Syracuse University

SURFACE

The Courier Libraries

Fall 1969

Courier, No.33, Fall 1969

Syracuse University Library Associates

Follow this and additional works at: https://surface.syr.edu/libassoc



Part of the Arts and Humanities Commons, and the Education Commons

Recommended Citation

Syracuse University Library Associates, "Courier, No.33, Fall 1969" (1969). The Courier. 435. https://surface.syr.edu/libassoc/435

This Journal Issue is brought to you for free and open access by the Libraries at SURFACE. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Courier by an authorized administrator of SURFACE. For more information, please contact surface@syr.edu.

ON THE DUTIES OF AN UNIVERSITY TOWARDS THE NATION

By A. C. SWINBURNE

With an Introduction by WILLIAM P. TOLLEY

THE COURIER

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY ASSOCIATES publishes THE COURIER several times each year for its members. A single copy: \$5.00

Limited Edition

The views expressed in The Courier are the personal opinions of the authors and not necessarily of anyone else. The author of the unsigned pieces is the Editor.

Editor
John S. Mayfield
Syracuse University Library
Syracuse, New York 13210

London Correspondent
Timothy d'Arch Smith
64a St. John's Wood High Street
Regent's Park
London, N. W. 8, England

Editor's Assistant Mrs. Edward F. Skowron

Business Manager
Howard L. Applegate
Syracuse University Library
Syracuse, New York 13210

Texas Correspondent William M. Morrison Box 3277 Waco, Texas 76707

Wood engravings executed for the Library Associates by John DePol.

Designed and produced by the Syracuse University Press, Syracuse, New York 13210

Copyright 1969, by Syracuse University Library Associates

THE COURIER



SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY ASSOCIATES

FALL 1969

ON THE DUTIES OF AN UNIVERSITY TOWARDS THE NATION

By A. C. SWINBURNE

With an Introduction by
WILLIAM P. TOLLEY

NOTE

As an undergraduate at Oxford University from the beginning of 1856 to the end of 1859, Algernon Charles Swinburne—later to become one of the great figures of English Literature—wrote numerous papers and essays to fulfill portions of the curriculum laid down by his tutors. The original manuscripts of some of these unpublished compositions have miraculously survived, and a few have been issued by their owners in small privately printed editions, copies of which are eagerly sought by bibliophiles and collectors of such unusual items.

On the Duties of an University towards the Nation, which appears here for the first time in print, was written in black ink on four demy quarto leaves, recto and verso, making eight pages of text, on unlined, cream-colored, watermarked, laid paper, with three edges marbled. The undated watermark shows a seated Britannia surrounded by an oval surmounted by a crown. The left edges of the leaves are ragged and uneven, evidence that the manuscript was torn from an exercise book of the type Swinburne is known to have used as a student. The date of composition cannot be determined definitely, but a calculated conjecture would place the time as during the early months of 1858.

The original manuscript of this early essay by the youthful Swinburne is in The Mayfield Library at Syracuse University.

INTRODUCTION

Exceptional talents are evident almost from birth. We should, therefore, not be too surprised when undergraduate essays are of high quality. It is, however, a rare student essay that a century later is as timely as the day it was written.

In the delightful essay now appearing in print for the first time we have what is particularly appropriate for publication in 1969. This was the year of student rebellion and disruption. It was also a time when the mass media had much to say on the theme of university governance. In such a year Swinburne's words have special relevance and we are deeply grateful to John S. Mayfield for sharing them with us in this special edition.

The responsibility of the university to the nation is the same despite the passage of time. The pace of change, the pressure to reapportion power, the demands for the restructuring of university governance, do not alter the idea of the university or the nature of its obligation to the nation.

In response to the student voices finding all manner of fault with our universities, Swinburne replies, "This very passion of opposition, this earnestness in denouncing what evil seems to you to have overgrown an institution, evidently enough proves that you look upon the original idea whence it grew as one true and worthy. Else, why this anger at its supposed falling off? And admitting this to be so, is not all wholesale denunciation irrational and hopeless to bring forth a remedy?

"The truth seems to be, that such assailants do not really know what they want. And those who confound good and evil in one attack, while they can do no material injury to the good, are pretty sure to fortify and increase the evil." Swinburne may have been too generous in his judgment that critics of the university "can do no material injury to the good," although fortifying and increasing evil. In Japan, Italy, France, as well as South America, we have learned how vulnerable educational institutions are. In many parts of the world higher education as we have known it has been substantially destroyed.

We applaud, however, the distinction between instruction and education, and with this the understanding that the great business of education is "to nerve and strengthen the mind—to develop the intellectual faculty—by giving fair play to every capacity for good; to train equally all talents to do the highest work they may; to keep the heart on a level with the mind, by denying neither the exertion and the repose which each in turn requires."

In a sensate culture where we are too often ruled by appetite and desire, Swinburne has made clear the idea of the university. In doing so he gives us hope that what is timeless will always be timely.

WILLIAM P. TOLLEY,

Chancellor Emeritus,
Syracuse University.

ON THE DUTIES OF AN UNIVERSITY TOWARDS THE NATION

By A. C. SWINBURNE

Education and the theories which guide it are among the most unstable of a people's possessions; it is well and necessary that they should be so. For the requirements of every age must have other sustenance than satisfied its predecessor. Time and change having done their work upon the inner life of a nation, how should they spare the outward institutions? These were only valuable as the exponents of the principle within. When they cease to fulfil this office, they are worse than useless; they encumber the path toward better things, they infect the air which without them were wholesome to breathe. It is simply impossible that what sufficed one generation should suffice all that succeed it.

Such arguments as the above are not unfrequently brought to bear, with more or less injustice, upon the open questions regarding our Universities in their relation to national life and well-being. Opponents charge them with forsaking the purposes for which they were originally intended—with living a life of convention apart from the time and its changes—in short, with any shortcoming they imagine to be discernible, so they can but suit their purpose and make a show of carrying their point. To all unreasoning animosity it is easy to answer: 'This very passion of opposition, this earnestness in denouncing what evil seems to you to have overgrown an institution, evidently enough proves that you look upon the original idea whence it grew as one true and worthy. Else, why this anger at its supposed falling off? And admitting this to be so, is not all wholesale denunciation irrational and hopeless to bring forth a remedy?'

On the duties of un University towards the Mation.

Education & the theories which quide it are away the and the state of a people's persurence is its well execusory that they should be so For the requirements of every age working the principal work against the theorems that satisfied its predecessor. The exchange having having the their work upon the numer lip of a nation, here should they share the activated wishtestions! These sucre only included as the experients of the principle within. It has a few they can so to fulfil this office, they are worse than willess; they was so to fulfil this office, they are worse there willings, they is feel the air which without the form and better through, to breather the air which without the that what sufficiel are generation should nestice all short what the are generation should nestice all short wheely we are generation should nestice all short weeked it.

Reduced Reproduction of the First Page of the Original Manuscript of Swinburne's Essay.

Notice the rust marks left by old paper clips.

The truth seems to be, that such assailants do not really know what they want. And those who confound good and evil in one attack, while they can do no material injury to the good, are pretty sure to fortify and increase the evil. Moreover, these very men who are so loud in outcries against errors of education, themselves often fall into the popular mistake of confounding instruction with education. They are wholly different, and their relative spheres of action lie far apart. He who should sum up his ideas of education in the word instruction—and there are those who, if not in words, at least in their practice maintain and vindicate this dogma-would as wisely expect to climb a ladder by standing still on the lowest step. Instruction is the narrowest and meanest branch of education. Indispensable of course in its place—but a dangerous thing when it supersedes higher instruments of mental discipline. It is not to cram the intellect with miscellaneous information enough to enable a man to say something on every question that may be brought before him—to stifle the reluctant mind under a weight of facts or blind it in a mist of theories—that lies the aim of education. Its business, now and ever, is to nerve and strengthen the mind—to develop the intellectual faculty by giving fair play to every capacity for good; to train equally all talents to do the highest work they may; to keep the heart on a level with the mind, by denying neither the exertion and the repose which each in turn requires.

As far as any system can do this for one among all those brought up according to its regulations, so far is it fit to have the care of his training and far will it succeed in the aim assigned to it. So far as it falls short of this end, so far is its success imperfect. To expect entire success in every case, perhaps in the majority of cases, would be to expect Utopia. Still, the test is not unfair or impracticable. And applied to the question now considered, it might not be difficult to show in what points the existing system fulfils these requisites, and how alterations of the nature before alluded to would be certain to impair that good.

First, instead of universal sciolism, their standard is limited knowledge. The former invariably entails intellectual weakness and near-sighted self-conceit. The latter as certainly produces a vigour and accuracy of intellect which no other system of instruction can give. Secondly, the very idea which originally founded these institutions is that of intellectual equality—an even chance to all, and a fair field for the discovery and display of the highest talent. Both these advantages would be done away with by restriction or by lowering of the intellectual standard. Either by seclusion from the rest of the world and callousness to the requirements of its time, or by the introduction of a lower tone and

would the university heil of its deep to the nation of mation is prolified in expecting from such institutions wisdom to proceive & activity to fellow out its line of duty; in baking for equal justice to all & development of the best by the best means; in short, pranchange which shall be more them instruction, & shall fit our strengther colequests to meet the wants of a changing time; for no otherwise will asystem should or fall.

adoption of the formula of instruction so as to exclude the wider idea of education, would the university fail of its duty to the nation. A nation is justified in expecting from such institutions wisdom to perceive and activity to follow out its line of duty; in looking for equal justice to all and development of the best by the best means; in short, for an education which shall be more than instruction, and shall of its own strength be adequate to meet the wants of a changing time; for no otherwise will a system stand or fall.

NOW IS THE TIME

Dear Friend and Supporter:

You are cordially invited to entertain the suggestion that now is the opportune time to come to the aid of Syracuse University Library Associates, and to renew your annual affiliation with that organization.

This may be done by either (or both) of two ways: donations of property (books, manuscripts, audio recordings, stocks, securities, etc.) or contributions in the form of checks.

Depending upon the value of the property or the amount of the check, you may become enrolled as:

AN ANNUAL MEMBER by contributing \$15 or more in any year;
A SUSTAINING MEMBER by contributing \$100 or more in any year;
or

A LIFE MEMBER by contributing \$3,000 or more in any year.

All contributions are tax deductible within legal limits.

Checks should be made payable to: SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, and communications should be addressed to:

LIBRARY ASSOCIATES University Sta., Box 163, Syracuse, N. Y. 13210

The Board of Trustees of Library Associates is confident of your early and generous response and looks forward to your participation in the activities of Syracuse University Library.



SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY ASSOCIATES BOARD OF TRUSTEES

David A. Fraser, Chairman Benjamin J. Lake, Vice Chairman Francis A. Wingate, Treasurer John S. Mayfield, Secretary

Through 1970

MRS. CHARLES P. BUCHANAN New York City, N. Y. STANLEY CAMPION Fayetteville, N. Y. JOHN M. CRAWFORD, JR. New York City, N. Y. LURELLE GUILD Darien, Conn.

MARY H. MARSHALL Syracuse, N. Y. JOHN VASSOS Norwalk, Conn. MRS. ALBERT WERTHEIMER Syracuse, N. Y. MELVIN J. WEIG Morristown, N. J.

JOHN BRICK Alexandria, Va. ALLAN B. COUGHLIN Fayetteville, N. Y. EDWARD C. FALES Salisbury, N. H. RONALD GOLDFARB Alexandria, Va. RAYMOND A. HUST Syracuse, N. Y.

Through 1971 WARREN B. MURPHY Manlius, N. Y ROBERT PHILLIPS Katonah, N. Y. MRS. OLIVE BISHOP PRICE Washington, D. C. MRS. MILES E. ROBERTSON Oneida, N. Y. MRS. LOUIS H. SCHECTER Baltimore, Md. B. FRANKLIN SLYE Baldwinsville, N. Y.

Through 1972

Syracuse, N. Y. W. CARROLL COYNE Fayetteville, N. Y. SOL FEINSTONE Washington Crossing, Pa. FRANK C. LOVE Syracuse, N. Y.

MRS. J. HOWLAND AUCHINCLOSS MURRAY M. SALZBERG Flushing, N. Y. CHESTER SOLING New York City, N. Y. MRS. LYMAN C. SPIRE Fayetteville, N. Y. RALPH WALKER Chappaqua, N. Y.

Ex Officio

CHANCELLOR JOHN E. CORBALLY, JR. ACTING PROVOST RALPH A. GALBRAITH HOWARD L. APPLEGATE, Executive Secretary WARREN N. BOES, Director of Libraries

Advisory Council

MRS. WILLIAM C. BLANDING, Syracuse, N. Y.; RALPH ECKBERG, Pittsford, N. Y.; CHARLES E. FEINBERG, Detroit, Mich.; MRS. WALTER F. McCALEB, Craddockville, Va.; DONALD T. POMEROY, Syracuse N. Y.; ELMER G. QUIN, Rochester, N. Y.; NORMAN H. STROUSE, St. Helena, Calif.; MRS. LAWRENCE WITHERILL, Syracuse, N. Y.

