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Abstract

Compounds containing s-block metals are highly sought after as precursor materials for the Metal Organic Chemical Vapor Deposition (MOCVD) process with applications for novel and improved electronic materials. Previous work in the Ruhlandt Group has been focused on the synthesis and characterization of novel homoleptic alkaline earth (Ae) metal pyrazolate and tetraarylborate complexes, while understanding the importance of secondary interactions such as M-\(\pi\) in the isolation of these compounds. More recently, it was further proposed that the pyrazolate and tetraarylborate ligand systems combined with an Ae silyl amide via transamination may very well result in an improved heteroleptic MOCVD potential precursor due to the ligand systems’ capability of extended M-\(\pi\) interactions. Herein are described efforts to further understand and successfully reproduce, with significantly improved yields from \(\sim 1 – 15\% \) to 50\% (ave.), these unprecedented Ae tetraarylborates \([\text{Ae}(\text{B}((3,5\text{-Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4))_2(\text{thf})_n \ (\text{Ae} = \text{Ca}, 1^\dagger, n = 0; \text{Ae} = \text{Sr}, 2^\dagger, n = 0; \text{Ae} = \text{Ba}, 3^\dagger, n = 1)\) and \([\text{Ae}(\text{B}((4\text{-tBu}\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4))_2(\text{thf})_n \ (\text{Ae} = \text{Ba}, 4^\dagger, n = 0)\) and heteroleptic tetraarylborate pyrazolates \([\text{Ae}(\text{thf})_2(\text{Et}_2\text{O})_2(t\text{Bu}_2\text{pz})][\text{B}((3,5\text{-Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4]) \ (\text{Ae} = \text{Ca}, 5^\dagger)\) and \([\text{Ae}(\text{thf})_2(t\text{Bu}_2\text{pz})(\text{B}((3,5\text{-Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4)]) \ (\text{Ae} = \text{Sr}, 6^\dagger; \text{Ba}, 7^\dagger)\) that exhibit various ion association modes along with M-\(\pi\) interactions. In addition, little is known about the formation of these compounds; as such, there is still a need to examine and further explore the synthesis of various Lewis bases to provide an improved understanding towards the structure-function relationships of these complexes.
Executive Summary

The s-block metals comprising of alkali metals and alkaline earth metals (calcium, strontium, and barium) are sought after as precursor materials for the Metal Organic Chemical Vapor Deposition (MOCVD) process with applications for novel and improved electronic materials. While remarkable progress has been made towards the optimization of synthetic pathways and the exploration of alternative routes to overcome various synthetic challenges towards these compounds, significant restrictions and limitations remain. However, research in the Ruhlandt Group has seen that the importance of secondary non-covalent interactions, such as M-π, has played a key role in the isolation of novel alkali and alkaline earth metal complexes.

Previous work has focused on the synthesis of novel homoleptic alkaline earth (Ae) metal pyrazolate and tetraarylborate complexes. These ligand systems make for promising oxide-free MOCVD precursors. The pyrazolate and tetraarylborate ligands have a wide variety of binding modes which help stabilize organometallic compounds, thus making it useful for synthesis of Ae complexes. Homometallic pyrazolates with alkali, Ae, and rare earth metals are well-established and have been synthesized and characterized. Prior research in the Ruhlandt Group has led to the isolation of novel Ae pyrazolate compounds such as [{Ae(tBu₂pz)₂}ₙ] (Ae = Ca, n = 3; Ae = Sr, n = 4; Ae = Ba, n = 6) and more recently by Lavin and Woods, the tetraarylborates including [Ae(B((3,5-Me₂)C₆H₃)₄)₂(thf)ₙ] (Ae = Ca, 1⁺, n = 0; Ae = Sr, 2⁺, n = 0; Ae = Ba, 3⁺, n = 1) and [Ae(B((4-tBu)C₆H₃)₄)₂(thf)ₙ] (Ae = Ba, 4⁺, n = 0). The first part of this thesis focuses on efforts towards successfully reproducing these tetraarylborate complexes with improved yields.

It was proposed that reacting the pyrazolate and tetraarylborate ligands with Ae[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂(thf)₂ (Ae = Ca, Sr, Ba) via transamination would result in mixed pyrazolate and tetraarylborate compounds similar to lanthanide work done by Deacon *et al.* Thus, the second part
of this thesis focuses on efforts towards successfully reproducing and upscaling these novel heteroleptic compounds such as $[\text{Ae}(\text{thf})_2(\text{Et}_2\text{O})_2(\text{tBu}_2\text{pz})][\text{B}((3,5-\text{Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4])$ ($\text{Ae} = \text{Ca}$, $^5\dagger$) and $[\text{Ae}(\text{thf})_2(\text{tBu}_2\text{pz})(\text{B}((3,5-\text{Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4])$ ($\text{Ae} = \text{Sr}$, $^6\dagger$; $\text{Ba}$, $^7\dagger$) initially synthesized by Lavin and Woods based on the well-understood homoleptic systems.

However, little is known about the formation of these Ae tetraarylborates and the heteroleptic tetraarylborate pyrazolate compounds; as such, there is a need to examine and further explore the synthesis and effects of various Lewis bases to provide an improved understanding towards these species via donor studies. Initial work towards this goal are explored in the final part of this work.
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CHAPTER 1: Introduction

1.1 The Alkaline Earth Metals

The s-block elements are located on the far left in the periodic table and consist of alkali metals and alkaline earth metals. The alkaline earth metals are comprised of beryllium (Be), magnesium (Mg), calcium (Ca), strontium (Sr), and barium (Ba), and occur naturally in minerals and salts found in the earth’s crust and the oceans. These elements have valence electron configurations of \( ns^2 \) and are highly electropositive, thus making them susceptible to the loss of valence electrons in order to form a positively charged cation (Table 1.1). These metals are highly oxo- and hydrophilic and therefore require strict water-free conditions and synthesis must therefore be performed under a strict inert gas environment.

Table 1.1 Alkaline earth metal properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Electron Configuration (m(^0))</th>
<th>1(^{st}) Ionization Energy</th>
<th>Electronegativity</th>
<th>Charge Radius</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>[Ar]4s(^2)</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>[Kr]5s(^2)</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>[Xe]6s(^2)</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alkaline earth metals play a variety of roles in synthetic chemistry and are used in many materials such as semiconductors. Additionally, calcium-containing reagents have been found to be environmentally benign, allowing for potential applications in green chemistry. Compounds synthesized using heavy alkaline earth metals can also be utilized as potential precursors for Metal Organic Chemical Vapor Deposition (MOCVD).

There are many factors affecting the bonding characteristics of s-block metals, particularly the size of the metal, the strength of the metal-ligand bond, and the properties of the ligand. These
make the resulting structures difficult to predict, especially when the multiple potential ion-association modes of these metals are taken into account. Previous research has indicated that a contact pair is favored for covalent metal-ligand bonds, while ion separation is common in heavier metals with a weak metal-ligand bond (c.f. Chapter 2).

1.2 Secondary Non-Covalent Interactions

It has been observed that non-covalent interactions, particularly M-π and agostic interactions (M···H—C) are critical to the stabilization of the Ae metal centers. The aromaticity of the tetraarylborate and deprotonated pyrazolate ligand systems (c.f. 1.3) allow for these secondary interactions to occur between the ligand and the highly electropositive metal center of the complex. When these weak interactions are combined with sterically hindered ligands or are in the presence of neutral donors or Lewis bases, the resulting complex can lead to ideal precursors for MOCVD that are volatile and able to sublime intact. The cut-off values for these secondary non-covalent interactions have been well-studied in the Ruhlandt Group, as seen in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2 Cut-off values for M-π and agostic interactions (M···H—C) fall within the range of the sum of van der Waals radii (Σ (r_{WA} + r_{WC})) for a metal-carbon bond for alkaline earth metals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ae^{2+}</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>r_{ion}</th>
<th>r_{W} (H = 1.1.) (Å)</th>
<th>Σ(r_{WAe} + r_{WH}) (Å)</th>
<th>Cut-off Value (Ae···H—C) (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.3 Selected Ligand Systems

1.3.1 The Tetraarylborate Ligand System

The tetraarylborate ligand system is a large anionic ligand that primarily participates in M-π and agostic M-H interactions, and is generally used as a “counterion” to stabilize cationic
compounds (Figure 1.1). Because the negative charge throughout the delocalized system is stabilized by the aryl groups, this ligand is very stable when exposed to air and water.

![Figure 1.1 The tetraarylborate ligand system.](image)

- 24 e⁻π system
- Stable in air and water
- Easily substituted aromatic rings
- Capable of M-ligand π interactions

M-π interactions between tetraarylborate ligands and metal cations were first observed with transition metal complexes, and later extended to studies of lanthanide interactions with the ligand system. Previous research conducted within the Ruhlandt Group studied this M-π coordination using alkyl-substituted tetraarylborates and a diethyl ether solvent. This research yielded compounds with low coordination numbers where M-ligand π secondary interactions are primarily responsible for the saturation of the metal, and showed that multiple factors help contribute to the saturation of the metal center of the compound (c.f. Chapter 2).

### 1.3.2 The Pyrazole Ligand System

Pyrazole is a ring consisting of three carbon atoms and two nitrogen atoms that becomes aromatic upon deprotonation of the compound (Figure 1.2)
Once the pyrazole is deprotonated, it can bind to a metal cation and create a stable pyrazolate compound, and the aromaticity of the ligand allows for M-$\pi$ interactions. In addition, the solubility of the ligand can be adjusted by substituting various alkyl and aryl groups onto the 3- and 5- positions of the pyrazole (Figures 1.3 and 1.4). The list of potential groups includes -H, -CH$_3$, -tBu, and -Ph. Metal pyrazolate compounds with various substituted groups can then be studied in order to evaluate the effects of solubility and reactivity on the formation of these compounds.
Selected Lewis Bases

In addition to the M-\(\pi\) interactions with the aryl rings of the ligand systems, there is a need to examine the secondary interactions with the metal center and neutral Lewis bases as it might further contribute to the compounds’ saturation and volatility. These oxygen- and nitrogen- Lewis bases/neutral co-ligands/donors can help to further saturate the metal ion center, resulting in varied...
structure-function relationships. Lewis bases with varying hapticities, as seen in Figure 1.5 below, can be studied in order to determine which systems can result in the most stable complex that is also volatile enough to sublime intact and can thus be further tested in order to determine their potential as MOCVD precursors.

Figure 1.5 Potential Lewis bases or donors.

1.5 Goals

1.5.1 Alkaline Earth Metal Tetraarylborate Compounds and Donor Studies

Previous work in the Ruhlandt Group by Lavin and Woods synthesized a family of tetraarylborate compounds: \([\text{Ae}(\text{B}((3,5-\text{Me}_2)\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4)\text{thf})_n]\) (Ae = Ca, \(1^\dagger\), n = 0; Ae = Sr, \(2^\dagger\), n = 0; Ae = Ba, \(3^\dagger\), n = 1) and \([\text{Ae}(\text{B}((4-t\text{Bu})\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4)\text{thf})_n]\) (Ae = Ba, \(4^\dagger\), n = 0).\(^{15}\) However, reproducible and scalable yields were lacking. Herein describes successful efforts towards this end by repeating the synthesis of these compounds and characterizing them in order to obtain publishable data. These compounds will then be subjected to donor studies with various Lewis bases such as pyridine and TMEDA and initially characterized using \(^{1}\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}\) NMR.
1.5.2 Alkaline Earth Metal Heteroleptic Pyrazolate Tetraarylborate Compounds and Donor Studies

The second goal of this project is to reproduce compounds previously synthesized by Lavin and Woods in the Ruhlandt Group: \([\text{Ae}(\text{thf})_2(\text{Et}_2\text{O})_2(\text{tBu}_2\text{pz})][\text{B}((3,5-\text{Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4] (\text{Ae} = \text{Ca}, 5^\dagger)\) and \([\text{Ae}(\text{thf})_2(\text{tBu}_2\text{pz})(\text{B}((3,5-\text{Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4]) (\text{Ae} = \text{Sr}, 6^\dagger; \text{Ba}, 7^\dagger).\) By synthesizing reproducible data, these compounds can be studied in order to further the understanding of M-π interactions of the tetraarylborate and pyrazolate ligand systems. These compounds will then be subjected to donor studies with various Lewis bases such as pyridine and TMEDA and initially characterized using \(^1\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}\) NMR.

1.6 References


CHAPTER 2: Reproducibility and Extension of Alkaline Earth Metal Tetraarylborates

2.1 Introduction

In order to understand the relationship between the structure and function of an alkaline earth metal, the factors responsible for association and coordination trends must be studied. Depending on the metal, ligand, and co-ligand, these alkaline earth metal species can have different ion association modes such as contact, contact/separated, and separated, as seen in Figure 2.1.\(^1\)-\(^8\)

\[ \text{Contact} \quad \text{Contact/Separated} \quad \text{Separated} \]

**Figure 2.1** Possible alkaline earth metal ion association modes (Ae = alkaline earth metal, L = ligand).\(^1\)-\(^8\)

Prior research has been conducted that observed separated ions \([\text{Ae(thf)}_n][\text{BPh}_4]^2 (\text{Ae} = \text{Ca}, n = 6; \text{Sr}, n = 7)\) for calcium and strontium, while the barium species appears as the contact/separated ion \([\text{Ba(η}^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5\text{BPh}_2\text{(thf)}_4]][\text{BPh}_4]^2 \) with multiple M-π interactions, seen in Figure 2.2.\(^9\),\(^10\) This indicates that many factors, including the properties of the metal, co-ligand, and ligand, affect the ion association and therefore the reactivity of the compounds.
Figure 2.2 Previously synthesized separated ions for Ca (I) and Sr (II) tetraarylborate and Ba (III) contact/separated complex.\textsuperscript{9,10} Hydrogen atoms and the second uncoordinated tetraarylborate are omitted for clarity.

Studies by previous Syracuse University Ruhlandt Group students Catherine Lavin and Joshua Woods assess the impact of aryl substitution in a family of heavy alkaline earth metal tetraarylborates.\textsuperscript{11-13} Based on prior syntheses, \textit{Ae[N(SiMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{2}(thf)}\textsubscript{2} (Ae = Ca, Sr, Ba) were treated with alkyl-substituted tetraarylborate [{\textit{BAr\textsubscript{4}}}^{-}] in diethyl ether and yielded compounds [{\textit{Ae(B(3,5-Me\textsubscript{2})C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4}}\textsubscript{2}(thf)}\textsubscript{n}] (Ae = Ca, \textit{1}\textsuperscript{†}, n = 0; Ae = Sr, \textit{2}\textsuperscript{†}, n = 0; Ae = Ba, \textit{3}\textsuperscript{†}, n = 1) and [{\textit{Ba}\{B((4-tBu)C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{4}}\cdot\text{Et}_2\text{O}, \textit{4}\textsuperscript{†}], as seen in Equation 2.1 below, \textit{via} transamination.\textsuperscript{7-9} However, these compounds were obtained at very low yields and were not able to be systematically synthesized and reproduced. Thus, there was a need to successfully reproduce these compounds with increased
yields in order to attain publishable results. Compounds 1-4† were all successfully synthesized and characterized via $^1$H, $^{11}$B, $^{13}$C NMR, infrared (IR) spectroscopy, and single crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD)\textsuperscript{11-13}

\[
\text{Equation 2.1} \quad \text{Synthesis of Ae tetraarylborates, } \text{Ae} = \text{Ca, Ar} = ((3,5-\text{Me}_2)\text{C}_6\text{H}_3), \text{ n} = 0, \text{ 1$^\dagger$}; \text{ Ae} = \text{Sr, Ar} = ((3,5-\text{Me}_2)\text{C}_6\text{H}_3), \text{ n} = 0, \text{ 2$^\dagger$}; \text{ Ae} = \text{Ba, Ar} = ((3,5-\text{Me}_2)\text{C}_6\text{H}_3), \text{ n} = 1, \text{ 3$^\dagger$}; \text{ Ae} = \text{Ba, Ar} = ((4-\text{tBu})\text{C}_6\text{H}_4), \text{ n} = 0, \text{ 4$^\dagger$}.\textsuperscript{11-13}
\]

2.2 Results and Discussion

2.2.1 Synthetic Chemistry

In order to successfully reproduce Compounds 1-4†, several initial starting materials were synthesized. The \(\text{Ae}[\text{N(SiMe}_3)]_2(\text{thf})_2\) (Ae = Ca, Sr, Ba) were synthesized via Redox Transmetallation Ligand Exchange (RTLE)/Redox Transmetallation Protolysis (RTP) using previous synthetic methods as seen in Equation 2.2 below.\textsuperscript{14} This one-pot synthesis was time- and cost-effective, as readily available triphenylbismuth was added to mechanically filed Ae in tetrahydrofuran (THF). The metal was activated under sonication and after several days and well-established work-up protocols, the Ae silyl amides were isolated in high yields.\textsuperscript{14}
Ae\(_{(s)}\) + \(\frac{2}{3}\) BiPh\(_3\) + 2HN(SiMe\(_3\))\(_2\) → Ae[N(SiMe\(_3\))\(_2\)](thf)\(_2\) + \(\frac{2}{3}\) Bi(s) + 2HPh
Ae = Ca, Sr, Ba

**Equation 2.2** Synthesis of Ae amide starting materials.\(^{14}\)

The tetraarylborates were synthesized via a Grignard reaction following well-established protocols and work done by Lavin et. al., as seen in Equation 2.3.\(^{11,12}\) The resulting tetraarylborate was then carefully monitored and heated under vacuum for several days to ensure complete dryness. This step, highlighted by Lavin et. al., was critical as any presence of water would prevent the target compounds from forming, and if the temperature was heated over 80 °C, the tetraarylborate would start to decompose.\(^{11}\)

\[
4 \text{Mg}(s) + 4\text{ArBr} \xrightarrow{\text{THF}} 4\text{ArMgBr} \xrightarrow{\text{KBF}_4 [\text{HEt}_3\text{N}]^+[\text{Cl}]^-} [\text{HEt}_3\text{N}]^+[\text{BAr}_4]^- \]

Ar = ((3,5-Me)\(_6\)H\(_3\)), ((4-tBu)\(_6\)H\(_4\))

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{((3,5-Me)C}_6\text{H}_3) & \quad \text{((4-tBu)C}_6\text{H}_4) \\
\text{((3,5-Me)C}_6\text{H}_3) & \quad \text{((4-tBu)C}_6\text{H}_4)
\end{align*}
\]

**Equation 2.3** Synthesis of tetraarylborates.\(^7\)

Compounds 1-4\(^\dagger\) were then synthesized via transamination, as previously mentioned in Equation 2.1 above. However, instead of running the reactions on the Schlenk-line under inert gas, the reactions were fire-sealed in heavy-walled Carius tubes (c.f. Chapter 4). This reaction was driven by the pK\(_a\) of HN(SiMe\(_3\))\(_2\) (pK\(_a\) = 30) and the high solubility of the free amine.\(^{11-13}\) All
reactions were conducted under strict inert gas conditions, and the reaction in the sealed Carius tube was left to heat for several days at 60 °C (Compounds 1†, 3†, 4†) and 35 °C (Compound 2†). For the successful reproducible synthesis of these homoleptic compounds, synthetic techniques were modified from Lavin and Woods by using as little Et₂O as possible, resulting in over a 57% reduction in solvent volume which allowed for a more concentrated solution and ability to heat the reaction at various temperatures. Additionally, the reactions were slowly heated and visually monitored over several days with a slow increase in temperature in order to ensure the progression of the reaction. Equally important was that the temperature was slowly lowered back to room temperature over many days after heating to further induce crystal formation and hence increasing yields from 9% - 15% to 40% - 60% (Table 2.1).¹¹-¹³ The entire reaction occurred over several weeks versus the five to seven days as previously carried out by Lavin and Woods. The family of Compounds 1-4† were successfully repeated using the modified procedures, ensuring reproducibility with improved yields. The ¹H NMR and other spectroscopic analysis for the compounds confirmed the presence of the complexes. For example, the ¹H NMR spectrum for Compound 2† showed peaks at 7.29 ppm and 6.53 ppm confirming the aryl rings and at 2.10 ppm confirming the alkyl-substituents of the (3,5-Me₂)-tetraarylborate ligand system (c.f. Chapter 4 for more details). Thus, these results were finally able to be added to the manuscript in preparation and most recently submitted for review.¹³
Table 2.1 Summary of Reactions for Compounds 1-4†.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Days</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ca(B((3,5-Me2)C₆H₃)₄]₂, 1†</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>White crystals</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Sr(B((3,5-Me2)C₆H₃)₄]₂, 2†</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Tan crystals</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ba(B((3,5-Me2)C₆H₃)₄]₂, 3†</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>White-tan crystals</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ba(B((4-tBu)C₆H₄)₄]₂, 4†</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>White crystals</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ca(B((3,5-Me2)C₆H₃)₄]₂, 1†</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>White crystals</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Sr(B((3,5-Me2)C₆H₃)₄]₂, 2†</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Tan crystals</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ba(B((3,5-Me2)C₆H₃)₄]₂, 3†</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>White-tan crystals</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ba(B((4-tBu)C₆H₄)₄]₂, 4†</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>White crystals</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.3 Reactions in Carius tubes monitored at various temperatures to induce crystallization

2.2.2 Structural Aspects of Compounds 1-4†

Compounds 1-4† were characterized using single crystal X-ray crystallography. A summary of pertinent details obtained from characterization are provided in Table 2.2.11-13 The compounds were additionally characterized with $^1$H, $^{13}$C, and $^{11}$B nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy as well as infrared (IR) spectroscopy.11-13 This data is listed in the Experimental (c.f. Chapter 4).
Table 2.2 Crystallographic data for Compounds 1-4†,11-13

|                | 1                              | 2                              | 3                               | 4                              |
|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|                                 |                                |
| empirical formula | C₆₄H₇₂B₂Ca                   | C₆₄H₇₂B₂Sr                     | C₇₄H₉₅B₂BaNOSi₂                  | C₈₈H₁₂₄B₂BaO₂                  |
| formula weight  | 902.91                        | 950.45                         | 1229.64                         | 1372.82                        |
| a (Å)           | 25.7853(14)                   | 26.248(11)                     | 13.0292(11)                     | 22.6717(13)                    |
| b (Å)           | 16.7675(14)                   | 16.835(11)                     | 17.9564(15)                     | 20.4230(13)                    |
| c (Å)           | 14.9589(9)                    | 15.012(7)                      | 30.913(3)                       | 19.1338(11)                    |
| α (°)           | 90                             | 90                             | 90                              | 90                             |
| β (°)           | 123.562(2)                    | 124.428(16)                    | 96.991(2)                       | 114.628(2)                     |
| γ (°)           | 90                             | 90                             | 90                              | 90                             |
| V (Å³)          | 5389.3(6)                     | 5472(5)                        | 7178.4(11)                      | 8053.5(8)                      |
| Z               | 4                              | 4                              | 4                               | 4                              |
| space group     | C2/c                           | C2/c                           | P2₁/n                           | C2/c                           |
| d_{calc} (Mg/m³) | 1.113                          | 1.154                          | 1.138                           | 1.132                          |
| linear abs. coeff. (mm⁻¹) | 0.155                         | 1.023                          | 0.627                           | 0.537                          |
| T (K)           | 90(2)                          | 95(2)                          | 90(2)                           | 90(2)                          |
| 2θ range (°)   | 1.540-33.230                   | 1.818-31.612                   | 1.314-27.101                    | 1.404-27.023                   |
| no. of indep. reflns. | 10285                        | 9043                           | 15748                           | 8804                           |
| no. of parameters | 323                           | 323                            | 791                             | 489                            |
| R₁, wR₂ (all data) | 0.0660, 0.0385, 0.1242, 0.2389 | 0.0403, 0.0836                 | 0.0321, 0.0787                  |
| R₁, wR₂ (>2σ)  | 0.0437, 0.0303, 0.0779, 0.2106 | 0.0437, 0.0303, 0.0779, 0.2106 | 0.0321, 0.0787                  |

2.2.2.1 Structural Characterization of Compounds 1-4†

Prior research observed separated ions in [Ae(thf)_n][BPh_4]_2 (Ae = Ca, n = 6; Sr, n = 7) for calcium and strontium, while the barium species complex showed a contact/separated ion [Ba((η⁶-C₆H₅)_2BPh_2(thf)_4][BPh_4]_2 with multiple M-π interactions (Figure 2.2). This demonstrated how many factors including the properties of the metal, co-ligand, and ligand affect the ion association and reactivity of these compounds. The previously synthesized Compounds 1-4† all exhibited multiple M-π interactions yielding non-conventional contact molecules (Figures 2.4 – 2.7). Non-covalent interactions were assigned using established cut-off values (c.f. 1.2).
Figure 2.4 Crystal structure of $1^+$ [Ca{B((3,5-Me$_2$)C$_6$H$_3$)$_4$}]$_2$; hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity; second [BAR$_4$]$^-$ is generated by the symmetry transformation $-x + 1, y, -z + 1/2$. (Ca1-C1,2,9,10,25,32 as 2.763(1), 2.816(1), 2.745(1), 2.869(1), 2.723(1), 2.910(1) Å and Ca1-H2,10,32 as 2.64(1), 2.75(2), 2.88(2) Å, respectively.)$^{11-13}$

Figure 2.5 Crystal structure of $2^+$ [Sr{B((3,5-Me$_2$)C$_6$H$_3$)$_4$}]$_2$; hydrogen atoms not participating in agostic interactions are omitted for clarity; second [BAR$_4$]$^-$ is generated by the symmetry transformation $-x + 1, y, -z + 1/2$. (Sr1-C1,2,9,10,25,32 as 2.894(2), 2.906(2), 2.868(2), 2.943(2), 2.837(2), 2.965(2) Å and Sr1-H2,10,32 as 2.75(1), 2.81(2), and 2.95(2) Å, respectively.)$^{11-13}$
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Figure 2.6 Crystal structure of Compound $3^\dagger$ [Ba{$\text{B}(\text{3,5-Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4}$]$_2$(thf)·HN(SiMe$_3$)$_2$; hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. (Ba1-C1,2,3,5,6,8,9,10,11,13,14,16,33,34,35,37,38,40 as 3.139(6), 3.142(6), 3.275(6), 3.374(6), 3.400(6), 3.224(7), 3.117(5), 3.084(6), 3.168(6), 3.283(7), 3.353(7), 3.204(5), 3.398(6), 3.204(6), 3.087(7), 3.102(7), 3.189(6), 3.301(7) Å, respectively.)$^{11-13}$

Figure 2.7 Crystal structure of Compound $4^\dagger$ [Ba{$\text{B}((4-t\text{Bu})\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)_4}$]$_2$·Et$_2$O; hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity; second [BAr$_4^-$] is generated by the symmetry transformation -x + 1, y, -z + 1/2. (Ba1-C1,2,3,9,10,11,12,13,19,20 as 3.100(2), 3.137(2), 3.336(2), 3.366(2), 3.156(2), 3.120(2), 3.158(2), 3.391(2), 3.425(1), 3.207(2) Å, respectively.)$^{11-13}$
Compounds 1-4† all show a direct relationship between the number of M-π interactions and the metal size, a similar observation to previous research on alkali metal tetraarylborates.\textsuperscript{15-18} Compounds 1† and 2† show no donor solvent present in these contact ion association complexes, but as the metal cation size increases such as in Compound 3†, the metal center forms M-π interactions with the ligand in the presence of a thf coordinated donor. However, in Compound 4†, the steric bulk of the \textit{tert}-butyl substituents on the tetraarylborate ligands prevented the donor solvent from interacting with the barium center and an unprecedented complete donor free contact ion association complex for a barium metal center was finally achieved. Additionally, aryl-metal coordination generally results in the distortion of the tetrahedral boron environment as shown in Table 2.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th># of M-π</th>
<th>C-B-C (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1†</td>
<td>12: 6 x η²</td>
<td>105.4(1)-111.4(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2†</td>
<td>12: 6 x η²</td>
<td>105.6(1)-111.4(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3† (B2)</td>
<td>6: 1 x η⁶</td>
<td>106.2(5)-112.1(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4†</td>
<td>20: 4 x η⁵</td>
<td>102.3(1)-112.7(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3† (B1)</td>
<td>12: 2 x η⁶</td>
<td>101.0(4)-115.6(5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Donor Studies

Once the compounds were successfully synthesized, Lewis bases were introduced in order to examine the effects of various hapticities on the structure-function relationship and M-π interactions. Donors were added to the compounds and left to crystallize under argon gas. NMR studies are currently being conducted in order to characterize these new compounds. The compounds successfully dissolved in the donor solvent, shown in Figure 2.8 and Table 2.4.
Ae[N(SiMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}](thf)\textsubscript{2} + 2HNEt\textsubscript{3}BAr\textsubscript{4} + Donor \rightarrow [Ae(BAr\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}(thf)\textsubscript{n}(Donor)\textsubscript{m}] + 2HN(SiMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} + 2NEt\textsubscript{3}

**Equation 2.4** Synthesis of homoleptic donor compounds.

**Table 2.4** Summary of donor studies reactions for Compounds 1-4\textsuperscript{†}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>ml</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ca(B((3,5-Me\textsubscript{2})C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}], 1\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>py</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Pale yellow solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Sr(B((3,5-Me\textsubscript{2})C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}], 2\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>py</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Pale yellow solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ba(B((3,5-Me\textsubscript{2})C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}], 3\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>py</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Pale yellow solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ba(B((4-tBu)C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}], 4\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>py</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Pale yellow solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ca(B((3,5-Me\textsubscript{2})C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}], 1\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>TMEDA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Pale yellow solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Sr(B((3,5-Me\textsubscript{2})C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}], 2\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>TMEDA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Pale yellow solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ba(B((3,5-Me\textsubscript{2})C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}], 3\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>TMEDA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Pale yellow solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ba(B((4-tBu)C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}], 4\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>TMEDA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Pale yellow solution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.8** Reactions of tetraarylborate compounds with donor solvents.

**2.4 Conclusions and Future Work**

Compounds 1-4\textsuperscript{†} are the first examples of heavy alkaline earth metal alkyl-substituted tetraarylborates. These temperamental compounds required slow incremental increases in temperature for the reaction to proceed in the first week, followed by a slow decrease in temperature to further induce crystallization with improved yields over the following weeks. It is
established that the formation of contact ion pairs is heavily dependent on the use of the weakly-coordinating diethyl ether rather than THF as a solvent.\textsuperscript{9,10} However, although the solvent has been shown to affect the ion association mode of the tetraarylborate complexes, the major influencing factor remains unidentified, and further research must be conducted in order to determine this.

Donor studies are currently being conducted using pyridine (py) and TMEDA in order to investigate the effect of Lewis bases on the stabilization of the metal cation center of Compounds 1-4\textsuperscript{†}. Future work will expand on these studies and introduce different Lewis bases with various hapticities to study the resulting M-\(\pi\) interactions. In addition, sublimation studies will be conducted in order to test the viability of these compounds as potential precursors for MOCVD.
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CHAPTER 3: Reproducibility and Extension of Alkaline Earth Metal Tetraarylborate Pyrazolates

3.1 Introduction

It was proposed that reacting the pyrazolate and tetraarylborate ligands with \( \text{Ae}[\text{N(SiMe}_3]^2\text{]}_2(\text{thf})_2 \) (\( \text{Ae} = \text{Ca, Sr, Ba} \)) via transamination would result in mixed pyrazolate and tetraarylborate compounds similar to lanthanide work done by Deacon et al., \([\text{Yb}(\text{tBu}_2\text{pz})(\text{thf})(\text{BPh}_4)]\cdot2\text{C}_6\text{D}_6 \) (Figure 3.1).\(^1\) Thus, Lavin and Woods were able to isolate \([\text{Ae}(\text{thf})_2(\text{Et}_2\text{O})(\text{tBu}_2\text{pz})][\text{B}((3,5-\text{Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4] \) (\( \text{Ae} = \text{Ca, 5}\)) and \([\text{Ae}(\text{thf})_2(\text{tBu}_2\text{pz})(\text{B}((3,5-\text{Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4)] \) (\( \text{Ae} = \text{Sr, 6}; \text{Ba, 7}\)) by reacting the tert-butyl pyrazolates and tetraarylborates with the \( \text{Ae}[\text{N(SiMe}_3]^2\text{]}_2(\text{thf})_2 \) amide via transamination.\(^2\)

![Figure 3.1](image-url)  

**Figure 3.1** \([\text{Yb}(\text{tBu}_2\text{pz})(\text{thf})(\text{BPh}_4)]\cdot2\text{C}_6\text{D}_6 \) showing the \( \eta^6:\eta^6 \) coordination of the \( \text{[BPh}_4]^+ \) ligand to \( \text{Yb}^{2+} \).\(^1\) Hydrogen atoms and \( \text{C}_6\text{D}_6 \) omitted for clarity.

However, Lavin and Woods had encountered difficulties when attempting to successfully reproduce these novel compounds that were isolated with yields \(~0.1\%)\(^2\). After adjusting the ratios
of the reactants and synthetic conditions, Compounds 5-7† were synthesized and confirmed via single crystal X-ray diffractometry and nuclear resonance magnetic spectroscopy. Thus, the second part of this thesis focuses on these efforts towards successfully reproducing and upscaling these novel heteroleptic tetraarylborate and pyrazolates.

3.2 Results and Discussion

3.2.1 Synthetic Chemistry

Starting materials utilized in the synthesis of Compounds 5-7† were Ae[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂(thf)₂ (Ae = Ca, Sr, Ba) and tBu₂pzH. The pyrazole ligand was synthesized using established synthetic protocols from acetylene and diazomethane, shown in Equation 3.1.⁴⁻⁹ In addition, the tetraarylborate was prepared via previously discussed synthetic methods (c.f. 2.2.1).³

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Equation 3.1} & \quad \text{Synthesis of the pyrazole ligand.}^{⁴⁻⁹}
\end{align*}
\]

Compounds 5-7† were again synthesized via transamination as seen in Equation 3.2 below. The transamination was driven by the pKₐ of tBu₂pzH (pKₐ = 13-15) and the high solubility of the free amine.² Learning from synthetic modifications to reproduce the Ae tetraarylborates (c.f. Chapter 2), all reactions were conducted under strict inert gas conditions, and the reaction was sealed in a Carius tube and left to heat for several days at 35 °C (beyond this temperature, slight decomposition was observed), followed by slow cooling to room temperature over many days in order to further induce crystallization. Synthetic techniques were further modified from Lavin and
Woods by adjusting the ratio of pyrazolate, tetraarylborate, and Ae silyl amide from 0.05 mmol to 0.5 mmol scale. This larger mmol scale helped to better visualize the reaction as it occurred, and yields were increased from ~1% to 40-60% (Table 3.1). Again, the entire reaction occurred over several weeks versus the five to seven days as previously carried out. The family of Compounds 5-7† were repeated using the modified procedures, ensuring reproducibility with improved yields.

When looking at the 1H NMR spectra for these three compounds, there was a notable absence of a broad NH(pz) peak that normally appears between 10 and 12 ppm signifying that the free pyrazole had indeed coordinated to the Ae metal center. For example, Compound 7† showed peaks that demonstrated the alkyl substituted tetraarylborate ligand system and the substituted pyrazolate ligand at 7.932, 6.746, 6.116, 3.240, 3.005, 2.181, 2.054, 1.320, and 1.089 ppm (i.e.: 7.932 (s, 8H, o-H (C₆H₃)), 6.746 (s, 4H, p-H (C₆H₃)), 6.116 (s, 1H, H-4 (pz)), 3.240 (q, 2H, residual Et₂O), 3.005 (br m 8H, CH₂O (thf)), 2.181 (s, 24H(3,5-Me₂)), 2.054 (br t, 3H, residual Et₂O), 1.320 (s, 18H, H-tBu (pz)), 1.089 (m, 8H, CH₂ (thf)). Et₂O resonances at δ = 3.240, 2.054 are due to residual solvent that had not evaporated before the sample was taken; c.f. Chapter 4 for more details). Thus, these results were able to be added to a manuscript in preparation.

\[
\text{Ae}[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂(thf)₂ + HNEt₃B((3,5-Me₂)C₆H₃)₄ + tBu₂pzH \xrightarrow{\text{Et₂O}} [\text{Ae}(tBu₂pz)(B((3,5-Me₂)C₆H₃)₄)₃(thf)ₘ(\text{Et₂O})ₙ][\text{BAR}₄]ₙ + 2\text{HN(SiMe₃)₂ + NEt₃}
\]

Ae = Ca, Sr, Ba

**Equation 3.2** Synthesis of heteroleptic alkaline earth metal tetraarylborate pyrazolate compounds.
Table 3.1 Summary of reactions for Compounds 5-7†.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Days</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ca(thf)2(Et2O)2(tBu2pz)][(B((3,5-Me2)C6H3)4)], 5†</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>White crystals</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Sr(thf)2(tBu2pz)(B((3,5-Me2)C6H3)4)], 6†</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>White crystals</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ba(thf)2(tBu2pz)(B((3,5-Me2)C6H3)4)], 7†</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>White crystals</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ca(thf)2(Et2O)2(tBu2pz)][(B((3,5-Me2)C6H3)4)], 5†</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>White crystals</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Sr(thf)2(tBu2pz)(B((3,5-Me2)C6H3)4)], 6†</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>White crystals</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ba(thf)2(tBu2pz)(B((3,5-Me2)C6H3)4)], 7†</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>White crystals</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.2 Reactions in Carius tubes monitored at various temperatures to induce crystallization.

3.2.2 Structural Aspects of Compounds 5-7†

Compounds 5-7† were all analyzed using single crystal X-ray diffractometry. Important crystallographic data are provided below. Compounds 5-7† were additionally analyzed using ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. For each compound, the NMR spectra confirmed the
stoichiometry of Et₂O in the solution. Important data is provided in the Experimental (c.f. Chapter 4).

**Table 3.2** Crystallographic data for Compounds 5-7†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5†</th>
<th>6†</th>
<th>7†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>empirical formula</td>
<td>C₁₀₀H₁₂₀BN₂O₅Ca</td>
<td>C₅₁H₇₁BSrN₂O₂</td>
<td>C₅₁H₈₀BBaN₂O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formula weight</td>
<td>1480.86</td>
<td>842.52</td>
<td>901.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>20.9102(13)</td>
<td>19.2912(16)</td>
<td>19.253(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>13.3936(13)</td>
<td>14.7453(13)</td>
<td>15.0325(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>22.5102(18)</td>
<td>18.3176(14)</td>
<td>18.3661(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>109.365(4)</td>
<td>113.851(2)</td>
<td>113.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å³)</td>
<td>5947.6(8)</td>
<td>4765.5(7)</td>
<td>4862.4(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crystal system</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>space group</td>
<td>Cc</td>
<td>P2₁/c</td>
<td>P2₁/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d&lt;sub&gt;calc&lt;/sub&gt; (Mg/m³)</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>1.174</td>
<td>1.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear abs. coeff. (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>1.170</td>
<td>0.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (K)</td>
<td>95(2)</td>
<td>95(2)</td>
<td>95(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2θ range (°)</td>
<td>1.838-30.612</td>
<td>1.800-28.726</td>
<td>2.225-30.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># independent reflections</td>
<td>17986</td>
<td>12316</td>
<td>14940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># parameters</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁, wR₂ (all data)</td>
<td>0.1757, 0.3989</td>
<td>0.0786, 0.0955</td>
<td>0.0498, 0.1926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁, wR₂ (&gt;2σ)</td>
<td>0.1542, 0.3833</td>
<td>0.0428, 0.0842</td>
<td>0.0446, 0.1854</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.2.1 **Structural Characterization of Compounds 5-7†**

Compound 5† showed a separated ion compound with no direct interactions between the metal center and the tetraarylborate. Upon using metals with a larger ionic radius such as Sr and Ba, M-π interactions between the metal center and borate were observed resulting in a contact ion
association in Compounds 6† and 7†, similar to Deacon’s [Yb(tBu₂pz)(thf)(BPh₄)]•2C₆D₆ (Figure 3.1).¹ The increased size of the heavier Ae metal cation center allows for an increase in the number of possible secondary interactions within the complex contributing to its coordinative saturation. Thus, for each of the three compounds, the tBu₂pz ligand appears to be a major influence on the coordination of the tetraarylborate, along with the size of the metal cation (Figures 3.3-3.5).

Figure 3.3 Crystal structure of Compound 5† [Ca(thf)₂(eth₂O)₂(tBu₂pz)][B((3,5-Me₂C₆H₃)₄)]. Hydrogen atoms and disordered atoms omitted for clarity. (Ca1-N1, 2.271(8); Ca1-N2, 2.342(8); Ca1-O, 2.362(7) – 2.371(9); B1-C, 1.647(12) – 1.666(12) Å, respectively.)²
**Figure 3.4** Crystal structure of Compound 6† [Sr(thf)$_2$(tBu$_2$pz)(B((3,5-Me$_2$)C$_6$H$_3$)$_4$)]. M-π interactions are shown as dashed lines. Hydrogen atoms and disordered positions are removed for clarity. (Sr1-N1, 2.48(17); Sr1-N2, 2.52(29); Sr1-O, 2.63(49) – 2.66(07); B1-C, 1.63(23) – 1.66(03); Sr-C, 2.99(92) – 3.26(6) Å, respectively.)$^2$

**Figure 3.5** Crystal structure of Compound 7† [Ba(thf)$_2$(tBu$_2$pz)(B((3,5-Me$_2$)C$_6$H$_3$)$_4$)]. M-π interactions are shown as dashed lines. Hydrogen atoms and disordered positions are removed for clarity. (Ba1-N1, 2.630(2); Ba1-N2, 2.676(2); Ba1-O, 2.773(2) – 2.801(9); B1-C, 1.645(4) – 1.658(3); Ba-C, 3.139(2) – 3.357 (6) Å, respectively.)$^2$
3.3 Donor Studies

Once the compounds were successfully synthesized, Lewis bases were introduced in order to examine the effects of various hapticities on the structure-function relationship and M-π interactions. Donors were added to the compounds and left to crystallize under argon gas. NMR studies are currently being conducted in order to characterize these new compounds. Compounds 5-7† successfully dissolved in the donor solvent, shown in Figure 3.6 and Table 3.3.

\[
\text{Ae}[\text{N(SiMe}_3\text{)}_2]_2(\text{thf})_2 + \text{HNEt}_3\text{B}((3,5-\text{Me}_2)\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4 + \text{tBu}_2\text{pzH} \rightarrow \text{[Ae(tBu}_2\text{pz})(\text{B}((3,5-\text{Me}_2)\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)_4)_x(\text{thf})_m(\text{Donor})_n][\text{BARy}]_y + 2\text{HN(SiMe}_3\text{)}_2 + \text{NEt}_3
\]

**Equation 3.3** Synthesis of heteroleptic donor compounds.

**Table 3.3** Summary of donor studies reactions for Compounds 5-7†.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>ml</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ca(thf)$_2$(Et$_2$O)$_2$(tBu$_2$pz)][(B((3,5-Me$_2$)C$_6$H$_3$)$_4$)], 5†</td>
<td>py</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Pale yellow solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Sr(thf)$_2$(tBu$_2$pz)(B((3,5-Me$_2$)C$_6$H$_3$)$_4$)], 6†</td>
<td>py</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Pale yellow solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ba(thf)$_2$(tBu$_2$pz)(B((3,5-Me$_2$)C$_6$H$_3$)$_4$)], 7†</td>
<td>py</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Pale yellow solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ba(thf)$_2$(tBu$_2$pz)(B((3,5-Me$_2$)C$_6$H$_3$)$_4$)], 7†</td>
<td>TMEDA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Pale yellow solution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.6** Reactions of tetraarylborate pyrazolate compounds with donor solvents.
3.4 Conclusions and Future Work

Compounds 5-7† are the first examples of these heavy alkaline earth metal heteroleptic tetraarylborate pyrazolates. These compounds required slow incremental changes in temperature for the reaction to complete, and a gradual decrease in temperature to room temperature in order to further improve crystallization with improved yields over the following weeks.

Donor studies are currently being conducted using pyridine (Py) and TMEDA in order to examine the effect of Lewis bases on the stabilization of the metal cation of Compounds 5-7†. Future work will expand on these donor studies and introduce different Lewis bases with varying hapticities in order to study the resulting structure-function relationships and M-\(\pi\) interactions (Figure 3.7). Finally, sublimation studies will be conducted in order to test the viability of these compounds as potential precursors for MOCVD.
Figure 3.7 Future Lewis bases to examine for donor studies.
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CHAPTER 4: Experimental

4.1 General Information

Due to the compounds being extremely air and water sensitive, all reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. The solvents and donors, including diethyl ether, dimethoxyethane, tetrahydrofuran, hexane, and toluene were obtained commercially (VWR), purified with a Vac-Atmosphere® solvent system, and degassed prior to use with three freeze-pump-thaw cycles.

1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazane (H-HMDS, Aldrich), pyridine (VWR), TMEDA (VWR) and PMDTA (VWR) were obtained commercially and refluxed over CaH2 (Aldrich) overnight prior to vacuum distillation. The alkaline earth metals were obtained commercially (Strem) at high purity +99.9% (Magnesium and calcium turnings or distilled ingots; strontium, and barium pieces under oil). Alkaline earth metal amides, [Ae(N(SiMe3)2)(thf)2] (Ae = Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba), were synthesized by literature procedures. Pyrazoles were synthesized by a modified literature procedure by refluxing the corresponding diketone (Strem) with hydrazine monohydrate (Strem) in ethanol for 24 hours, recrystallized from acetone/hexane (VWR), and dried under vacuum for ≥24 hours. Tetraarylborates were synthesized according to literature procedures and dried at 80 ºC under vacuum for three and a half days.

X-ray quality crystals were removed from the Carius tube in the glove box and covered with hydrocarbon oil (Infeneum). X-ray data was collected under 100 K using a Bruker Kappa Apex II Duo CCD diffractometer with MoKα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The crystals were attached to a MITEGEN® mount and remained under a nitrogen stream using a Cryocool LN-3
low-temperature device from Cryoindustries of America, Inc. Structure solution and refinement details were reported. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically.\textsuperscript{12}

4.1.1 Carius Tube Pressure

**WARNING:** Carius tube contents are under high pressure and measures must be taken to prevent tube bursting and potential injury. Tubes must be transported in metal sleeves and reactions must be heated behind a blast shield.

To avoid the risk of a Carius tube bursting, it was ensured that the working pressure inside the sealed tube never exceeded 10 bar. Using the ideal gas law ($PV = nRT$),\textsuperscript{13} it was determined that about 3.75 mL of Et$_2$O would produce a pressure exceeding 10 bar at 60 °C. Reactions were monitored to ensure that the volume of the liquid inside the tubes did not change dramatically as the reaction progressed. Although it is difficult to determine the exact pressure as the reaction occurs, it can be assumed that the pressure did not exceed safe operating levels for any of the tubes.

4.2 Starting Materials

4.2.1 Redox Transmetallation Ligand Exchange/Protolysis (RTLE/RTP) General Procedure

The alkaline earth metal, triphenyl bismuth (BiPh$_3$, VWR) were combined in a Schlenk flask, then degassed THF and H-HMDS were added via a syringe. The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight and sonicated for 12 days. All volatiles were then evacuated off and 40 mL of degassed hexane was added. The reaction was then filtered via a filter cannula and left to crystallize at -25 °C.\textsuperscript{3}
4.2.1.1 Specific Synthesis

[Ca(N(SiMe$_3$)$_2$(thf)$_2$]:

Ca pieces (0.41 g / 10 mmol), BiPh$_3$ (1.32 g / 3 mmol), HMDS (1.50 g / 9 mmol). Yield = 78 %. $^1$H NMR (C$_6$D$_6$) (ppm): 0.30 (s, 36H, SiMe$_3$); 1.22 (s, 8H, THF); 3.51 (s, 8H, THF). $^{13}$C NMR (C$_6$D$_6$) (ppm): 5.4 (SiMe$_3$); 25.06 (THF); 69.17 (THF).

[Sr(N(SiMe$_3$)$_2$(thf)$_2$]:

Sr pieces (0.88 g / 10 mmol), HMDS (1.50 g / 9 mmol). Yield = 85 %. $^1$H NMR (C$_6$D$_6$) (ppm): 0.34 (s, 36H, SiMe$_3$); 1.24 (s, 8H, THF); 3.51 (s, 8H, THF). $^{13}$C NMR (C$_6$D$_6$) (ppm): 5.5 (SiMe$_3$); 25.06 (THF); 69.17 (THF).

[Ba(N(SiMe$_3$)$_2$(thf)$_2$]:

Ba pieces (1.37 g / 10mmol), BiPh$_3$ (1.32 g / 3 mmol), HMDS (1.50 g / 9 mmol). Yield = 90 %. $^1$H NMR (C$_6$D$_6$) (ppm): 0.36 (s, 36H, SiMe$_3$); 1.26 (s, 8H, THF); 3.51 (s, 8H, THF). $^{13}$C NMR (C$_6$D$_6$) (ppm): 5.7 (SiMe$_3$); 25.06 (THF); 69.17 (THF).

4.2.2 Pyrazole General Procedure

The diketone, hydrazine monohydrate (H$_2$NNH$_2$•H$_2$O), and ethanol were combined in a 500 mL flask and closed with a rubber septum. A reflux condenser was attached to the flask, placed in a mineral bath, and set to heat at about 215 °C. The mixture was allowed to reflux for 24 hours, then placed in the rotavap until crystals started to form. The pyrazolates were recrystallized in a 1:4 mixture of hexane/acetone for 3 days.$^{4,6}$

4.2.2.1 Specific Synthesis

$t$Bu$_2$pzH:

2,2,6,6-Tetramethyl-3,5-heptanedione (9.214 g / 50 mmol), H$_2$NNH$_2$•H$_2$O (2.47 mL / 51 mmol), EtOH (250 mL). $^1$H NMR (C$_6$D$_6$) (ppm): 12.29 (br, 1H; N-H (pz)); 6.12 (s, 6 H; C4-H(pz)); 1.38
(s, 108 H; tBu-H). $^{13}$C NMR (C$_6$D$_6$) (ppm): 15.1 (ipso-C(pz)); 97.1 (CH(pz)); 32.6 (CCH$_3$); 32.0, 31.9, 31.6 (CCH$_3$).

4.2.3 Tetraarylborate General Procedure

Tetraarylborates were synthesized according to literature procedures and dried at 80 °C under vacuum for four days. The borates were carefully monitored during this time to ensure that the temperature did not exceed 80 °C.$^{10-11}$

4.2.3.1 Specific Synthesis

HNEt$_3$B((3,5-Me$_2$)C$_6$H$_4$)$_4$:

Aryl bromide: 5-bromo-$m$-xylene. Refluxed one hour during formation of Grignard reagent.

$^1$H NMR (CD$_3$CN) (ppm): 1.26 (t, 9H, CH$_3$); 2.12 (s, 24H, CH$_3$); 3.09 (q, 6H, CH$_2$); 6.48 (s, 4H, p-CHPh); 6.89 (s, 8H, o-CHPh). $^{11}$B NMR (CD$_3$CN) (ppm): -6.88.

HNEt$_3$B((4-tBu)C$_6$H$_4$)$_4$:

Aryl bromide: 1-bromo-4-$t$-butylbenezene. Refluxed three hours during formation of Grignard reagent. $^1$H NMR (CD$_3$CN) (ppm): 1.25 (s, 45H, CH$_3$); 3.11 (q, 6H, CH$_2$); 7.04 (d, 8H, $m$-CHPh); 7.25 (m, 8H, o-CHPh). $^{11}$B NMR (CD$_3$CN) (ppm): -7.23.

4.3 Tetraarylborate Compounds General Procedure

In the glove box, [Ae(N(SiMe$_3$)$_2$(thf)$_2$] (Ae = Ca, Sr, Ba) and HNEt$_3$BAr$_4$ (Ar = (3,5-Me$_2$)C$_6$H$_3$, (4-tBu)C$_6$H$_4$) were combined in a Carius tube with about 1 mL of Et$_2$O. The tube was sealed under vacuum and heated in an oil bath for 7 days before slowly decreasing the temperature to room temperature to ensure a complete reaction.$^{10}$
4.3.1 Specific Synthesis

[Ca(B((3,5-Me2)C6H3)4)2] (1*):

\[ \text{Ca[N(SiMe3)2]2(thf)2 (0.024 g / 0.05 mmol) and HNEt3B((3,5-Me2)C6H3)4 (0.053 g / 0.10 mmol).} \]

The reaction was slowly heated up to 60 °C for 7 days with slow increments in temperature and then slowly reduced back to room temperature over 2 weeks. Yield: 50.0% ave. Mp: decomposes between 115-117 °C (turns beige). \(^1\)H NMR ([d6]benzene): \( \delta = 7.24 \) (s, 13H, \( o-C_6H_3 \)), 6.57 (s, 8H, \( p-C_6H_3 \)), 2.13 (s, 48H, CH3) ppm. \(^{11}\)B NMR ([d6]benzene): \( \delta = -7.22 \) ppm. \(^{13}\)C NMR ([d6]benzene): \( \delta = 134.25 \) (\( o-C_6H_3 \)), 126.78 (\( p-C_6H_3 \)), 22.53 (CH3) ppm. IR (cm\(^{-1}\)): \( \nu = 1576.66 \) (w), 1033.22 (w), 840.59 (m). There is overlap of the C\(_6\)D\(_6\) peak and \( o-C_6H_3 \) peak at 7.24 ppm, which resulted in the lower integration value of 13H instead of 16H.

[Sr(B((3,4-Me2)C6H3)4)2] (2*):

\[ \text{Sr[N(SiMe3)2]2(thf)2 (0.028 g / 0.05 mmol) and HNEt3B((3,5-Me2)C6H3)4 (0.053 g / 0.10 mmol).} \]

The reaction was slowly heated up to 35 °C for 7 days with slow increments in temperature and then slowly reduced back to room temperature over 2 weeks. Yield: 50.0% ave. Mp: decomposes between 123-125 °C (turns beige). \(^1\)H NMR ([d6]benzene): \( \delta = 7.29 \) (s, 16H, \( o-C_6H_3 \)), 6.53 (s, 8H, \( p-C_6H_3 \)), 2.10 (s, 48H, CH3) ppm. \(^{11}\)B NMR ([d6]benzene): \( \delta = -6.99 \) ppm. \(^{13}\)C NMR ([d6]benzene): \( \delta = 138.31 \) (\( o-C_6H_3 \)), 134.13 (\( p-C_6H_3 \)), 22.40 (CH3) ppm. IR(cm\(^{-1}\)): \( \nu = 1575.66 \) (w), 1033.22 (w), 841.46 (m).

[Ba(B((3,5-Me2)C6H3)4)2(thf)] (3*):

\[ \text{Ba[N(SiMe3)2]2(thf)2 (0.031 g / 0.05 mmol) and HNEt3B((3,5-Me2)C6H3)4 (0.053 g / 0.10 mmol).} \]

The reaction was slowly heated up to 60 °C for 7 days with slow increments in temperature and then slowly reduced back to room temperature over 2 weeks. Yield: 50.0% ave. Mp: decomposes between 136-138 °C (turns beige). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, [D8]benzene, 25°C): \( \delta = 7.46 \)
(s, 16H, o-C₆H₃), 6.47 (s, 8H, p-C₆H₃), 3.44-3.47 (m, 4H, THF), 2.06 (s, 48H, CH₃), 1.34-1.37 (m, 4H THF) ppm. ¹¹B NMR (400 MHz, [D₆]benzene, 25°C): δ = -6.26 ppm. ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, [D₆]benzene, 25°C): δ = 138.16 (ipso-C), 134.78 (o-C₆H₃), 126.88 (p-C₆H₃), 68.37 (THF), 26.03 (THF), 22.26 (CH₃) ppm. IR(cm⁻¹): ν 1577.75 (w), 1260.55 (w), 1020.57 (m), 841.36 (m), 799.60 (w).

[Ba(B((4-tBu)C₆H₄)₄)₂] (4⁺):

Ba[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂(thf)₂ (0.032 g / 0.05 mmol) and HNEt₃B((4-tBu)C₆H₄)₄ (0.065 g / 0.10 mmol). The reaction was slowly heated up to 60 °C for 7 days with slow increments in temperature and then slowly reduced back to room temperature over 2 weeks. Yield: 50.0%, ave. Mp: > 400 °C. ¹H NMR ([d₆]benzene): δ = 7.80 (d, 16H, 2J_H,H = 7.2 Hz, o-C₆H₄), 7.26 (d, 16H, 2J_H,H = 7.2 Hz, p-C₆H₄), 1.15 (s, 72H, CH₃) ppm. ¹¹B NMR ([d₆]benzene): δ = -6.55 ppm. ¹³C NMR ([d₆]benzene): δ = 139.65 (ipso-C), 136.00 (o-C₆H₄), 125 (m-C₆H₄), 34.75 (C(CH₃)₃), 31.71(C(CH₃)₃) ppm. IR(cm⁻¹): ν 1600.04 (w), 1265.65 (w), 1100.91 (w), 1021.20 (w), 837.47 (w), 808.11 (m).

4.4 Tetraarylborate Pyrazolate Compounds General Procedure

In the glove box, [Ae(N(SiMe₃)₂)(thf)₂] (Ae = Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba), HNEt₃B((3,5-Me₂)C₆H₃)₄ and t-Bu₂pzH were combined in a Carius tube with about 2 mL of Et₂O. The tube was sealed under vacuum and heated in an oil bath at 35 °C for 7 days and slowly returned to room temperature to ensure a complete reaction.²,¹⁰⁻¹¹

4.4.1 Specific Synthesis

[Ca(thf)₂(Et₂O)(tBu₂pz)][B((3,5-Me₂)C₆H₃)₄] (5⁺):

[Ca(N(SiMe₃)₂)(thf)₂] (0.251 g / 0.5 mmol), HNEt₃B((3,5-Me₂)C₆H₃)₄ (0.279 g / 0.5 mmol), and tBu₂pzH (0.1 g / 0.5 mmol). The reaction was slowly heated up to 35 °C for 7 days with slow
increments in temperature and then slowly reduced back to room temperature over 2 weeks. Yield: 40 - 60.0%. M.p. 74-96 °C (decomposed, tan), > 140 °C (dark red). IR (nujol): = 3158.92 (w), 2720.53 (m), 1574.29 (s), 1504.32 (m), 1376.42 (s), 1317.68 (w), 1251.64 (w), 1225.16 (w), 1149.65 (s), 1051.03 (s), 1028.98 (m), 938.07 (w), 905.13 (m), 840.26 (s), 793.37 (s), 736.75 (s), 721.61 (m) cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, [D₆]benzene): δ(ppm) = 7.849 (s, 8H, o-H(C₆H₃)), 6.701 (s, 4H, p-H (C₆H₃)), 6.031 (s, 1H, H-4 (pz)), 3.261 (q, 8H, CH₂ (Et₂O)), 3.185 (m, 8H, CH₂O (thf)), 2.130 (s, 24H, H (3,5-Me₂)), 1.247 (s, 18H, H (t-Bu (pz))), 1.171 (m, 8H, CH₂(thf)), 1.112 (t, 12H, CH₃ (Et₂O)). ¹³C-NMR (400 MHz, [D₆]benzene): δ(ppm) = 138.37 (C-4 (pz)), 133.72 (o-C (C₆H₃)), 126.97 (p-C (C₆H₃)), 68.80(CH₂O (thf)), 66.25 (CH₂O (Et₂O)), 32.25 (C(CH₃)₃ (t-Bu₂pz)), 31.80 (C(CH₃)₃ (t-Bu₂pz)), 25.74 (CH₂ (thf)), 22.29 (C (3,5-Me₂)), 15.91 (CH₃ (Et₂O)). ¹¹B-NMR (400 MHz, [D₆]benzene): δ(ppm) = -5.55.

[Sr(thf)₂(Et₂O)(tBu₂pz)][(B((3,5-Me₂)C₆H₃)₄ (6)]:

[Sr(N(SiMe₃)₂)₂(thf)₂] (0.28 g / 0.5 mmol), HNEt₂B((3,5-Me₂)C₆H₃)₄ (0.268 g / 0.5 mmol), and tBu₂pzH (0.048 g / 0.5 mmol). The reaction was slowly heated up to 35 °C for 7 days with slow increments in temperature and then slowly reduced back to room temperature over 2 weeks. Yield: 40 - 60.0%. M.p. 121-129 °C (decomposed, yellow), >150 °C (dark brown). IR (nujol): = 3106.93 (w), 2722.42 (w), 1736.75 (w), 1582.25 (m), 1566.67 (w), 1402.70 (w), 1354.74 (s), 1245.62 (m), 1152.64 (s), 1029.61 (s), 993.20 (m) 873.49 (m), 849.18 (s), 784.60 (s), 745.12 (s), 727.18 (s), 660.40 (w) cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (400MHz, [D₆]benzene): δ(ppm) = 7.934 (s, 8H, o-H (C₆H₃)), 6.783 (s, 4H, p-H (C₆H₃)), 6.055 (s, 1H, H-4(pz)), 3.284 - 3.232 (br q, residual Et₂O), 3.111 (m, 8H, CH₂O (thf)), 2.177 (s, 24H (3,5-Me₂)), 1.295 (s, 18H H-tBu (pz)), 1.163 – 1.092 (br, m, CH₂ (thf), residual Et₂O). Overlap of residual Et₂O and THF signals δδ = 1.16 – 1.09 made for unreliable integration. ¹³C-NMR (400MHz, [D₆]benzene): δ(ppm) =138.15 (C-4 (pz)), 133.85.
(o-C (C₆H₃)), 126.26 (p-C (C₆H₃)), 68.40 (CH₂O (thf)), 32.10 (C(CH₃)₃ (tBu₂pz)), 31.67 (C(CH₃)₃ (tBu₂pz)), 25.93 (CH₂ (thf)), 22.27 (C (3,5-Me₂)). Et₂O resonances are due to residual solvent that had not evaporated before the sample was taken. ¹¹B-NMR (400MHz, [D₆]benzene): δ(ppm) = -5.28.

[Ba(thf)₂(Et₂O)(tBu₂pz)][(B((3,5-Me₂)C₆H₃)₄] (7^):  

[Ba(N(SiMe₃)₂(thf)₂] (0.149 g / 0.25 mmol), HNEt₃B((3,5-Me₂)C₆H₃)₄ (0.137 g / 0.25 mmol), and tBu₂pzH (0.048 g / 0.25 mmol). The reaction was slowly heated up to 35 °C for 7 days with slow increments in temperature and then slowly reduced back to room temperature over 2 weeks. Yield: 40 - 60.0%. [Ba(N(SiMe₃)₂(thf)₂] (0.03g / 0.05 mmol), HNEt₃B((3,5-Me₂)C₆H₃)₄ (0.027g / 0.05 mmol), and tBu₂pzH (0.009g / 0.05 mmol). M.p. >137 °C (decomposed, opaque yellow). IR (nujol): = 3103.95 (w), 2723.11 (w), 1581.44 (w), 1376.82 (s), 1245.86 (m), 1222.99 (w), 1151.49 (m), 1033.58 (m), 993.36 (w), 877.49 (m), 782.16 (m), 742.98 (s), 742.97 (s) cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (400MHz, [D₆]benzene): δ(ppm) = 7.932 (s, 8H, o-H (C₆H₃)), 6.746 (s, 4H, p-H (C₆H₃)), 6.116 (s, 1H, H-4 (pz)), 3.240 (q, 2H, residual Et₂O), 3.005 (br m 8H, CH₂O (thf)), 2.181 (s, 24H (3,5-Me₂)), 2.054 (br t, 3H, residual Et₂O), 1.320 (s, 18H, H-tBu (pz)), 1.089 (m, 8H, CH₂ (thf)).  

Et₂O resonances at δδ = 3.240, 2.054 are due to residual solvent that had not evaporated before the sample was taken. ¹³C-NMR (400MHz, [D₆]benzene): δ(ppm) = 138.15 (C-4 (pz)), 134.61 (o-C (C₆H₃)), 126.32 (p-C (C₆H₃)), 68.42 (CH₂O (thf)), 66.24 (residual Et₂O), 32.30 (C(CH₃)₃ (tBu₂pz)), 31.95 (C(CH₃)₃ (tBu₂pz)), 25.73 (CH₂ (thf)), 22.22 (C (3,5-Me₂)), 15.89 (Residual Et₂O). Et₂O resonances at δδ = 66.25, 15.88 are due to residual solvent that had not evaporated before the sample was taken. ¹¹B-NMR (400MHz, [D₆]benzene): δ(ppm) =-5.18.
4.5 Donor Studies of Tetraarylborate Pyrazolate Compounds General Procedure

In the glove box, Compounds 1-7† were combined in a vial with about 1.5 mL of either pyridine or TMEDA. The vial was then closed and left to sit under argon in order to induce crystallization. The crystals dissolved resulting in a pale-yellow solution. After several days, tiny colorless crystals can be seen forming.
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