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1 Model and Results

Consider the following autoregressive spatial panel data model:

\[ y = \lambda W y + X \beta + u, \]
\[ u = Z \mu + \nu, \]

where \( y \) is of dimension \( NT \times 1 \), \( X \) is \( NT \times k \), \( \beta \) is \( k \times 1 \) and \( u \) is \( NT \times 1 \), see Anselin (1988), Anselin, Le Gallo and Jayet (2008), and Elhorst (2003) to mention a few. The observations are ordered with \( t \) being the slow running index and \( i \) the fast running index, i.e., \( y' = (y_{11}, \ldots, y_{1N}, \ldots, y_{T1}, \ldots, y_{TN}) \). \( X \) is assumed to be of full column rank and its elements are assumed to be asymptotically bounded in absolute value. The error component structure is given by the second equation with \( Z \mu = \nu T \otimes I_N \) denoting the selector matrix for the \((N \times 1)\) random vector of individual effects \( \mu \) which is assumed to be i.i.d. \((0, \sigma_\mu^2 I_N)\). Here \( \nu_T \) is a vector of ones of dimension \( T \) and \( I_N \) is an identity matrix of dimension \( N \). \( \nu \) is a vector of \( NT \times 1 \) remainder disturbances which is assumed to be i.i.d. \((0, \sigma_\nu^2 I_{NT})\). Also, \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) are independent of each other and the regressor matrix \( X \).
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Ordering the data first by time (with index \( t = 1,\ldots,T \)) and then by individual units (with index \( i = 1,\ldots,N \)), we get \( W = I_T \otimes W_N \), where the \((N \times N)\) spatial weight matrix \( W_N \) has zero diagonal elements and is row-normalized with its entries usually declining with distance. This in turn results in row and column sums of \( W_N \) that are uniformly bounded in absolute value. In addition the column sums of \( W_N \), as well as the row and column sums of \((I_N - \lambda W_N)^{-1}\) are bounded uniformly in absolute value by some finite constant. We also assume that \( \lambda \) is bounded in absolute value, i.e., \(|\lambda| < 1\). This is a panel data version of the cross-section spatial autoregressive model considered by Kelejian, and Prucha (1998) and Lee (2003). Let \( A = I_T \otimes A_N \) where \( A_N = I_N - \lambda W_N \), then one can write 

\[
y = A^{-1}(X\beta + u).
\]

Note that 

\[
E[Wyu'] = E[W A^{-1}(X\beta + u)u'] = WA^{-1}\Omega \neq 0,
\]

where \( \Omega = E(uu') \). The spatially lagged dependent variable \( Wy \) is correlated with the disturbance \( u \). Therefore, the Ordinary Least Squares estimator will be inconsistent. Let \( Z = (X, Wy) \) and \( \delta = (\beta, \lambda)' \), the model in Equation (1) can be written as 

\[
y = Z\delta + u.
\]

In the cross-section spatial autoregressive model, Kelejian, and Prucha (1998) suggested a two-stage least square estimator (2SLS) based on feasible instruments like \( H = (X, WX, W^2X) \) which yield:

\[
\hat{\delta}_{2SLS} = [Z' P_H Z]^{-1} Z' P_H y,
\]

where \( P_H = H (H'H)^{-1} H' \) denotes the projection matrix using \( H \). Actually, \( H \) could include additional higher order terms like \( W^3X, W^4X \), etc., see also Kelejian, Prucha and Yuzefovich (2004). Kelejian, and Prucha (1998) show that this 2SLS estimator is consistent under some general regularity conditions for this model. Note that the results derived in this paper carry through when \( H \) includes these higher order terms. Let \( J_T = J_T/T \), where \( J_T \) is a matrix of ones of dimension \( T \). Also, let \( E_T = I_T - J_T \), and define \( P \) to be the projection matrix on \( Z_\mu \), i.e., \( P = J_T \otimes I_N \), and \( Q = I_{NT} - P = E_T \otimes I_N \). Premultiply Equation (2) by \( Q \) to obtain

\[
\ddot{y} = \lambda W\ddot{y} + \dddot{X}\beta + \dddot{u}.
\]

This follows from the fact that \( QW = (E_T \otimes I_N)(I_T \otimes W_N) = (E_T \otimes W_N) = (I_T \otimes W_N)(E_T \otimes I_N) = WQ \). Applying the Kelejian, and Prucha (1998) 2SLS to this \( Q \) transformed panel autoregressive spatial model, we get the fixed effects spatial 2SLS (FE-S2SLS) estimator of \( \delta \) based upon \( \dddot{H} = (\dddot{X}, W\dddot{X}, W^2\dddot{X}) = \).
\((QX, QWX, QW^2X) = QH\). We denote this by \(\hat{\delta}_{FE-S2SLS}\). Note that the \(s^2\) of this FE-S2SLS provides a consistent estimate \(\hat{\sigma}_\nu^2\) of \(\sigma_\nu^2\). Similarly, one could premultiply Equation (2) by \(P\) to obtain
\[
y = \lambda W\bar{y} + \bar{X}\beta + \bar{\pi}.
\] (5)

This follows from the fact that \(PW = (\bar{J}_T \otimes I_N)(I_T \otimes W_N) = (\bar{J}_T \otimes W_N)(I_T \otimes I_N) = WP\).

Applying the Kelejian, and Prucha (1998) 2SLS to this \(P\) transformed panel autoregressive spatial model, we get the between effects spatial 2SLS (BE-S2SLS) estimator of \(\delta\) based upon \(\bar{H} = (\bar{X}, W\bar{X}, W^2\bar{X}) = (PX, PWX, PW^2X) = PH\). We denote this by \(\hat{\delta}_{BE-S2SLS}\). Note that the \(s^2\) of this BE-S2SLS provides a consistent estimate \(\hat{\sigma}_1^2\) of \(\sigma_1^2\). As shown in Baltagi (2008), the variance-covariance matrix of \(u\) is \(\Omega = E(uu') = \sigma_1^2P + \sigma_\nu^2Q\), and \(\Omega^{-1/2} = (\sigma_1^{-1}P + \sigma_\nu^{-1}Q)\). Left multiply Equation (2) by \(\Omega^{-1/2}\), we get
\[
y^* = Z^*\delta + u^*,
\] (6)
where \(y^* = \Omega^{-1/2}y\), \(u^* = \Omega^{-1/2}u\), and \(Z^* = \Omega^{-1/2}Z = \Omega^{-1/2}(X, Wy) = (X^*, \Omega^{-1/2}Wy) = (X^*, W\Omega^{-1/2}y) = (X^*, Wy^*)\). The last expression follows from the fact that \(\Omega^{-1/2}W = (\sigma_1^{-1}PW + \sigma_\nu^{-1}QW) = (\sigma_1^{-1}WP + \sigma_\nu^{-1}WQ) = W\Omega^{-1/2}\). This means that Equation (6) can also be written as:
\[
y^* = \lambda Wy^* + X^*\beta + u^*.
\] (7)

Applying the Kelejian, and Prucha (1998) 2SLS to this \(\Omega^{-1/2}\) transformed panel autoregressive spatial model, we get the random effects spatial two-stage least square estimator (RE-S2SLS) of \(\delta\) given by
\[
\hat{\delta}_{RE-S2SLS} = [Z^*P_{H*}Z^*]^{-1}Z^*P_{H*}y^*
\] (8)
where \(H^* = (X^*, WX^*W^2X^*) = (\Omega^{-1/2}X, W\Omega^{-1/2}X, W^2\Omega^{-1/2}X) = (\Omega^{-1/2}X, \Omega^{-1/2}WX, \Omega^{-1/2}W^2X) = \Omega^{-1/2}H\).

Using the results in Baltagi (1981), one can similarly derive a spatial error component two-stage least square estimators (SEC-2SLS) as follows: Left multiply Equation (4) by \(\bar{H}'\), and (5) by \(\bar{H}'\), and stack the two equation system recognizing that they estimate the same \(\delta\), we get:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\bar{H}'\bar{y} \\
\bar{H}'\bar{\pi}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\bar{H}'\bar{Z} \\
\bar{H}'\bar{u}
\end{bmatrix} \delta + 
\begin{bmatrix}
\bar{H}'\bar{\pi}
\end{bmatrix},
\]
where \(E\left(\begin{bmatrix}
\bar{H}'\bar{u} \\
\bar{H}'\bar{\pi}
\end{bmatrix}\right) = 0\) and \(\text{Var}\left(\begin{bmatrix}
\bar{H}'\bar{u} \\
\bar{H}'\bar{\pi}
\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_\nu^2\bar{H}'\bar{H} & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_\nu^2\bar{H}'\bar{H}
\end{bmatrix}\). This follows from the fact that \(Q\Omega = \sigma_\nu^2Q\), and \(P\Omega = \sigma_1^2P\), with \(QP = 0\). Performing GLS on this two equation system we get the spatial error
component two-stage least squares (SEC-2SLS) estimator:

\[ \hat{\delta}_{SEC-2SLS} = \left( \frac{\bar{Z}'P_{\bar{H}}\bar{Z}}{\sigma^2_u} + \frac{\bar{Z}'P_{\bar{H}}\bar{Z}}{\sigma^2_1} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\bar{Z}'P_{\bar{H}}\bar{y}}{\sigma^2_u} + \frac{\bar{Z}'P_{\bar{H}}\bar{y}}{\sigma^2_1} \right) \]  

(9)

\[ = \left( \sigma^{-2}_u Z'P_{\bar{H}}Z + \sigma^{-2}_1 Z'P_{\bar{H}}Z \right)^{-1} \left( \sigma^{-2}_u Z'P_{\bar{H}}y + \sigma^{-2}_1 Z'P_{\bar{H}}y \right), \]  

(10)

using the fact that \( Q \) and \( P \) are idempotent. Using a similar argument as in Baltagi (1981) one can show that \( \hat{\delta}_{SEC-2SLS} \) is a matrix weighted combination of \( \hat{\delta}_{FE-2SLS} \) and \( \hat{\delta}_{BE-2SLS} \) weighting each by the inverse of their variance-covariance matrix and such that the weights add up to the identity matrix. A feasible SEC-2SLS estimator can be obtained by replacing \( \sigma^2_u \) and \( \sigma^2_1 \) into Equation (9). Following a similar argument as in Cornwell, Schmidt and Wykowski (1992), one can show that \( \hat{\delta}_{SEC-2SLS} \) can also be obtained as 2SLS from the \( \Omega^{-1/2} \) transformed equation in (6) using \( B = (\bar{H}, \bar{H}) \) as instruments. To show this, note that \( P_B = P_{\bar{H}} + P_{\bar{H}} \) using the fact that \( \bar{H} \) and \( \bar{H} \) are orthogonal to each other. This means that

\[ Z^* P_{\bar{H}} Z^* = Z^* P_{\bar{H}} Z^* + Z^* P_{\bar{H}} Z^* = \sigma^{-2}_u Z'P_{\bar{H}}Z + \sigma^{-2}_1 Z'P_{\bar{H}}Z, \]

since \( Q\Omega^{-1/2} = \sigma^{-1}_u Q \), and \( P\Omega^{-1/2} = \sigma^{-1}_1 P \). Similarly, \( Z^* P_{\bar{H}} y^* = Z^* P_{\bar{H}} y^* + Z^* P_{\bar{H}} y^* = \sigma^{-2}_u Z'P_{\bar{H}}y + \sigma^{-2}_1 Z'P_{\bar{H}}y \). Therefore, \( \hat{\delta}_{SEC-2SLS} \) given by Equation (9) can be obtained as 2SLS on (6) using \( B = (\bar{H}, \bar{H}) \) as instruments.

Lee (2003) argued that in the cross-section spatial model, the optimal instruments for estimating \( \delta \) in Equation (2) is

\[ E(Z) = E[X, W y] = (X, WA^{-1} X \beta). \]

Therefore, a Lee (2003) type optimal instruments for estimating \( \delta \) in the \( \Omega^{-1/2} \) transformed panel autoregressive spatial model in Equation (6) is

\[ E(Z^*) = E\left(\Omega^{-1/2} Z\right) = E\left[\Omega^{-1/2} (X, W y)\right] = \left(\Omega^{-1/2} X, \Omega^{-1/2} WA^{-1} X \beta\right), \]

and the resulting best spatial 2SLS estimator is given by

\[ \hat{\delta}_{BS-2SLS} = (H^*_b Z^*)^{-1} H^*_b y^*, \]  

(11)

where \( H^*_b = (\Omega^{-1/2} X, \Omega^{-1/2} WA^{-1} X \beta) \). A feasible version of this estimator is based on consistent estimators of \( \sigma^2_1, \sigma^2_u, \lambda \) and \( \beta \) respectively.

Similarly, one can extend Baltagi’s (1981) error component two-stage least squares estimator to this spatial autoregressive model using \( H_b^* \) as instruments. Left multiply Equation (6) by \((\bar{H}_b^*, H_b^*)'\), where \( \bar{H}_b^* = QH_b^* = \sigma^{-1}_u (QX, QWA^{-1}X \beta) \) and \( H_b^* = PH_b^* = \sigma^{-1}_1 (PX, PW A^{-1}X \beta) \), we get the two equation system recognizing that they estimate the same \( \delta \):

\[ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{H}_b^* y^* \\ H_b^* y^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{H}_b^* Z^* \\ H_b^* Z^* \end{pmatrix} \delta + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{H}_b^* u^* \\ H_b^* u^* \end{pmatrix}, \]
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where \( E \left( \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_b^* u^* \\ \hat{H}_b^* u^* \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0 \) and \( \text{Var} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_b^* u^* \\ \hat{H}_b^* u^* \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_b^* \hat{H}_b^* & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{H}_b^* \hat{H}_b^* \end{bmatrix} \). Performing GLS on this system we obtain the spatial error component best two-stage least squares estimator (SEC-B2SLS):

\[
\hat{\delta}_{SEC-B2SLS} = \left( Z^* P_{\hat{H}_b^*} Z + Z^* P_{\hat{H}_b^*} Z \right)^{-1} \left( Z^* P_{\hat{H}_b^*} y^* + Z^* P_{\hat{H}_b^*} y^* \right) \tag{12}
\]

\[
= \left( \sigma_\nu^{-2} Z^* P_{\hat{H}_b^*} Z + \sigma_1^{-2} Z^* P_{\hat{H}_b^*} Z \right)^{-1} \left( \sigma_\nu^{-2} Z^* P_{\hat{H}_b^*} y + \sigma_1^{-2} Z^* P_{\hat{H}_b^*} y \right) \tag{13}
\]

The second equality uses the fact that \( Q \Omega^{-1/2} = \sigma_\nu^{-1} Q \) and \( P \Omega^{-1/2} = \sigma_1^{-1} P \). This SEC-B2SLS estimator can also be obtained from the \( \Omega^{-1/2} \) transformed equation in (6) using \( B = \left( \hat{H}_b^*, \hat{H}_b^* \right) \) as instruments. In fact, \( \hat{H}_b^* \) and \( \hat{H}_b^* \) are orthogonal to each other, since \( Q P = 0 \). Hence, \( P_B = P_{\hat{H}_b^*} + P_{\hat{H}_b^*} \). This also implies that \( Z^* P_B Z^* = Z^* P_{\hat{H}_b^*} Z^* + Z^* P_{\hat{H}_b^*} Z^* \) and \( Z^* P_B y^* = Z^* P_{\hat{H}_b^*} y^* + Z^* P_{\hat{H}_b^*} y^* \). Therefore, \( \hat{\delta}_{SEC-B2SLS} \) given by Equation (12) is the same as 2SLS on (6) using \( B = \left( \hat{H}_b^*, \hat{H}_b^* \right) \) as instruments.

Note that these 2SLS estimators are easy to apply using standard software. In fact, one can easily extend the \textit{ec2sls} procedure in Stata for panels to the spatial panel case. Note also that SEC-2SLS and SEC-B2SLS use twice the instruments that their counterparts RE-S2SLS and BS-2SLS use. Although the set of instruments in the former is completely spanned by those for the latter estimators, these may yield smaller empirical standard errors in small samples, see Baltagi and Liu (2009) for a similar argument in the panel data case with no spatial correlation.
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