

Syracuse University

SURFACE at Syracuse University

International Programs

International Programs

Summer 8-19-2021

What Are The Benefits Of Using A Hybrid Simulation In Structural And Seismic Engineering?

Emmanuel Zamora Romero

Follow this and additional works at: <https://surface.syr.edu/eli>



Part of the [Geological Engineering Commons](#)

The views expressed in these works are entirely those of their authors and do not represent the views of the Fulbright Program, the U.S. Department of State, or any of its partner organizations.

Recommended Citation

Zamora Romero, Emmanuel, "What Are The Benefits Of Using A Hybrid Simulation In Structural And Seismic Engineering?" (2021). *International Programs*. 205.

<https://surface.syr.edu/eli/205>

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the International Programs at SURFACE at Syracuse University. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Programs by an authorized administrator of SURFACE at Syracuse University. For more information, please contact surface@syr.edu.

Presented by: *Zamora-Romero Emmanuel*
 Supervised by: *ChrissaLee Butler & Michelle Sands*

ABSTRACT

This poster is aimed to contrast the advantages of the hybrid simulation method to simulate structural behavior on structural components to characterized seismic response.

INTRODUCTION

The mitigation of **structural damage** caused by lateral forces induced by **major ground motions** is an essential governmental duty since economic and human losses can be **catastrophic**. Hence, **building codes** have undergone significant changes to achieve **earthquake-resistant constructions**. Most of the improvements are a consequence of experimental research because **assuming material behavior** under critical demands becomes **extremely complicated**. For this reason, hybrid simulation is beneficial in terms of **cost**, time consumption, equipment availability and the **credibility of result** in Seismic/Structural Engineering.

• STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Sub-discipline of Civil Engineering in which professionals are trained to design and analyze the structural components that support and resist loads.




Figure 1. Basic structural detailing (Zamora-Romero, 2015)

• SEISMIC ENGINEERING

Branch of Engineering in which specialists are qualified to assess and design structures under seismic loading conditions to assure earthquake-resistant assemblies




Figure 2. Schematic seismic loading (Murty, 2011)

• DAMAGE ASSESSMENT

The onsite process to evaluate and record the loss caused by an accident to estimate if the element can be replaced, restored or salvaged.



Figure 3. Damage in concrete elements (CENAPRED, 2011)

• RATE-DEPENDENT EFFECTS

The behavior of materials where deformation, and its results like cracking in concrete or buckling in steel, depend directly on the rate at which the load is applied



Figure 4. Damage in steel elements (CENAPRED, 2011)

TESTING PROTOCOLS

• QUASISTATIC




Figure 5. Scheme of quasistatic test (Zamora-Romero, 2015)

• SHAKING TABLE



Figure 6. Calibration and construction of a RC scaled model (Zamora-Romero, 2015)

• HYBRID SIMULATION




Figure 7. Scheme of hybrid simulation in structural engineering (Zamora-Romero, 2018)

ADVANTAGES

Table 1. Comparison between different testing protocols to evaluate structural response under seismic loading

	Quasistatic	Shaking table	Hybrid simulation
Dynamic evaluation	✗	✓	✓
Full-scale evaluation	✓	✗	✓
Rate-dependent effects	✗	✓	✓

CONCLUSIONS

Since **destructive tests** are the most reliable methods to evaluate the actual response of a **structural element** under critical demands, the advantages of hybrid simulation compared with other methods can be summed up as follows:

- Cost-efficient method compared to shaking table test for assessing realistically dynamic demands.
- Cracking, buckling, and yielding (degradation of material) can be assessed.
- It is possible to assess the global response of a complex model by testing physical a subassembly of it.
- An affordable upgrade to quasistatic equipment.

REFERENCES

- Chen, C, J M Ricles, T M Marullo, y O Mercan. «Real-time hybrid testing using the unconditionally stable explicit CR integration algorithm.» *Earthquake Engineering Structural Dynamics* 38, nº 1 (2009): 23–44.
- CENAPRED (2011) "Manual Del Formato De Captura De Datos Para Evaluación Estructural. Red Nacional De Evaluadores", *Sistema Nacional De Protección Civil*.
- Mahin, S A, y P B Shing. «Pseudodynamic method for seismic testing.» *Journal of Structural Engineering* 111, nº 7 (1985): 1482–503
- Murty, C. V. R. (2011) "Introduction to Earthquake Protection of Non-Structural Elements in Buildings".
- Takanashi, K, y M Nakashima. «Japanese activities on on-line testing.» *Journal of Engineering Mechanics* 113, nº 7 (1987): 1014-1032
- Zamora-Romero, E. (2015) "Damage assessment in buildings. Reconstruction of stiffness matrix through shaking table tests", *Bachelors Thesis, UNAM*.
- Zamora-Romero, E. (2018) "Hybrid simulation of structures with soft-story problems retrofitted with buckling-restrained braces (in Spanish)", *Master Thesis, UNAM*.