- double-height foyer w/ skylight
- fireplace on every floor
- covered back porch
- "cathedral" ceilings on 2nd floor
- space for gardening
- 9’ ceilings on all floors
- all operable windows
- customizable plan
HOUSE 2 - the “Retreat”

amenities include:
- garage for 2 cars
- front lawn
- double-height foyer w/ skylight
- fireplace on every floor
- covered back porch
- space for gardening
- 9’ ceilings on all floors
- all operable windows
- 2 extra rooms (1 w/skylight)
- customizable plan

amenities include:
- garage for 2 cars
- front lawn
- double-height foyer w/ skylight
- fireplace on every floor
- covered back porch
- space for gardening
- 9’ ceilings on all floors
- all operable windows
- 2 extra rooms (1 w/skylight)
- customizable plan
HOUSE 3 - the “Homestead”

amenities include:

- garage for 3 cars
- front lawn
- double-height foyer w/ skylight
- fireplace on every floor
- covered back porch
- space for gardening
- 9' ceilings on all floors
- all operable windows
- 3 extra rooms (2 w/ skylights)
- customizable plan
Akers Mill
shopping includes:
Bed Bath & Beyond
Honey Baked Ham
Subway
Sports Authority
Office Max
Party City
now with HOUSING:
the "Executive" for singles
the "Retreat" for couples
the "Homestead" for small families
neighborhood includes:
community garden
walking garden
soccer field
tennis courts
lots of green and open space
hidden parking
restricted access
SUMMARY

Conspicuous Space: Parking Lot Suburbanism addresses the architectural and social issues of the American suburb by looking at its main components, the developer house and the big-box shopping center. It also looks at the role of the car in our lives and how it shapes the way we live, shop, travel, interact, and most importantly the way we build our cities. The contemporary American suburb is built around the automobile, an invention that Americans generally take for granted and cannot imagine living without. There is a new kind of suburb being built in many places across the country that is neither truly suburb nor city. It goes by many names, “edge city” being the one I have used, and it generally happens where suburbanites have moved their jobs out to where they have been living since the 1950s. It is characterized by a heavy dependence on the automobile and vast open or unbuilt spaces set next to typically urban building types, such as the office tower skyscraper. It is a land of contradictions well documented in Joel Garreau’s Edge City and elsewhere. This project is an attempt to embrace those contradictions and twist them to help reduce waste in the built environment.

The idea is to first hunt down those characteristics that make the American suburbs (or edge cities) tick and to maintain them but change the context in which they operate in order to make them serve multiple purposes simultaneously. This project has identified the following characteristics: separation of different land uses enforced through zoning codes (notably residential from commercial), the dominance of the automobile, and a stylistic promiscuity resulting in kitschy traditionalizing houses right down the road from modern steel and glass office towers right down the road from sign-as-building commercial strip malls and shopping centers.
This project does not question any of these paradigms: it simply reorients them. The housing in this project is separated from the commercial strip, but instead of horizontal separation achieved through sprawling land use, it is a vertical separation achieved by raising the housing above the stores, thereby reducing land use. The automobile is dominant in this project – each housing unit has its own garage at the front door and the parking for the shopping center below is practically untouched – but the automobiles are buried in the structure of the housing, thereby freeing the false ground above to pedestrian movement and children playing. And finally, the styles of the various building elements are as jarringly collaged as it is in the suburban context: the houses in this project are made to resemble houses in the public imagination and the big boxes under the housing get an even bigger box with even bigger signs, thereby integrating the project with the disjointed vernacular of American corporate suburbia.

The particular edge city under scrutiny is the Cumberland/Galleria area, northwest of Atlanta, Georgia. I chose this site not only because I know it well (I have lived in the suburbs of Atlanta my entire life) but because it is a perfect example of an edge city: it’s population is a quarter that of Atlanta’s, it headquarters multiple Fortune 500 companies, most notably Home Depot, it is completely automobile dependant and is at the intersection of two interstate highways, and it doesn’t actually exist as a municipality, only as a Community Improvement District within Cobb County. It is also a very strong area economically: this project is intended to be synergistic, not revitalizing; the intent is to seize upon those aspects of suburbia that are proving successful, and to make them better by working with their existing logics rather than imposing some idealistic scheme for social justice or architectural nirvana. My intent is distinctly not to turn the “edge city” into a “city;” I intend simply to make edge city a bit more efficient and livable. In
this way, the title of the project is very intentional when it uses the word “suburbanism” rather than “urbanism.” And so Cumberland provides a very rich canvas on which to paint my ideas about using the wasted space of suburbia to more productive ends.

That’s how the project started: as a desire to put the wasted space of suburbia to better use. But as the research evolved, I found the waste and emptiness more and more compelling. Those spaces included the roofs of warehouses and big box stores, sprawling surface parking lots, idle front lawns, and other such spaces. The most promising space to direct my attack in terms of polemics was the parking lot because it gets right to the heart of the tension: the automobile and the space it requires. In a way, this whole endeavor has been an exercise in irony: critique the city the automobile built, and then design a project around the automobile. As I said earlier, embracing contradictions has been an explicit goal since very early on, and juxtaposing the surface parking lot with housing seemed to perfectly express the tension between people and cars, housing and parking, and how much relative importance our society places on each. And so the task became to find the right parking lot. At first, I assumed my housing would be occupying the parking lot in some literal way, on the ground, so I looked for a lot that was as big, coherent, and simple as possible within the bounds of the Cumberland area I had already identified as my area of study. That parking lot was very clearly the one serving the Akers Mill shopping center. Having found the parking lot, I began experimenting with different ways of using the pattern of the parking spaces to create a grid on which to draw my housing units. This effort resulted in a serious tension between how much parking should be preserved and how nice it would actually be to live in a parking lot, in the shadow of big-box stores with their big, loud signs on the front. I was violating one of the cardinal rules of suburbia: separation of commercial from residential.
After some checking into the zoning ordinance for Cobb County, I discovered that there already wasn’t enough parking at Akers Mill (according to code; in practice, there’s way too much), meaning that there was no space for housing on the ground, unless the law were to be changed. So I simply moved the housing up thirty feet above the parking lot. This allowed me to recreate the separation of uses so necessary to successful suburbia without gobbling up more land. It allowed me to work within the confines of existing parking requirements and to create a residential world above that is almost completely unaware of the commercial landscape below it. This means that I have essentially just placed one half of suburbia – neighborhoods of houses – above the other half – big box shopping centers – thereby doubling land use efficiency without sacrificing any of the amenities expected from either program. This move also implicitly critiques the nature of the zoning code: by going to great lengths to allow code-required space for cars while creating space for housing, I am at the same time suggesting that the law over-values the car at the expense of sustainable building patterns.

Mid-way through the Thesis Project, I discovered the necessary yin to Conspicuous Space’s yang: Invisible Separation. Conspicuous Space is the idea that the wasted spaces of suburbia are not completely wasted: they communicate an abundance, or an ability to waste. It is through the creation of vast spaces that we communicate our affluence in that we have the means to create and maintain those vast spaces. (Of course, we are coming to learn that we no longer have that ability, which is where projects like this thesis come in to propose compelling ways to put that Conspicuous (wasted) Space to better use.) However, the same facts that have allowed vast open spaces (such as parking lots) to proliferate – super-cheap land, outdated zoning laws, heavily subsidized automo-
bile transportation, and bad tax incentives – have also allowed the opposite to proliferate: Invisible Separation, the idea that different land uses should be completely unaware of each other, thereby preserving the purity of each and preventing a massive collision of different scales (even a McMansion looks fairly modest when placed within view of a SuperTarget). This separation requires enough land to be able to sufficiently prevent one programmatic ghetto from being in any way aware of another. It’s okay to live in a neighborhood right behind a shopping center, so long as you would never be able to tell, from within the neighborhood, that the shopping center exists. Around Atlanta, that Invisible Separation is achieved largely by the ever-presence of trees, which form a suburban poche, a mass from which is cut the voids of human inhabitation, with the left-over mass (trees) serving as a natural barrier between different suburban realms. This project acknowledges both sides of the coin – Conspicuous Space and Invisible Separation – by expressing itself as one massive building to the commercial space on the ground and then expressing itself as many individual houses within the residential area above, with the perimeter of the residential area being lined with trees and green public space – such as a soccer field, tennis courts and a community garden – to cut off sight lines to the outside world. As another example of intentional contradiction, however, there are office towers right across the street that no tree could completely hide from view, thereby exposing the trick and slowly cluing the inhabitant back into the reality of life in a city, even of the edge variety.

In short, this project is about maintaining the suburban ideal – rugged individualism, privacy, mobility – while mitigating its liabilities – isolation, distance, and automobile dependance - by building residential neighborhoods in currently underutilized space.
the morrow site was eliminated after the first review, for practical reasons, as well as the fact that the Cumberland edge city was proving to be the more interesting site which seemed to “want” densification more than the low-rise suburb of Morrow.

all further investigation concerned itself with the Cumberland site. what follows is a diary of sketches between the first and second reviews that played a huge role in developing the idea of the project as well as the individual houses. the sketches are largely searching for the proper way to express the “houseness” of the units, for the proper density levels, for the proper way to express the new “big box” that I have created, as well as drawing parallels between the iconic house shape and the shape of the truss structure.

the struggle that is herein documented is one of many different oppositions:

- public/inhabitant vs architect
- developer house vs big box store
- icon/imagery vs function/structure
- conspicuous space vs conspicuous separation
DOUBLE-TAKES

PITCH ROOF

TRUSS

FOLDED PLANE

ROOF WINDOW
...at the time of the second review
...at the time of the final review

*this section to be completed by May 5, 2010 and resubmitted for grading and records*
HOUSE 1 - the "Executive"

amenities include:
- garage for 1 car
- double-height foyer w/ skylight
- fireplace on every floor
- covered back porch
- "cathedral" ceilings on 2nd floor
- space for gardening
- 9' ceilings on all floors
- all operable windows
- customizable plan

short section through garage  
front elevation  
back elevation  
short section through foyer  
long section through middle

level 0 - infrastructure  
level 1 - main  
level 2 - loft  
level 3 - roof
HOUSE 2 - the “Retreat”

amenities include:
- garage for 2 cars
- front lawn
- double-height foyer w/ skylight
- fireplace on every floor
- covered back porch
- space for gardening
- 9’ ceilings on all floors
- all operable windows
- 2 extra rooms (1 w/ skylight)
- customizable plan
3

HOUSE 3 - the “Homestead”

amenities include:
- garage for 3 cars
- front lawn
- double-height foyer w/ skylight
- fireplace on every floor
- covered back porch
- space for gardening
- >9’ ceilings on all floors
- all operable windows
- 3 extra rooms (2 w/ skylights)
- customizable plan
Akers Mill shopping includes:

- Bed Bath & Beyond
- Honey Baked Ham
- Subway
- Sports Authority
- Office Max
- Party City

now with HOUSING:

- one "Executive" for singles
- one "Retreat" for couples
- one "Homestead" for small families

neighborhood includes:

- community garden
- walking garden
- soccer field
- tennis courts
- lots of green and open space
- hidden parking

restricted access

south elevation: fronting on Cobb Pkwy, US-41

east-west section

main facade / commercial entry

view from southern approach on US-41

front walk

back walk

view from above, southeast aerial

view from below, northeast
SUMMARY

Conspicuous Space: Parking Lot Suburbanism addresses the architectural and social issues of the American suburb by looking at its main components, the developer house and the big-box shopping center. It also looks at the role of the car in our lives and how it shapes the way we live, shop, travel, interact, and most importantly the way we build our cities. The contemporary American suburb is built around the automobile, an invention that Americans generally take for granted and cannot imagine living without. There is a new kind of suburb being built in many places across the country that is neither truly suburb nor city. It goes by many names, “edge city” being the one I have used, and it generally happens where suburbanites have moved their jobs out to where they have been living since the 1950s. It is characterized by a heavy dependence on the automobile and vast open or unbuilt spaces set next to typically urban building types, such as the office tower skyscraper. It is a land of contradictions well documented in Joel Garreau’s Edge City and elsewhere. This project is an attempt to embrace those contradictions and twist them to help reduce waste in the built environment.

The idea is to first hunt down those characteristics that make the American suburbs (or edge cities) tick and to maintain them but change the context in which they operate in order to make them serve multiple purposes simultaneously. This project has identified the following characteristics: separation of different land uses enforced through zoning codes (notably residential from commercial), the dominance of the automobile, and a stylistic promiscuity resulting in kitschy traditionalizing houses right down the road from modern steel and glass office towers right down the road from sign-as-building commercial strip malls and shopping centers.
This project does not question any of these paradigms: it simply reorients them. The housing in this project is separated from the commercial strip, but instead of horizontal separation achieved through sprawling land use, it is a vertical separation achieved by raising the housing above the stores, thereby reducing land use. The automobile is dominant in this project – each housing unit has its own garage at the front door and the parking for the shopping center below is practically untouched – but the automobiles are buried in the structure of the housing, thereby freeing the false ground above to pedestrian movement and children playing. And finally, the styles of the various building elements are as jarringly collaged as it is in the suburban context: the houses in this project are made to resemble houses in the public imagination and the big boxes under the housing get an even bigger box with even bigger signs, thereby integrating the project with the disjointed vernacular of American corporate suburbia.

The particular edge city under scrutiny is the Cumberland/Galleria area, northwest of Atlanta, Georgia. I chose this site not only because I know it well (I have lived in the suburbs of Atlanta my entire life) but because it is a perfect example of an edge city: it’s population is a quarter that of Atlanta’s, it headquarters multiple Fortune 500 companies, most notably Home Depot, it is completely automobile dependant and is at the intersection of two interstate highways, and it doesn’t actually exist as a municipality, only as a Community Improvement District within Cobb County. It is also a very strong area economically: this project is intended to be synergistic, not revitalizing; the intent is to seize upon those aspects of suburbia that are proving successful, and to make them better by working with their existing logics rather than imposing some idealistic scheme for social justice or architectural nirvana. My intent is distinctly not to turn the “edge city” into a “city;” I intend simply to make edge city a bit more efficient and livable. In
this way, the title of the project is very intentional when it uses the word “suburbanism” rather than “urbanism.” And so Cumberland provides a very rich canvas on which to paint my ideas about using the wasted space of suburbia to more productive ends.

That’s how the project started: as a desire to put the wasted space of suburbia to better use. But as the research evolved, I found the waste and emptiness more and more compelling. Those spaces included the roofs of warehouses and big box stores, sprawling surface parking lots, idle front lawns, and other such spaces. The most promising space to direct my attack in terms of polemics was the parking lot because it gets right to the heart of the tension: the automobile and the space it requires. In a way, this whole endeavor has been an exercise in irony: critique the city the automobile built, and then design a project around the automobile. As I said earlier, embracing contradictions has been an explicit goal since very early on, and juxtaposing the surface parking lot with housing seemed to perfectly express the tension between people and cars, housing and parking, and how much relative importance our society places on each. And so the task became to find the right parking lot. At first, I assumed my housing would be occupying the parking lot in some literal way, on the ground, so I looked for a lot that was as big, coherent, and simple as possible within the bounds of the Cumberland area I had already identified as my area of study. That parking lot was very clearly the one serving the Akers Mill shopping center. Having found the parking lot, I began experimenting with different ways of using the pattern of the parking spaces to create a grid on which to draw my housing units. This effort resulted in a serious tension between how much parking should be preserved and how nice it would actually be to live in a parking lot, in the shadow of big-box stores with their big, loud signs on the front. I was violating one of the cardinal rules of suburbia: separation of commercial from residential.
After some checking into the zoning ordinance for Cobb County, I discovered that there already wasn’t enough parking at Akers Mill (according to code; in practice, there’s way too much), meaning that there was no space for housing on the ground, unless the law were to be changed. So I simply moved the housing up thirty feet above the parking lot. This allowed me to recreate the separation of uses so necessary to successful suburbia without gobbling up more land. It allowed me to work within the confines of existing parking requirements and to create a residential world above that is almost completely unaware of the commercial landscape below it. This means that I have essentially just placed one half of suburbia – neighborhoods of houses – above the other half – big box shopping centers – thereby doubling land use efficiency without sacrificing any of the amenities expected from either program. This move also implicitly critiques the nature of the zoning code: by going to great lengths to allow code-required space for cars while creating space for housing, I am at the same time suggesting that the law over-values the car at the expense of sustainable building patterns.

Mid-way through the Thesis Project, I discovered the necessary yin to Conspicuous Space’s yang: Invisible Separation. Conspicuous Space is the idea that the wasted spaces of suburbia are not completely wasted: they communicate an abundance, or an ability to waste. It is through the creation of vast spaces that we communicate our affluence in that we have the means to create and maintain those vast spaces. (Of course, we are coming to learn that we no longer have that ability, which is where projects like this thesis come in to propose compelling ways to put that Conspicuous (wasted) Space to better use.) However, the same facts that have allowed vast open spaces (such as parking lots) to proliferate – super-cheap land, outdated zoning laws, heavily subsidized automo-
bile transportation, and bad tax incentives – have also allowed the opposite to proliferate: Invisible Separation, the idea that different land uses should be completely unaware of each other, thereby preserving the purity of each and preventing a massive collision of different scales (even a McMansion looks fairly modest when placed within view of a SuperTarget). This separation requires enough land to be able to sufficiently prevent one programmatic ghetto from being in any way aware of another. It’s okay to live in a neighborhood right behind a shopping center, so long as you would never be able to tell, from within the neighborhood, that the shopping center exists. Around Atlanta, that Invisible Separation is achieved largely by the ever-presence of trees, which form a suburban poche, a mass from which is cut the voids of human inhabitation, with the left-over mass (trees) serving as a natural barrier between different suburban realms. This project acknowledges both sides of the coin – Conspicuous Space and Invisible Separation – by expressing itself as one massive building to the commercial space on the ground and then expressing itself as many individual houses within the residential area above, with the perimeter of the residential area being lined with trees and green public space – such as a soccer field, tennis courts and a community garden – to cut off sight lines to the outside world. As another example of intentional contradiction, however, there are office towers right across the street that no tree could completely hide from view, thereby exposing the trick and slowly cluing the inhabitant back into the reality of life in a city, even of the edge variety.

In short, this project is about maintaining the suburban ideal – rugged individualism, privacy, mobility – while mitigating its liabilities – isolation, distance, and automobile dependance - by building residential neighborhoods in currently underutilized space.