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ABSTRACT

Following decreases in acid deposition, some impacted surface waters in northern Europe and the northeastern USA are beginning to recover. The resultant increases in pH in these waters has coincided with increased dissolved organic carbon concentrations, which is also correlated with increases in both total mercury and methylmercury concentrations. Understanding how ecosystem mercury dynamics respond to recovery from acid deposition has important implications for fisheries and human exposure. Honnedaga Lake is one of seven lakes in the Adirondack Park of New York State with a heritage Brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) population, making the bioavailability and trophic transfer of mercury (Hg) a particular concern. Lime was applied to a tributary watershed of Honnedaga Lake in 2013 in an effort to accelerate biological recovery from acid deposition. Significant increases in DOC, THg and MeHg were evident in streamwater after treatment (maximum THg post-treatment=5.5 ng/L, maximum THg reference=2.15 ng/L). Six months after treatment, there was no significant difference in MeHg concentrations from reference values, while DOC and THg remained significantly higher than pre-treatment and reference values. This pattern suggests that Hg is leaching at elevated levels from the treated watershed, but that short-lived increases in MeHg could not be sustained due to limitations in either the net methylation rate or the transport of MeHg to the stream.
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INTRODUCTION

Acid deposition has been shown to cause a variety of adverse ecological effects since environmental concerns were first raised in the late 1960s and early 1970s (Oden 1968, Likens et al. 1972). Derived primarily from emissions of sulfur and nitrogen compounds (e.g., SO₂, NOₓ), elevated inputs of strong acids have affected the structure and function of forested and aquatic ecosystems in North America, Europe and Asia (Driscoll et al. 2001). Leaching by elevated deposition of sulfate and nitrate removes exchangeable base cations from soil, mobilizes inorganic aluminum from soil to water, and decreases pH and acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) in surface waters, which may result in toxic conditions for terrestrial and aquatic biota (Baldigo et al. 2007, 2009; Lawrence et al. 2008).

In 1990, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) established the Acid Rain Program (ARP) under the Clean Air Act, requiring major emissions reductions of the primary precursors of acid deposition from electric utilities (U.S. EPA 2009). Through the ARP, and subsequent rules, emissions have been significantly reduced resulting in marked decreases in acidic deposition (Burns et al. 2011, Greaver et al. 2012), and allowing acid impacted ecosystems in the northeastern United States to begin recovering (Driscoll et al. 2007a). However, this recovery process has been slow and delayed as decades of acidic deposition has removed available calcium (Ca) and other basic cations from soils (Warby et al. 2005). Improvements in surface water chemistry have been largely attributed to decreases in acid deposition (Driscoll et al. 2001, 2003a, 2007a; Chen and Driscoll 2005).

In addition to acid deposition, atmospheric Hg inputs impact remote forest ecosystems. Direct emissions from anthropogenic activities and legacy secondary re-emissions account for
the majority of global Hg emissions to the atmosphere at a rate 2-15 times greater than those from geogenic sources (Driscoll et al. 2013). In the northeastern United States, 60-80% of Hg deposition can be attributed to regional sources by deposition of gaseous or particulate ionic Hg (Selin 2009). Mercury can be deposited on trees and other plants, adsorbing to the surface of leaves and through stomata into leaves. This facilitates enrichment of foliar Hg which results in elevated deposition to soils via litterfall and throughfall (Hintelmann et al. 2002, Blackwell and Driscoll 2015). Soil Hg is largely bound to reduced sulfur groups associated with organic matter and is retained in the soil pool until the organic matter becomes water-soluble (Skyllberg et al. 2003). Once in the aquatic environment, Hg is transported largely by dissolved or particulate organic carbon (Dittman et al. 2010). Mercury can be converted to methylmercury (MeHg) by sulfate- or iron-reducing bacteria in reducing environments (Gilmour et al. 1998, Kerin et al. 2006).

In the methylated form, Hg is readily taken up at the base of aquatic food webs, and biomagnifies along the food chain. Resulting elevated concentrations can pose neurotoxicological risks, particularly to fish-eating birds and mammals, including humans (Driscoll et al. 2007b, 2013). Approximately 95% of MeHg consumed is absorbed through the gastrointestinal tract (Aberg et al. 1969). Once it enters the bloodstream, MeHg can cross barriers such as the blood-brain and blood-fetus barriers, causing neurological damage in humans and other top level predators (Burgess and Meyer 2008, Syversen and Kaur 2012, Schoch et al. 2014). One estimate indicates that the annual economic cost associated with health impacts from Hg will reach 3.7 billion USD globally by 2020 (Sundseth et al. 2010), while US economic benefits associated with reduced Hg emissions could reach $104 billion by 2050 (Giang and Selin 2016).
In New York State, the Department of Health has issued a statewide consumption advisory to eat no more than one meal of sport fish per week. More specific advisories for consumption of large predatory species and game fishes from individual lakes exist because of measured high levels of MeHg and other contaminants (New York State Department of Health 2015). In the Adirondack and Catskill Parks, an additional regional advisory is in place because the majority of surface waters in these regions have fish-Hg levels above consumption guidance values (New York State Department of Health 2015). In a 2008 survey of New York State, Yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) in the Adirondack region had median Hg concentrations more than twice the values observed in the remainder of the state (382 ng/g vs. 162 ng/g respectively; Simonin, Loukmas, Skinner, & Roy, 2008).

In Northern Europe, the northeastern United States, and southeastern Canada, aquatic ecosystems are showing signs of recovery from acid deposition (Driscoll et al. 2001, Jeffries et al. 2003). There are important linkages between the effects of acid deposition and Hg deposition in remote acid-impacted watersheds, suggesting that decreases in acid deposition might have a co-benefit of decreasing fish Hg concentrations (U.S. EPA 2011). For example, bioaccumulation of MeHg increases with decreases in pH (Yu et al. 2011) and inputs of sulfate from acidic deposition can drive the formation of MeHg mediated by sulfate-reducing bacteria (Drevnick et al. 2007, Coleman Wasik et al. 2015). However, researchers have reported a coincident increase in Hg concentrations in fish with increases in dissolved organic carbon (DOC) associated with recovery from acid deposition (Hongve et al. 2012). Total Hg concentrations have a strong positive correlation with DOC concentrations (Dennis et al. 2005) and ultraviolet absorbance at 254nm (UV254) (Dittman et al. 2009). Despite decreases in U.S. Hg emissions (Zhang et
al. 2016, Drevnick et al. 2012), Hg concentrations in recovering ecosystems remain elevated. This condition presents an ongoing risk of bioaccumulation of this potent neurotoxin.

Previous studies in both the Adirondacks and New Hampshire (Cirmo and Driscoll 1996, Cho et al. 2009) have shown that Ca additions in the form of lime (CaCO$_3$) and wollastonite (CaSiO$_3$), respectively, are viable options for accelerating recovery of watersheds impacted by acid deposition. These studies demonstrated that calcium base additions increase pH and ANC, while decreasing concentrations of inorganic monomeric aluminum. Base treatment to acidic forest soils may be an experimental analog to conditions occurring in response to decreases in acid deposition. The response of Hg dynamics in ecosystems recovering from acid deposition or following base treatment is not well understood. In this study, I examined the impact of a watershed lime application on Hg loss and speciation in a small tributary of Honnedaga Lake.

Honnedaga Lake, located in the southwestern Adirondacks, is one of seven Adirondack lakes with a heritage Brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) population. This genetically unique population has undergone a recovery in recent years (Josephson et al. 2014) in association with increasing lake pH and ANC (Josephson et al. 2014), but remains at risk from ongoing effects of acid deposition. The application of lime to the watershed of the Honnedaga Lake tributary was intended to improve and increase spawning habitat for Brook trout by improving tributary water quality, particularly by increasing ANC and reducing inorganic monomeric aluminum concentrations. This experiment provides an opportunity to examine changes in Hg dynamics after liming. I hypothesized that concentrations of both total mercury (THg) and MeHg would increase immediately following lime addition due to increases in the release of DOC from soil associated with increases in soil pH, but would decline within the first water year to pre-treatment/reference levels. By monitoring THg and MeHg concentrations in stream water
draining both a treatment and reference watershed, I investigated changes in Hg dynamics in response to lime application and with this information obtained insight on the response of watersheds recovering from elevated acid deposition.

METHODS

**Study Site.** Honnedaga Lake (3.1 km²) is located in the southwestern Adirondacks (43°31’06”N and 74°48’31”W). The watershed (13.3 km²) is completely forested, with 26 tributaries draining into the lake (Figure 1). The two tributaries examined in this study, T16 (T - treatment) and T24 (R - reference), were chronically acidic prior to treatment, with pH consistently below 4.8. These watersheds make up a small portion of the entire Honnedaga Lake watershed (T – 0.298km², R – 0.179km²). The treatment and reference watersheds are characterized by steep topography (364m/km) and small wetland surface area (T – 4.3%, R ~ 0% of watershed area).

Each tributary has a gauging station installed just upstream of the outlet (T – 43°31’53”N 74°51’10”W, R – 43°32’02”N 74°51’42”W). Stream stage was measured by a submersible pressure transducer in a natural pool and recorded every 15 minutes on a data logger. Stage was converted to discharge through the use of a stage-discharge rating curve developed by making periodic discharge measurements with the use of a current meter under a range of flow conditions. Methods for measuring stream stage and discharge are described in Sauer and Turnipseed (2010), and Turnipseed and Sauer (2010).

The treatment watershed received 150 metric tons of limestone (CaCO₃), distributed in a pelletized form over the 30 hectare watershed. The lime was applied just after leaf fall on October 1, 2013 by helicopter, at a dose of 1.4 Mg of Ca/ha, which is comparable to application rates for other regional watershed liming studies (Driscoll et al. 1996, Peters et al. 2004).
**Field Sampling of Streams.** At each sampling location, an acid-washed 500-ml polyethylene bottle was rinsed three times with stream water and then filled with the sample for subsequent water chemistry analysis. Where possible, collection was done in a riffle reach to ensure swift velocity and well-mixed conditions. Water temperature was measured at the time of sampling and notes were made on weather conditions and estimated flow based on visual observation of water level relative to channel depth (high, medium, or low). Streamwater samples were collected approximately monthly using USEPA trace-metal clean techniques method 1669 (U.S. EPA 1995). During the first four weeks after lime addition, streamwater samples were collected weekly to evaluate the short-term response to treatment. Field blank and triplicate samples were collected for additional quality control. Streamwater samples were placed in a backpack for immediate transport to the field laboratory. The mercury water samples were filtered through 0.4 µm polycarbonate filters then acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid. All streamwater samples were refrigerated at 4° C, and shipped on ice overnight to Syracuse University for Hg analysis and to USGS New York Water Science Center Laboratory in Troy, NY for ancillary chemical analysis.

**Laboratory Processing and Chemical Analyses.** Five aliquots of each stream sample were analyzed for different solutes. The first aliquot was separated in the field and analyzed for THg and MeHg. Total Hg was analyzed using an oxidation, purge and trap, desorption and cold-vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry following U.S. EPA method 1631, revision E (U.S. EPA 2002). The detection limit for this method is 0.2 ng/L. Methylmercury was analyzed by distillation, ethylation, purge and trap, desorption and cold-vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry according to U.S. EPA Method 1630 (U.S. EPA 2007). The detection limit for this method is 0.02 ng/L. The second aliquot was filtered with 0.4 µm polycarbonate filters and
stored at 4° C for analysis of SO$_4^{2-}$ by ion chromatography. The third aliquot was passed through a 0.7 µm glass fiber filter (GFF) and stored at 4° C for analysis of UV254 and DOC by UV/persulfate oxidation in a total carbon analyzer. Specific ultraviolet absorbance (SUVA) was calculated from measured absorbance at 254 nm per DOC concentration. The fourth aliquot was filtered with 0.4 µm polycarbonate filters and acidified with ultrapure nitric acid and analyzed for iron using an Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) spectrophotometer. The final aliquot remained unfiltered for measurement of pH electrometrically. Further details on USGS analytical methods can be found at http://ny.cf.er.usgs.gov/nyprojectsearch/projects/2457-A5Z-3.html (accessed 08/04/2015). Laboratory QA/QC procedures are described in Lincoln et al. (2009).

**Determination of Fluxes.** Flow data were obtained from USGS gauging stations on both the treatment and reference tributaries. Annual fluxes of sulfate, DOC, THg and MeHg were then determined using the software package Flux32 v 3.03. Data were stratified based on season and results for the concentration/discharge regression are reported, because this method returned the lowest variance across all sites and analytes.

**Statistical Analysis.** Data were summarized over a 13-month period from September 2013 through September 2014, with additional data from early sampling in June 2013 and September 2012. Three time periods were defined for the purpose of data analysis; pre-treatment (n=4), transitional post-treatment (Transitional, October 2013 through February 2014, n=7) and a longer term post-treatment (Post-Treatment, March through September 2014, n=5). Based on examination of water quality temporal patterns, the pre-treatment period was before lime application, the transitional period was the first five months following lime addition, while the post-treatment was the seven month period following the transition response. The reference watershed was monitored prior to and following the experimental treatment.
Statistical analysis was performed with the software package SASv9.4. Regression analysis was performed on concentration and log-transformed concentration data to ascertain correlations between DOC, sulfate, UV254 and SUVA with THg and MeHg at both the treatment and reference site. UV254 and SUVA were corrected for iron interference based on calculated absorbance (Poulin et al. 2014). Regressions between reference and treatment streams were then tested using ANOVA for significant differences.

Time series of THg, MeHg and DOC concentrations were used to observe any changes after lime addition. A Kruskal-Wallis test (robust ANOVA) was applied to examine statistical differences in the mean concentrations of sulfate, DOC, UV254, SUVA, THg, MeHg and %MeHg (MeHg/THg*100) over three time periods: pre-treatment, transition, and post-treatment. A p-value of less than or equal to 0.1 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Concentration Patterns

Stream pH for the pre-treatment period and reference watershed ranged from 4.41 in July 2012 to 4.91 in August 2011, with a mean of 4.57. The tributary pH at the treatment watershed abruptly increased to 7.46 immediately after the lime application, and decreased to an average of 5.46 during the post treatment period. Post-treatment observations were a marked departure from both reference stream and pre-liming values (Figure 2a).

Coincident with this increase in stream pH, DOC exhibited a marked increase after watershed liming (Figure 2b). Dissolved organic carbon concentrations at the reference site ranged from 2.8 mg C/L to 8.14 mg C/L, with a mean value of 4.7 mg C/L. At the treatment tributary, pre-treatment DOC concentrations ranged from 2.9 mg C/L to 10.5 mg C/L, with a mean of 5.5 mg
C/L and were significantly higher than reference stream observations over this period (p=0.013). Concentrations of DOC in the treatment tributary during the transitional period ranged from 3.9 mg C/L to 18.4 mg C/L, with a mean of 10.6 mg C/L. After this initial transitional period, DOC decreased to a range of 3.3 mg C/L to 15.0 mg C/L, with an average of 10.5 mg C/L. The difference in DOC concentrations between tributaries were significantly greater during the transitional and post-treatment periods than the pre-treatment period (Figure 3, p<0.0001).

Absorbance at UV254 had a similar response as DOC. The reference site observations ranged from 0.07 cm\(^{-1}\) to 0.292 cm\(^{-1}\), with a mean of 0.164 cm\(^{-1}\). These values were lower than pre-treatment observations at the treatment stream (p=0.004) which ranged from 0.113 cm\(^{-1}\) to 0.401 cm\(^{-1}\), with a mean of 0.227 cm\(^{-1}\). Stream UV254 increased in the treatment stream during the transitional period and was significantly higher than before the treatment, ranging from 0.147 cm\(^{-1}\) to 0.781 cm\(^{-1}\), with a mean of 0.442 cm\(^{-1}\). This increase was maintained through the post treatment period where observations ranged from 0.130 cm\(^{-1}\) to 0.658 cm\(^{-1}\), with a mean of 0.385 cm\(^{-1}\). The differences in UV254 between tributaries were significantly greater during the transitional and post-treatment periods than the pre-treatment period (p<0.001, Figure 2c).

Observations of SUVA indicate a shift in DOC quality after treatment with lime. Reference stream observations ranged from 2.4 L mg-C\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\) to 3.9 L mg-C\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\), with a mean of 3.3 L mg-C\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\). These values were significantly lower than pre-treatment observations at the treatment stream (p<0.001) where values ranged from 3.3 L mg-C\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\) to 4.4 L mg-C\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\), with a mean of 3.8 L mg-C\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\). Transitional observations at the treatment stream ranged from 3.7 L mg-C\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\) to 4.5 L mg-C\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\), with a mean of 4.2 L mg-C\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\). These values were not significantly different from post-treatment (p>0.5) observations at the treatment stream which ranged from 3.9 L mg-C\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\) to 4.7 L mg-C\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\), with a mean of 4.2 L mg-C\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\). The differences in SUVA
between tributaries were significantly greater during the transitional and post-treatment periods than the pre-treatment period (p<0.001, Figure 2d).

Total Hg concentrations over the pre-treatment period at the treatment stream and all reference stream observations were not significantly different and ranged from 0.33 ng/L to 3.33 ng/L, with an overall mean concentration of 1.32 ng/L. The treatment watershed stream exhibited marked increases in THg after application during the transition period, ranging from 1.07 ng/L to 5.50 ng/L, with a mean concentration of 3.26 ng/L. Treatment stream THg concentrations were significantly higher than reference stream observations over the same period (p=0.037). Post-treatment observations from the treatment stream ranged from a minimum of 0.69 ng/L to 3.40 ng/L, with a mean of 2.26 ng/L, which were also significantly higher than reference observations (Figure 4, p=0.016).

Methylmercury concentrations in the reference tributary ranged from 0.008 ng/L to 0.046 ng/L, with a mean of 0.019 ng/L. Immediately following treatment, MeHg showed a marked increase in the treatment tributary during the transition period when concentrations ranged from 0.004 ng/L to 0.194 ng/L, with a mean of 0.074 ng/L. Methylmercury concentrations in the treatment tributary over the transition period were significantly higher than the reference tributary (p=0.078). This difference did not persist in the post-treatment period (p=0.114), when treatment stream MeHg concentrations decreased, ranging from a minimum of 0.002 ng/L to a maximum of 0.0564 ng/L, with a mean of 0.027 ng/L. Post-treatment observations at the reference tributary ranged from 0.002 ng/L to 0.040 ng/L, with a mean value of 0.017 ng/L (Figure 5).
The percent MeHg of THg (%MeHg) displayed an interesting response to lime treatment. The reference stream ranged from 0.37% to 8.64%, and had a mean value of 1.72%. Pre- and post-treatment observations of the limed watershed were similar to reference watershed observations. Pre-treatment observations of the limed stream ranged from 0.24% to 2.68%, with a mean of 1.48%, while post-treatment observations ranged from 0.09% to 8.17%, with a mean of 2.15%. During the transition period, the treatment tributary had some higher values of %MeHg ranging from 0.08% to 18.15%, with a mean of 3.92%.

DOC and THg concentrations at the reference and treatment sites exhibited a strong positive correlation for the period of study (slope = 0.514 ng-Hg/mg-C and 0.517 ng-Hg/mg-C, adj-R^2 = 0.627 and 0.900, respectively). The slopes of these regressions were not statistically different using all available data (Figure 6A, p>0.9). There was a similar correlation between UV254 and THg concentrations at the reference and treatment sites over the period of study (slope = 11.1 ng-Hg/m and 11.5 ng-Hg/m, adj-R^2 = 0.508 and 0.896 respectively). Despite the similarity in these relationships, the slopes of the THg-UV254 regressions were statistically different using all available data (Figure 6C, p<0.001). The only other significant correlation was between SUVA and THg in the treatment stream (slope = 4.29 ng-Hg/L mg-C^{-1} m^{-1}, adj-R^2 = 0.378, Figure 6D). In contrast, MeHg was not significantly correlated with DOC, sulfate, UV254 or SUVA (Table 1). No significant relationships were found for log-transformed data.

Although the slopes from THg-DOC regression analysis were not significantly different, the THg:DOC ratio of treatment streamwater changed over the post-application period. In the reference tributary, there was a significant difference in THg:DOC between the transition and post-treatment periods (Figure 7, p=0.008). The THg:DOC ratio during the transition period in the reference stream ranged from 0.143 µg/g to 0.389 µg/g, with a mean value of 0.283 µg/g.
These values were higher than those observed for the post-treatment period, which ranged from 0.108 µg/g to 0.281 µg/g, with a mean of 0.176 µg/g. However, there was no significant difference for THg:DOC between the transition and post-treatment periods in the treatment stream. Observations during the transition period ranged from 0.208 µg/g to 0.476 µg/g, with a mean of 0.364 µg/g and were not significantly different from the same period at the reference tributary. There was no difference in MeHg:DOC between tributaries with values ranging from 0.369 ng/g to 49.7 ng/g, with a mean of 8.28 ng/g.

Concentrations of sulfate in the reference tributary ranged from 1.9 mg SO₄/L to 3.8 mg SO₄/L, with a mean of 2.9 mg SO₄/L during the pre-treatment period. Observations of SO₄ were not statistically different at the reference stream between the transitional and post-treatment periods. Over these time periods, SO₄ observations in the reference tributary ranged from 2.0 mg SO₄/L to 3.1 mg SO₄/L, with a mean of 2.5 mg SO₄/L and were significantly lower than pre-treatment reference observations (p=0.001). Pre-treatment observations from the limed stream were not significantly different from post-treatment observations. Sulfate during the pre-treatment and post-treatment periods ranged from 2.0 mg SO₄/L to 4.1 mg SO₄/L, with a mean of 3.2 mg/L. These SO₄ observations were significantly lower than observations during the transitional period in the treatment tributary (p<0.001). During the transition period, sulfate concentrations in the limed tributary ranged from 3.0 mg SO₄/L to 6.4 mg SO₄/L, with a mean of 3.6 mg SO₄/L (Figure 8, p=0.813). The differences in sulfate concentrations between tributaries were significantly greater during both the transitional (p<0.001) and post-treatment (p=0.049) time periods than the pre-treatment period. No statistically significant pattern was found between sulfate and DOC, MeHg or THg.
Fluxes

Calculated fluxes indicate an increase in yield after liming for all analytes except MeHg when compared with the reference watershed (Table 2). There is no significant difference in flow between the 2013 and 2014 water years (t-test, α=0.05). Annual DOC flux at the reference stream was 5693 g-C/m²·y, with a standard deviation of 167 g-C/m²·y. Pre-addition, the treatment stream annual DOC yields were 7748 g-C/m²·y with a standard deviation of 142 g-C/m²·y, somewhat higher than the DOC flux from the reference stream (5693±167 g-C/m²·y). Post application there was an increase in DOC flux to 12,121 g-C/m²·y, with a standard deviation of 178 g-C/m²·y.

A similar comparison was difficult to perform for THg and MeHg fluxes because there were not enough observations to accurately calculate an annual pre-treatment flux. As a result, comparisons were made between reference and post-application observations only. The reference stream had an annual THg flux of 1.75 μg-THg/m²·y, with a standard deviation of 2.79 μg-THg/m²·y, which was lower than the post-application flux of 5.17 μg-THg/m²·y, with a standard deviation of 3.4 μg-THg/m²·y.

The MeHg flux was markedly different from the THg flux. Post-application MeHg flux from the treatment stream were slightly lower than the reference stream. The reference stream had an annual flux of 0.050 μg-Hg/m²·y, with a standard deviation of 0.479 μg-Hg/m²·y, while the treatment stream had a post-application flux of 0.034 μg-Hg/m²·y, with a standard deviation of 0.389 μg-Hg/m²·y.

Sulfate fluxes showed a somewhat different response than DOC fluxes. The reference site had an annual flux of 2905 g-SO₄/m²·y, with a standard deviation of 119 g-SO₄/m²·y, which is
approximately three quarters the pre-treatment value. Before lime addition, the treatment
tributary had an annual flux of 4013 g-SO$_4$/m$^2$-y, with a standard deviation of 102 g-SO$_4$/m$^2$-y,
which was not a large difference from the post-treatment flux of 4383 g-SO$_4$/m$^2$-y, with a
standard deviation of 107 g-SO$_4$/m$^2$-y.

DISCUSSION

Lime addition to the treatment watershed markedly increased the pH of streamwater. For the
first water year after the application, pH has remained above 5.0, which is protective of Brook
tROUT health (Fost and Ferreri 2015). Many acid-impacted ecosystems like Honnedaga Lake are
currently undergoing a natural recovery from acid deposition coincident with an increase in DOC
(Monteith et al. 2007, Haaland et al. 2010). It might be anticipated that increases in pH
associated with either decreases in acid deposition or base treatment would result in increased
mobilization of DOC. While the drivers and mechanisms for increases in DOC can be complex
(Clark et al. 2010), the mechanism in the treatment watershed is likely driven by a decrease in
the partitioning of DOC to soil, with increases in soil pH (Ussiri and Johnson 2004). The shift
towards higher SUVA values suggests more aromatic compounds were released from the
treatment watershed following lime application coinciding with a decrease in DOC partitioning
(Dittman et al. 2010).

These data suggest near-stream mercury pools were mobilized during the transitional period,
while watershed mercury pools from soils further removed from the stream entered the tributary
during the post-treatment period. MeHg is found at higher concentrations in soils and soil pore
water in the hyporheic zone (Skyllberg et al. 2003). I hypothesize that limited near-stream Hg
pool with elevated MeHg was mobilized immediately following lime application. Soil in more
upland areas of the watershed tend to be lower in MeHg and would take longer to reach the stream. This mechanism could explain the pattern of elevated THg and DOC concentrations through the post-treatment period while elevated MeHg concentrations decreased to reference levels within a short period after lime addition. The strong positive relation between THg and DOC provides increased opportunity for sulfate- and iron-reducing bacteria to co-metabolize THg, with the potential for increases in production of MeHg in downstream anaerobic lake sediments (Benoit et al. 2002, Podar et al. 2015).

Sulfate plays an integral role in MeHg formation (Benoit et al. 2002, Drevnick et al. 2007, Podar et al. 2015, Coleman Wasik et al. 2015). The treatment stream had higher sulfate concentrations before and after lime application. However, after application the difference in sulfate concentrations between the two streams increased. The SO4 annual flux increased by approximately 9%, while DOC increased by almost 60%. This difference in response between SO4 and DOC in the treatment stream coupled with a lower post-application MeHg flux relative to the reference stream suggests that methylation in these watersheds is not sulfate limited.

There is some evidence in the literature suggesting that high DOC concentrations can limit the bioavailability of Hg. Using a series of Arctic lakes with a wide DOC gradient, French et al. (2014) showed that at DOC concentrations greater than approximately 8.5 mg/L, MeHg concentrations are diminished in biota. They suggest this effect is due to increased bonding of THg with larger molecular weight humic acids which are not easily degraded, limiting the release of ionic Hg and the formation of MeHg. While studies of riverine ecosystems in the Adirondacks have not observed this reduction in Hg bioaccumulation with elevated DOC (Riva-Murray et al. 2011), this has implications for downstream lakes.
Before lime addition, both the reference and treatment tributaries were contributing maximum DOC concentrations to Honnedaga Lake approaching or slightly above the value reported by French et al. (2014). Base treatment resulted in improvements in pH and mobilization of additional DOC to streamwater. This elevated DOC would have a larger humic fraction based on increased solubility of humic acids with increased pH (Ussiri and Johnson 2004) and evidenced by the increase in SUVA following lime treatment (Figure 2d). This pattern suggests a mobilization of humic organic matter in acid impacted soils when lime is applied. A higher humic acid DOC fraction entering could limit methylation of ionic Hg in downstream lakes.

Potential effects of liming on Hg cycling and bioaccumulation complicates assessment of liming as a management strategy (Lawrence et al. 2016). Lime can be applied in forested areas to protect against or reverse the impacts of acidic deposition and preserve or restore sensitive or impacted ecosystems. Concentrations of THg and DOC in the treatment stream have remained elevated for more than a year after treatment. Lime treatment is typically applied to drive a rapid increase in pH and ANC to pre-acidification levels and to mitigate the effects of acid deposition. At Honnedaga Lake, CaCO$_3$ was applied to improve spawning habitat for heritage Brook trout, increasing pH and decreasing concentrations of inorganic monomeric aluminum. These results suggest increases in DOC and THg are related to increases in pH. Higher concentrations and fluxes of THg in aquatic ecosystems are an unintended consequence of watershed liming. The effects of this management strategy on Hg bioaccumulation in riverine systems requires further investigation given the variety of interacting factors (Simonin et al. 2008a, Ward et al. 2010).

Increased mobilization of THg is a particular problem in forested areas as Hg accumulates in forest soils (Yu et al. 2011). In the northeastern United States, parks and conservation areas are typically forested. Applying lime to acid sensitive watersheds in the Adirondack Park would
drive improvements in pH and ANC, but simultaneously mobilize THg. Elevated transport of THg to downstream lakes would undoubtedly allow for deposition to anaerobic lake sediments, allowing for the formation of MeHg. Given that the treatment watershed is a relatively small tributary to Honnedaga Lake (2.7%), any change in flux would have minor impacts on the overall lake. However, if a complete liming of the Honnedaga Lake watershed were to be conducted, the response of the treatment tributary would suggest a 50% increase in load to Honnedaga Lake. This observed increase in Hg would likely persist beyond the first year after treatment with Hg dynamics different from those associated with natural recovery from acidic deposition.

The natural recovery of Honnedaga Lake from ongoing decreases in acid deposition further complicates assessment of this management strategy. Increases in DOC of approximately 1% per year have been reported in the northeastern United States following decreases in sulfate deposition (Monteith et al. 2007). The effect of observed DOC increases at Honnedaga Lake (Josephson et al. 2014) on Hg concentrations of lake-resident Brook trout, and tributary-resident macroinvertebrates is the subject of ongoing research.

These results have important implications for understanding the natural recovery of lake watersheds from acid deposition. This multi-decadal process (Driscoll et al. 2003b) will likely lead to continued increases in DOC and THg concentrations in recovering ecosystems. The increasing DOC and fish-Hg in aquatic ecosystems following decreases in sulfate deposition is indicative of this problem (Hongve et al. 2012; Josephson et al. 2014) Some studies have suggested that changes in the quality of DOC may occur coincident with increases in concentration of DOC (David and Vance 1991, Laudon et al. 2011, Vidon et al. 2014, Fakhraei
and Driscoll 2015), but it is unclear how changes in DOC quality would impact formation of MeHg in soils.

In the first water year after liming, the difference in THg and DOC concentrations between the treatment and reference tributaries were significantly greater than pre-liming. The strength of the THg:DOC relationship, the increase in DOC flux post-application, and the elevated THg flux from the treatment watershed, suggest a large mobilization of Hg following application. As the reference tributary recovers from acid deposition, a mass of Hg associated with soil organic matter (Demers et al. 2007, Burns et al. 2014) is available for future mobilization.

Additionally, the treatment watershed data indicate that available MeHg is removed from the watershed rapidly in response to altered chemical conditions. Post-application fluxes of MeHg from both watersheds are similar, despite the absence of any wetland area in the reference watershed. This would reduce exposure to the most biologically relevant species of Hg. Continued monitoring of the treatment tributary is required to determine how long these Hg dynamics are sustained.

CONCLUSIONS

Stream Hg concentrations and fluxes at a calcium carbonate treated and reference watersheds at Honnedaga Lake were examined for the first water year after lime addition. The response of Hg and DOC to base treatment can help inform our understanding of the natural recovery of watersheds impacted by acid deposition. These results also have implications for the downstream lake ecosystem where THg could be deposited to sediments and eventually converted to MeHg.
The lime application resulted in a large increase in pH of the treatment tributary. This increase in pH mobilized organic carbon in treated soils, causing DOC and THg concentrations and fluxes to be elevated over reference and pre-treatment observations. In contrast, elevated MeHg concentrations were only observed during a five-month transitional period. After this transitional period, MeHg levels were not significantly different from reference values, suggesting that the enhanced concentrations of THg and DOC did not increase the supply of MeHg for an extended period following treatment. It is unclear what caused MeHg to return rapidly to pre-treatment levels while THg remained elevated, however it was speculated that MeHg was mobilized from near-stream pools. The relatively small quantity of these pools resulted in the limited duration of the MeHg treatment response. Further monitoring of these tributaries is required to evaluate the longer term impacts of using lime to accelerate recovery from acidification on Hg dynamics and Hg concentration in biota.
Figure 1: Map of Honnedaga Lake and the treatment and reference watersheds, located in the southwestern portion of the Adirondack Park (43°31’06”N and 74°48’31”W). This area of New York State is at a high elevation relative to the surrounding area (667m).
Figure 2: Time-series of pH (A), dissolved organic carbon (B), UV absorbance (C) and specific UV absorbance (D) of treatment and reference streams before and following lime application (1 October 2013 indicated by vertical line). For the first water year, pH values have remained above five in the treatment stream, while the reference stream remained chronically acidic. The blue box represents the pH range protective of brook trout. There was an increase in DOC following lime addition at the treatment stream. The horizontal blue line indicates DOC at 8.5mg-C/L. There was a marked increase in absorption in the treatment stream following treatment with lime. SUVA at the treatment stream started at a higher value prior to liming, and increased following lime application.
Figure 3: Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations before and following lime application in treatment and reference streams. There was no significant difference between the transitional and post treatment periods, but these were significantly higher than the pre-liming and reference observations (p<0.0001).
Figure 4: Total mercury (THg) concentrations following lime application in both the treatment and reference tributaries. Following application, the treatment stream has maintained THg concentrations significantly higher than the reference tributary (p=0.037 Transitional, p=0.016 post-treatment). Pre-treatment values were not shown because few samples were collected before the lime addition.
Figure 5: Concentrations of methylmercury (MeHg) in treatment and reference streams after lime application. MeHg was elevated over the transitional period (p=0.078). This statistical difference did not persist into the post-treatment period (p=0.114).
Figure 6: Relationship between concentrations of THg and DOC (A), sulfate (B), UV254 absorbance (C) and specific UV absorbance (D) in treatment and reference streams prior to and following lime treatment. The correlation between THg and DOC was stronger in the treatment stream than the reference stream (adj-$R^2$=0.900, 0.627 respectively) as was the relationship between THg and UV254 (adj-$R^2$=0.900, 0.528, treatment and reference stream respectively). There was no difference in slope observed at these sites for DOC (p>0.9, m=0.514ng-Hg/mg-C and 0.517ng-Hg/mg-C, for reference and treatment sites respectively), while the UV254 slopes were statistically different (p<0.001, m=11.12ng-Hg/m and 11.31ng-Hg/m, for reference and treatment sites respectively). The relationship between THg and SUVA in the treatment stream is the only other significant correlation (p=0.004, adj-$R^2$=0.377).
Figure 7: Ratio of A) THg:DOC and B) MeHg:DOC concentrations before and after lime application in treatment and reference streams. Greater THg per DOC was evident in the treatment stream compared to the reference stream during both the transitional and post-treatment periods. These two time periods were not different from each other in the treatment stream. There was no significant difference found in the MeHg:DOC ratio between the two tributaries.

Figure 8: Concentrations of sulfate in treatment and reference stream water prior to and following lime addition. Treatment observations of
sulfate were not significantly different in the pre-treatment and post-treatment periods, but the transitional period was significantly higher than the other time periods (p<0.001). The treatment tributary was significantly higher, regardless of period. The seasonal variation of sulfate makes it difficult to determine if these differences were caused by the treatment.

**TABLES**

**Table 1**: Calculated p-values and adj-R2 for concentrations of THg and MeHg with DOC, sulfate, UV absorbance, and specific UV absorbance. Total Hg had significant relationships with DOC and UV absorbance at both reference and treatment streams, and specific absorbance at just the treatment stream. No significant relationships were found with MeHg.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>THg</th>
<th>MeHg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>adj-R2</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV254</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUVA</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**: Calculated fluxes and standard deviations from the reference and treatment tributaries. Not enough Hg data were collected prior to lime addition to calculate a MeHg or THg flux. Post-application values were calculated using data from the transitional and post-treatment time periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MeHg (g-Hg/km2 y)</th>
<th>THg (g-Hg/km2 y)</th>
<th>DOC (kg-C/km2 y)</th>
<th>SO4 (kg-SO4/km2 y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flux</td>
<td>Std Dev.</td>
<td>Flux</td>
<td>Std Dev.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-application</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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