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We report photocarrier time-of-flight measurements of electron drift mobilities for the $p$-type CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Se$_2$ films incorporated in solar cells. The electron mobilities range from 0.02 to 0.05 cm$^2$/Vs and are weakly temperature-dependent from 100–300 K. These values are lower than the range of electron Hall mobilities (2–1100 cm$^2$/Vs) reported for $n$-type polycrystalline thin films and single crystals. We propose that the electron drift mobilities are properties of disorder-induced mobility edges and discuss how this disorder could increase cell efficiencies.

The chalcopyrite alloys CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Se$_2$ (CIGS) are the basis of very promising thin film solar cells, with solar conversion efficiencies up to 20.3%. Despite the remarkable efficiencies, fundamental properties such as the minority carrier (electron) mobility are not established for the materials used in cells, and their device physics has necessarily been based on informed parameter guesses.

In this letter, we present photocarrier time-of-flight (TOF) measurements of electron drift-mobilities in $p$-type CIGS thin films incorporated into solar cells. In samples from the Institute of Energy Conversion (IEC) and from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), we find electron mobilities in the range 0.02–0.05 cm$^2$/Vs. These are lower than the Hall mobilities of electrons in $n$-type CIGS materials, which range from 2–1100 cm$^2$/Vs. They are also lower than the electron drift mobility reported for a single crystal of $p$-type CuInSe$_2$ and are nearly $10^3$ smaller than the band mobility assumed in some device models. The magnitude of the electron drift mobilities is consistent with disorder-induced mobility-edges dividing localized and delocalized conduction band states. Empirical optimization of CIGS for solar cells thus appears to have led to low-mobility materials. We speculate that the disorder that lowers the mobilities also improves the optical absorption in the films, presumably by weakening the optical selection rules. The values of the electron mobilities that we measure are also self-consistent with the typical thickness and with the use of $n$-type CdS top contacts for thin-film CIGS solar cells.

We studied four polycrystalline CIGS solar cells. The cells were grown on Mo-coated soda-lime glass substrates in the sequence: glass/Mo/CuIn$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$Se$_2$/CdS/ZnO. The CIGS device from NREL was deposited under high vacuum conditions by coevaporation from elemental sources following the “three-stage” process. The cells from IEC were grown previously. The TOF experiments were done at two wavelengths, 690 and 1050 nm, with a typical photon flux around $10^9$ cm$^{-2}$. The 690 nm light is absorbed in the CIGS film within about 100 nm from the CdS buffer, and the 1050 nm light is absorbed uniformly throughout the CIGS film. We used DC voltage bias. The transient photocurrent responses to the laser pulses were recorded, averaged, and integrated to obtain the transient photocharge.

In Figure 1, we present the transient photocharge $Q(t)$ at 150 K with a reverse bias voltage of $-0.1$ V on an IEC sample. We chose this sample and temperature to illustrate the experiment because the width of the “depletion layer” and were $p$-type. The photovoltaic parameters of the specimens used in this research are summarized in Table I.

Conventional electron TOF measurements on CIGS solar cells would use strongly absorbed light pulses incident through the back of the cell, which was not possible with our Mo-coated substrates. We used an extension of the TOF technique with uniformly absorbed illumination incident through the front, which requires an analysis to separate the electron and hole contributions to the transient photocurrent. The method is not common, but has been used previously.

Transient photocurrent measurements were performed using a short flash of light from single mode laser diodes. The CIGS devices were illuminated through the top, $n$-type CdS buffer layer. The TOF experiments were done at two wavelengths, 690 and 1050 nm, with a typical photon flux around $10^9$ cm$^{-2}$. The 690 nm light is absorbed in the CIGS film within about 100 nm from the CdS buffer, and the 1050 nm light is absorbed uniformly throughout the CIGS film. We used DC voltage bias. The transient photocurrent responses to the laser pulses were recorded, averaged, and integrated to obtain the transient photocharge.

In Figure 1, we present the transient photocharge $Q(t)$ at 150 K with a reverse bias voltage of $-0.1$ V on an IEC sample. We chose this sample and temperature to illustrate the experiment because the width of the “depletion layer”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>NREL</th>
<th>IEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{oc}$ (V)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency (%)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$ (μm)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$ (μm)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_h$ (cm$^2$/Vs)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1–0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_e$ (cm$^2$/Vs)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02–0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: sdinca@phy.syr.edu.
inferred from capacitance had a weak voltage-dependence, indicating a nearly uniform electric field. While convenient, this behavior was unusual, and we do not have a simple model for it. At room-temperature, the depletion layer width increased with reverse bias voltage for all samples, as anticipated from Schottky and related analyses. The measurements and analysis for these conditions are presented as supplementary materials.

For the 690 nm transient, the rapid rise in the photocurrent over the first 50 ns corresponds primarily to holes drifting deeper into the CIGS film. The dashed line indicates the value of the total photogenerated charge \( Q_0 \) inferred from measurements at larger reverse bias; the long-time value for \( Q(t) \) does not reach \( Q_0 \) for this voltage because of “deep trapping” by defects. We have previously reported similar hole drift-mobility measurements.

For the 1050 nm illumination, both electron and hole photocarriers contribute equally to the total photocurrent \( Q_0 \). The initial rise of the photocurrent is somewhat slower than for 690 nm, which reflects a slower drift of electrons compared to holes. At longer times, the charge collection is also not as complete as for 690 nm, which reflects stronger trapping of the electrons than the holes.

In Figure 2, we present our analysis of the voltage-dependent photocurrent. The symbols indicate the photocurrent \( Q \) measured at 4 \( \mu \)s; photocurrent collection was complete by this time. The lines passing near the symbols are fittings to expressions involving \( Q_0 \), an offset-voltage \( V_0 \), and electron and hole deep-trapping mobility-lifetime products \( \mu \tau_b \) and \( \mu \tau_e \), respectively. The 690 nm photocurrent shows a clear saturation near \(-1 \text{ V}\); this means that holes were able to traverse the depletion layer without being trapped. The fitting to the standard Hecht equation\(^\text{15} \) yielded \( \mu \tau_b = 8.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2/\text{V} \text{s} \) and \( V_0 = 0.31 \text{ V} \). \( V_0 \) is lower than the built-in potential \( V_{BI} \), which we think reflects a rapid drop of the built-in electric potential near the CIGS/CdS interface.\(^\text{15} \)

For 1050 nm illumination, holes and electrons are uniformly photogenerated. The expression for the charge collection is given as Eq. (B10) in the supplementary material.\(^\text{6} \) The curve uses the values \( \mu \tau_b \) and \( V_0 \) from the 690 nm measurement and also \( \mu \tau_e \), which is a fitting parameter. We obtained \( \mu \tau_e = 6.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^2/\text{V} \text{s} \), which is about ten times smaller than the hole deep-trapping parameter \( \mu \tau_b \).

To obtain the drift mobilities, we analyzed the full transient photocurrent \( Q(t) \) using 1050 nm illumination, as illustrated in Fig. 3. The hole mobility \( \mu_b = 0.6 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V} \text{s} \) had been determined from the 690 nm measurements using standard procedures,\(^\text{15} \) so only the electron mobility \( \mu_e \) was unknown. The measured photocurrent is the sum of the electron and hole components \( Q_e(t) \) and \( Q_h(t) \)

\[
Q(t) = Q_e(t) + Q_h(t).
\]

The expression for the transient photocurrent \( Q(t) \) is provided in Eq. (B8) of the supplementary material.\(^\text{6} \) We obtained

![FIG. 1. Transient photocharge \( Q(t) \) measured at 690 nm and 1050 nm in a CIGS sample IEC at 150 K with a reversed bias voltage of \(-0.1 \text{ V}\). Fiduciary line \( Q_0 \) represents the total mobile charges generated in the specimen by the two laser impulses.](Image)

![FIG. 2. The symbols indicate the photocharge \( Q \) measured at 4 \( \mu \)s for varying bias voltages on sample IEC; results for two optical wavelengths are shown. The solid lines through data are fittings using the Hecht equations (Ref. 15). The curves, \( Q_e \) and \( Q_h \), are calculations of the electron and hole contributions at 1050 nm (\( \mu \tau_b = 8.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2/\text{V} \text{s} \), \( \mu \tau_e = 6.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^2/\text{V} \text{s} \), and \( V_0 = 0.31 \text{ V} \)).](Image)

![FIG. 3. Transient photocharge \( Q(t) \) at varying bias voltages in a specimen (IEC) at \( T = 150 \text{ K} \). Symbols indicate measurements at 1050 nm. The curves through these data are fittings using Eq. (1). The dashed lines indicate the hole transient photocurrent, \( Q_h \). The solid lines are the electron transient photocurrent, \( Q_e \). (\( \mu_b = 0.6 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V} \text{s} \) and \( \mu_e = 0.06 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V} \text{s} \)).](Image)
μe = 0.06 cm²/Vs by fitting these transients and several others that are not shown; as can be seen in Fig. 3, the corresponding calculations are reasonably consistent with the measurements.

We applied these procedures at several temperatures and to several samples. The main additional complication that did not arise for the measurements of Figs. 1–3 was voltage-dependent widths for the depletion layer. We have previously reported our procedures for analyzing TOF measurements.15 In Fig. 4, we summarize our temperature-dependent findings for electrons and holes mobility in several samples; some of the hole measurements are from our previous paper using comparable samples.15,16 We summarize the room-temperature parameters in Table I.

For the IEC cell, our 150 K estimate of electron deep-trapping mobility-lifetime product μτe = 7 × 10⁻⁹ cm²/Vs is somewhat larger than the value 2 × 10⁻⁹ cm²/Vs reported by Heath et al.15 For the NREL cells, our room-temperature μτe = 2.5 × 10⁻⁹ cm²/Vs value is consistent with recent diffusion length measurements.18

The magnitude μe < 1 cm²/Vs suggests that this mobility is associated with disorder-induced formation of a mobility edge dividing localized and delocalized states near the bandedges, which is well known in some amorphous and nanocrystalline semiconductors.9 We think that it is plausible that the electron mobilities are reduced by the previously reported nanometer-scale chemical composition fluctuations in materials similar to ours,19 and several investigators have speculated on the role of disorder on the optoelectronic properties of CIGS.20 It is remarkable that these electron mobilities, which are properties of materials similar to those that have yielded very high solar cell efficiencies, are lower than the Hall mobilities previously reported for n-type films, which ranged from 2–1100 cm²/Vs.6 We speculate that the empirical optimization of CIGS solar cells at a given electrical bandgap has traded off disorder-induced diminishment of carrier mobilities for disorder-induced increase in optical absorption.

The electron mobilities are lower than the hole mobilities in our cells. This result is consistent with the design of CIGS cells, which places an n-type contact (CdS) as a window layer in the cells so that electrons are photogenerated as close as possible to their collecting contact. The magnitude of the electron mobility also affects the width of the space-charge region near the top contact. The region’s width Lsc, under illumination is at most $L_{sc} \approx \left[\frac{2}{3}V_{OC}\right]^{1/2} \left(\frac{\mu_e e_0}{\varepsilon_0 e G}\right)^{1/4}$, where $G \approx \frac{J_{sc}}{L_{sc}} \approx 10^{21}$ cm⁻³ s⁻¹ is the average photogeneration rate (cf. Table I), $\varepsilon_0$ is the dielectric constant, and $e$ the electronic charge.21 For $\mu_e \approx 0.1$ cm²/Vs, we estimate $L_{sc} \approx 0.9$ μm.

1M. A. Green, K. Emery, Y. Hisihikawa, and W. Warta, Prog. Photovoltaics 19, 84 (2011).
6See supplementary material at http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3692165 for references to electron Hall mobilities in n-type CIGS materials, measurements and analysis with voltage-dependent depletion widths, and the photocurrent and photcharge expressions.
16Some electron mobilities were estimated using only the 1050 nm measurements; we found results with this procedure to be consistent with the more extensive one that we described above that used both 690 nm and 1050 nm measurements.
18G. Brown, V. Faifer, A. Pavlov, S. Anikeev, E. Bykov, M. Contreras, and J. Wu, Appl. Phys. Lett. 96, 022104 (2010). Assuming electron deep-trapping is the recombination event, we obtain the ambipolar diffusion length for $L_{amb} \approx (kT/e)\tau_e$; for $\mu_e = 10^{-7}$ cm²/Vs and $L_{amb} = 0.5$ μm, which is consistent with this reference.