Syracuse University

SURFACE

Syracuse University Honors Program Capstone Syracuse University Honors Program Capstone Projects Projects

Spring 5-1-2014

Making by Taking: An Investigation of Architectural Appropriation

Victoria Lee

Follow this and additional works at: https://surface.syr.edu/honors_capstone

Part of the Architectural History and Criticism Commons, and the Other Architecture Commons

Recommended Citation

Lee, Victoria, "Making by Taking: An Investigation of Architectural Appropriation" (2014). *Syracuse University Honors Program Capstone Projects*. 730. https://surface.syr.edu/honors_capstone/730

This Honors Capstone Project is brought to you for free and open access by the Syracuse University Honors Program Capstone Projects at SURFACE. It has been accepted for inclusion in Syracuse University Honors Program Capstone Projects by an authorized administrator of SURFACE. For more information, please contact surface@syr.edu.

Making by Taking: An Investigation of Architectural Appropriation

A Capstone Project Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of the Renée Crown University Honors Program at Syracuse University

> Victoria Lee Candidate for Bachelor of Architecture Degree and Renée Crown University Honors May 2014

Honors Capstone Project in Architecture

Capstone Project Advisor:

Kyle Miller, Assistant Professor

Capstone Project Reader:

Larry Bowne, Associate Professor

Honors Director:

Stephen Kuusisto, Director

Date: May 6, 2014

Abstract

The project contends that explicit appropriation can be a legitimate method of architectural production. The scope encompasses four canonical works of architecture: Villa Rotonda, Villa Savoye, Fallingwater, and the Farnsworth House. These works are appropriated as the basis of a retrospective analysis and as the foundation for a speculative, generative design strategy.

Following the height of postmodernism, the notion of explicit formal appropriation was characterized in a negative light, seen as inauthentic imitation. However, an increasing number of contemporary artists and architects are utilizing explicit appropriation and historical reference as a primary method of production. This mode of thinking can perhaps can be traced to our contemporary network culture, where all material is appropriated, copied, pasted, and rehashed. Through appropriation, fidelity to the original is lost, and the intention for producing the copy becomes embedded in the product itself. Rather than postmodern, this project's strategy of appropriation identifies with the atemporality of network culture. We are challenged by the notion of origin and, therefore, originality. Elements are freely appropriated from history and seamlessly incorporated into new contexts.

Within this network culture, society is also consuming imagery at an ever more rapid pace, bombarded with images that discard history, context, and meaning. We are becoming more numb to form, and as we search for gratification through newness, everything has begun to look the same. The appropriation of form without content has become easier, encouraging an uncritical consumption and production of design. Exposure to high volumes of imagery has overtaken any critical, extended engagement with a single project. Projects are categorized and homogenized, denying a more critical and overarching understanding of architecture.

The project manifests through two types of representation. Orthographic drawings fulfill the project's intention of rigorous analysis and comparison. Through the flattening and abstraction achieved through orthographic projection, the drawings emphasize the seamless formal integration of the works. Logics inherent to the works are discovered once more as the projects are re-diagrammed, juxtaposed, and remade. Secondly, the project goes beyond abstract comparisons through the usage of quasi-realist representation. It utilizes appropriation as a generative method towards the creation of new spatial conditions. Here, the project begins to speculate on how contemporary culture might appropriate these past works. It attempts to exacerbate the dissonance between icon and reality and examine the dissemination of ideas from high-brow to mass culture.

The project serves as a critique of the traditional understanding of architectural authorship and the contemporary production and consumption of architecture.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all of the people who have aided me in the completion of this Capstone.

Firstly, I would like to thank my primary thesis advisor, Kyle Miller, for his continued support and interest in the project. His insight into the discipline has made a distinct impact on my outlook on architecture. Without his enthusiasm, motivation, and active engagement in the project, this thesis would not have been possible.

I am also thankful for the support of my secondary advisor, Larry Bowne. His critique throughout the past year has been pivotal in the development of the project. His presence has encouraged me to be continually critical of the work, and I appreciate the depth of his counsel.

I am indebted to Brian Luce, whose assistance in completing and presenting the work cannot be understated. I am so thankful for his encouragement along the way.

Finally, thank you to my family. This absolutely would not have been possible without their support.

Table of Contents

Abstract Acknowledgements	i ii
Chapter 1: Relevance	1
Chapter 2: Objectives	3
Chapter 3: Methods	5
Formal Parameters	5
Methods of Defamiliarization	76
Techniques of Appropriation	78
Chapter 4: Mashups	82
Farnsavoye	'83
Villa Satonda	89
Fallingworther	95
Villa Farnssavwater	9;
Chapter 5: Conclusion	:7

Chapter 1

Relevance

This project critiques the traditional notion of architectural authorship. Architecture has largely retained the outdated idealization of architect as sole artist and author. Critics praise what makes a work different and distinct, and we still assess value of architecture based on authorship and the traditional methods of assigning economic value to art. This adherence to individual authorship is problematic; architecture has no single author. In reality, all products of culture, including architecture, are primarily advanced through the appropriation and regurgitating of ideas. In fact, it can be argued that all architectural works express evidence of past precedent.

Following the height of postmodernism, the notion of any explicit formal appropriation was characterized in a negative light, seen as inauthentic pastiche. However, an increasing number of contemporary artists and architects are utilizing explicit appropriation and historical reference as a primary method of production. Historical material has become the basis for new interpretation and arrangement. This perhaps can be traced back to the culture of the internet and networking technologies, a network culture, where all material is appropriated, copied, pasted, and rehashed. In every case of appropriation, the resultant would likely be different. Rather than postmodern, this strategy identifies with the atemporality of network culture. Unlike in previous decades, the naming of eras has largely been discontinued since the beginning of this culture. It suggests that with our immediate and nearly unbounded access to information, we can no longer situate ourselves within a broader historical structure. We are challenged by the notion of origin and, therefore, originality. Elements are freely appropriated from history and seamlessly incorporated into new contexts.

Concurrently, in this network culture, society is consuming imagery at an ever more rapid pace, bombarded with images that discard history, context, and meaning. We are becoming more numb to form, and as we search for gratification through newness, everything has begun to look the same. Digital form-making has also made the appropriation of form without content easier, encouraging an uncritical consumption and production of design. Exposure to high volumes of imagery has overtaken any critical, extended engagement with a single project. Exacerbated by our instant consumption of imagery, architecture is more quickly pidgeonholed. Projects are grouped based on style or time, homogenizing bodies of work and excluding a more critical and overarching understanding of architecture.

This project explores the issues of appropriation, authorship, and the contemporary production and consumption of architecture. The scope encompasses four canonical works of architecture: Villa Rotonda, Villa Savoye, Fallingwater, and the Farnsworth House. These works are appropriated as the basis of a retrospective analysis and as the foundation for a speculative, generative design strategy. The project contends that explicit appropriation can be a legitimate method of architectural production.

Chapter 2

Objectives

The project employs explicit appropriation as a method of architectural design. The scope encompasses four canonical works of architecture: Villa Rotonda, Villa Savoye, Fallingwater, and the Farnsworth House. The four works offered the iconicity and heavy historical associations that were necessary to the project. They also offered the variety in formal parameters that would allow for a productive juxtaposition. Additionally, the works all have an established relationship to appropriation already, whether as the product of appropriation itself, or as the subject of later appropriation. The project separates these canonical forms from their cultural significance in order to test form-making strategies in a context-less environment.

The project is both retrospective and projective. It manifests through two types of representation in order to fully achieve its intended goals. Traditional orthographic drawings fulfill the project's intention of rigorous analysis and comparison. Through the unavoidable flattening and abstraction achieved through orthographic projection, the drawings emphasize the seamless formal integration of the works. In this sense, it aims to revisit past projects in order expand the understanding of the works. Logics inherent to the works are discovered once more as the projects are re-diagrammed, juxtaposed, and remade. Ideas previously thought to have been dissimilar are illustrated to be much more alike. The appropriated forms are initially devoid of meaning and context, but in

appropriating the form of these iconic, revered works, the project forces the viewer to bring personal understanding and imparted meaning into the experience. In line with the notion of atemporality, the project appropriates from different styles/time periods/lines of thought, ignoring "labels" and pre-conceived associations in order to challenge these specific understandings and encourage a more complex understanding of the project.

Additionally, the project goes beyond abstract comparisons through the usage of quasi-realist representation. It utilizes appropriation as a generative method towards the creation of new spatial conditions. Through the atemporality of network culture, past forms are re-earthed for further design. It is a form of repetition that challenges the notion of origin and originality. It does not attempt to create something wholly new, but rather to make anew that which we already know. A productive way to understand appropriation may be through the process of creating the copy. The process of appropriation often means that fidelity to the original is lost, and the intention for producing the copy inevitably becomes embedded in the product itself. Therefore, the product of appropriation need not be a direct simulation, but rather something radically new, with additional layers of complexity. The combination of unrelated forms results in a hybrid that was previously unimaginable. Here, the project begins to speculate on how contemporary culture might appropriate these past works. It attempts to exacerbate the dissonance between icon and reality and examine the dissemination of ideas from high-brow to mass culture.

Chapter 3

Methods

Formal Parameters

The initial research methodology for the case studies consisted of diagrammed analysis of formal parameters. This process identified the distinct conditions and elements inherent to the projects. The *formal parameters* were: spatial relationships, spatial organization, form-making, volumetric compositions, and relationship between building and ground.

Spatial Relationships





Adjacent Spaces

Spatial Relationships



Adjacent Spaces

Spatial Organization



Centralized Organization

Spatial Organization



Grid Organization



Proportioning Systems



Centralized Form



Subtractive Form

Volumetric Compositions



Volumetric Compositions



Reduction of Form to Primary Solids



Elevated Base Plane in Elevation



Elevated Base Plane



Spatial Relationships





Adjacent Spaces

Spatial Relationships





Spatial Organization



Spatial Organization



Third Floor Plan

Grid Organization





Clustered Form



Subtractive Form

Volumetric Compositions



Volumetric Compositions







Elevated Base Plane



Connection to Ground Plane

Spatial Relationships



Spaces Linked by Common Circulation Space



Spatial Relationships



Third Floor Plan



Second Floor Plan



Ground Floor Plan

Adjacent Spaces
Spatial Organization



Grid Organization

Spatial Organization



Grid Organization



Grid Form



Proportioning Systems



Subtractive Form

Volumetric Compositions



Articulation of Volumetric Form

Volumetric Compositions



Reduction of Form to Primary Solids



Elevated Base Plane in Elevation



Elevated Base Plane and Overhead Roof Plane



Connection Points to Ground Plane

Spatial Relationships





Space within a Space

Spatial Relationships



Adjacent Spaces

Spatial Organization



Linear Organization

Spatial Organization



Grid Organization



Linear Form





Subtractive Form

Volumetric Compositions



Volumetric Compositions



Reduction of Form to Primary Solids



Elevated Base Plane and Overhead Roof Plane in Elevation





Connection Points to Ground Plane

Methods of Defamiliarization

These identified formal parameters were then appropriated and redeployed through different *methods of defamiliarization*: displacement, figuration, scalar shift, volume reconfiguration, and hybridization. These methods allowed for the productive generation of new form.

<u>Site Displacement</u>				
Placed on the site of	Villa Rotonda	Fallingwater	Villa Savoye	Farnsworth House
Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda
	on Villa Rotonda site	on Fallingwater site	on Villa Savoye site	on Farnsworth House site
Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater
	on Villa Rotonda site	on Fallingwater site	on Villa Savoye site	on Farnsworth House site
Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye
	on Villa Rotonda site	on Fallingwater site	on Villa Savoye site	on Farnsworth House site
Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House
	on Villa Rotonda site	on Fallingwater site	on Villa Savoye site	on Farnsworth House site

<u>Figuration</u>				
Alteration of shape through…	Planar Figuration	Planar Defiguration	Volumetric Figuration	Volumetric Defiguration
Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda
	altered through Planar Figuration	altered through Planar Defiguration	altered through Volumetric Figuration	altered through Volumetric Defiguration
Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater
	altered through Planar Figuration	altered through Planar Defiguration	altered through Volumetric Figuration	altered through Volumetric Defiguration
Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye
	altered through Planar Figuration	altered through Planar Defiguration	altered through Volumetric Figuration	altered through Volumetric Defiguration
Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House
	altered through Planar Figuration	altered through Planar Defiguration	altered through Volumetric Figuration	altered through Volumetric Defiguration

<u>Scalar Shift</u>				
Exhibiting isolated cases of	Lateral Compression	Lateral Expansion	Vertical Compression	Vertical Expansion
Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda
	with cases of Lateral Compression	with cases of Lateral Expansion	with cases of Vertical Compression	with cases of Vertical Expansion
Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater
	with cases of Lateral Compression	with cases of Lateral Expansion	with cases of Vertical Compression	with cases of Vertical Expansion
Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye
	with cases of Lateral Compression	with cases of Lateral Expansion	with cases of Vertical Compression	with cases of Vertical Expansion
Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House
	with cases of Lateral Compression	with cases of Lateral Expansion	with cases of Vertical Compression	with cases of Vertical Expansion

Volume Reconfiguration					
Exhibiting isolated cases of	Vertical Displacement	Horizontal Displacement	Rotational Displacement	Reflected Displacement	
cases of	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	
	with cases of Vertical Displacement	with cases of Horizontal Displacement	with cases of Rotational Displacement	with cases of Reflected Displacement	
Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater	
	with cases of Vertical Displacement	with cases of Horizontal Displacement	with cases of Rotational Displacement	with cases of Reflected Displacement	
Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	
	with cases of Vertical Displacement	with cases of Horizontal Displacement	with cases of Rotational Displacement	with cases of Reflected Displacement	
Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	
	with cases of Vertical Displacement	with cases of Horizontal Displacement	with cases of Rotational Displacement	with cases of Reflected Displacement	

<u>Hybridization</u>				
Exchanging identifiable elements of	Villa Rotonda	Fallingwater	Villa Savoye	Farnsworth House
Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda	Villa Rotonda
	with elements of Villa Rotonda	with elements of Fallingwater	with elements of Villa Savoye	with elements of Farnsworth House
Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater	Fallingwater
	with elements of Villa Rotonda	with elements of Fallingwater	with elements of Villa Savoye	with elements of Farnsworth House
Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye	Villa Savoye
	with elements of Villa Rotonda	with elements of Falling water	with elements of Villa Savoye	with elements of Farnsworth House
Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House	Farnsworth House
	with elements of Villa Rotonda	with elements of Falling water	with elements of Villa Savoye	with elements of Farnsworth House

Techniques of Appropriation

The design iterations fell into four larger techniques of appropriation, whose terminology has been borrowed from the discipline of music and the techniques of the disc jockey: cover, remix, sampling, and mashup.

Cover

A cover is a subtle reworking of a single appropriated work, whose transformation is less legible than in the case of the remix. The cover is characterized by a lack of hybridization, a low degree of transformation, and a high degree of subtlety. The cover is an especially productive strategy for developing a deeper comprehension of the appropriated work, as the applied transformations must closely adhere to the inherent rationalities of the work in order to remain subtle.

Remix

A remix is a noticeable reworking of a single appropriated work, whose transformation is immediately legible. The remix is characterized by a lack of hybridization, a high degree of transformation, and a low degree of subtlety. The remix is a productive strategy for generating form, as the transformations can be pushed beyond the limitation of subtlety and closer to a new, diverging proposition for form.

Sampling

A sampling is a subtle, barely legible integration of a small portion of one work into another appropriated work. The sampling is characterized by a low degree of hybridization, a low degree of transformation, and a high degree of subtlety. The sampling is a productive strategy for developing a deeper understanding of the juxtaposed works, as the subtle overlay of elements can reveal specific hidden similarities between the logic of the projects.

Mashup

A mashup is a legible, comprehensive integration of two appropriated works, where there is no clear dominance of either of the works' forms. The mashup is characterized by a high degree of hybridization, a high degree of transformation, and a low degree of subtlety. The mashup is a productive strategy both for generating new form and for discovering hidden likenesses, as the inherent logics to the works must be carefully overlaid and distorted in order to appear seamless.

Techniques of Appropration			
characterized by a degree of:	Hybridization	Transformation	Subtlety
Cover	n/a	low	high
Remix	n/a	high	low
Sampling	low	low	high
Mashup	high	high	low

<u>Iterations</u>			
Туре	Work(s)		
Cover	Villa Rotonda		
Cover	Villa Savoye		
Cover	Farnsworth House		
Cover	Fallingwater		
Remix	Villa Rotonda		
Remix	Villa Savoye		
Remix	Farnsworth House		
<i>Remix</i> Fallingwater			
Sampling	Villa Rotonda Villa Savoye		
Sampling Villa Savoye Farnsworth House			
Sampling	Fallingwater Farnsworth House		
Mashup	Villa Rotonda Villa Savoye		
Mashup	Villa Savoye Farnsworth House		
Mashup	Fallingwater Farnsworth House		
Mashup	Fallingwater Villa Rotonda Farnsworth Villa Savoye		

Chapter 4

Mashups

The mashup proved to be the most productive strategy of appropriation. With the mashup, success can be declared when there is no clear dominance of either of the works' forms. The resulting architecture creates a sort of "search and find" game, where the viewer must determine which formal elements originated in which appropriated work. This addresses a more minor goal for the project: to make architecture fun. The project reintroduces a sense of lightheartedness into architectural analysis, design, and representation. We inherently enjoy visuals that we are familiar with, and finding easter eggs within the mashups provides gratification through recognition and identification.

The following mashups were chosen for further development: Farnsavoye, Villa Satonda, Fallingworther, andVilla Farnssavwater.











Elevations





Renderings





Renderings






Section



Elevations





VILLA FARNSSAVWATER | VICENZA, ITALY















Elevation













80







Elevations









Chapter 5

Conclusion

In conclusion, the project challenges a traditional understanding of architectural authorship, appropriation, and the production and consumption of architecture. The project contributes to the discipline both by prompting the reconsideration of these canonical works and by providing a new strategy for the generation of architectural form.

In its retrospective sense, the project makes explicit our unbridled access to information and past works. We are living in a network culture, where all material is available to be appropriated and reconsidered; today, there is little notion of origin or originality. The project breaches styles, time periods, and labeling conventions in order to challenge our pre-conceived associations. Simultaneously, new understandings of the works are developed through a careful juxtaposition.

The project also illustrates the strategy of explicit appropriation in producing new form. Through appropriation, fidelity to the original is lost, and the intention for producing the copy becomes embedded in the product itself. Meaning is rewritten. Through the repetition of form, the appropriated work translates into something new, with additional layers of complexity developed through reference. The combination of unrelated forms results in a hybrid that was previously unimaginable, prompting a speculative questioning of what is possible.