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Despite the fact that intellectual disability does not meet the U.S. Center for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC) criteria as an underlying cause of death, between 2012 and 2016 in the U.S., 25.9% of death certificates for adults with an intellectual disability inaccurately identified their disability as the underlying cause of death. This inaccuracy in reporting makes preventing premature deaths in this population more difficult because it obscures higher rates of other potentially preventable causes of death, such as choking-related deaths.

In general, more uncertainty about the death leads to more errors on the death certificate. Uncertainty arises when the person certifying the death certificate has unanswered questions about the actual causal sequence leading to death. The level of uncertainty surrounding a death often relates to how much medical information is available about a decedent at the time of death. This can vary by place of death (e.g., in a non-medical setting versus a hospital), the number of diseases documented at the time of death, and how much interaction the decedent had with medical providers throughout their life.

Uncertainty surrounding a death may be even higher for decedents with intellectual disability because medical providers often have limited knowledge of disability and may be unsure about where to report it on the death certificate. Thus, it is imperative to understand the specific factors present at the time of death that may increase uncertainty and the chance that medical providers will inaccurately report intellectual disability as the underlying cause of death.

This brief summarizes the findings from our recently published peer-reviewed study that examined whether uncertainty surrounding deaths among adults with intellectual disability is associated with the inaccurate reporting of intellectual disability as the underlying cause of death on death certificates. It used death certificate data for adults with intellectual disability between 2005 and 2017.

KEY FINDINGS

- Causes of death are often inaccurately reported on death certificates for individuals with intellectual disability.
- Inaccurate reporting occurs most often when death certifiers are uncertain about the cause of death.
- For example, inaccurate reporting of intellectual disability as the underlying cause of death was 14.7 times more likely if choking was also listed on the death certificate.
- More certain causes of death, such as cancer and Alzheimer’s/dementia, resulted in more accurate cause of death reporting.
- Younger males, Hispanics, adults with 0-8 years of schooling, and those who had their disability listed as severe/profound were most likely to have their cause of death inaccurately reported.
Inaccurate Reporting is Not Universal
Overall, 21.1% of decedents with intellectual disability had their disability inaccurately reported as the underlying cause of death. However, not all demographic groups were equally likely to have their underlying causes of death inaccurately reported. Intellectual disability was most commonly reported as the underlying cause of death for those who had their disability listed as severe/profound, died at younger ages, were male, were Hispanic, and had lower levels of education. These factors indicate a potentially lower frequency or quality of lifetime physician-patient interaction prior to death.

More Uncertainty Leads to Less Accuracy
Intellectual disability was more likely to be inaccurately reported in instances when choking or a respiratory disease, such as pneumonitis or influenza/pneumonia, was reported on the death certificate (see Figure 1). Most notably, the odds of having the underlying cause of death inaccurately reported was 14.7 times higher if choking, an external cause of death often surrounded by a high level of uncertainty, was listed on the death certificate. In comparison, when a clearly specified internal cause of death, such as malignant neoplasms (cancer) or Alzheimer’s disease or dementia, was listed on the death certificate, the odds of inaccurate reporting were much lower. In fact, those with intellectual disability who had a cancer diagnosis on their death certificate had 83% lower odds than those who did not to have their disability inaccurately reported as their underlying cause of death.

Figure 1. The Odds of Having Intellectual Disability Reported as the Underlying Cause of Death are Highest for Those Who Die from Choking
Notes: The x-axis is represented in a logarithmic scale. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Estimates to the right of the solid black line indicate significantly greater odds of having intellectual disability inaccurately reported on the death certificate for decedents who had the comorbidity listed on the death certificate compared to people who did not. Estimates to the left of the solid black indicate significantly lower odds of having intellectual disability inaccurately reported on the death certificate for decedents who had the comorbidity listed on the death certificate compared to people who did not.

Recommendations for Reporting
Our findings suggest that increased uncertainty surrounding deaths increases the chance that intellectual disability is inaccurately reported as the underlying cause of death. Because efforts to reduce premature mortality among adults with intellectual disability are highly dependent on death certificate data, it is imperative that those certifying the death
certificate, even in instances of increased uncertainty, accurately identify the actual disease or injury that caused the death. If a valid underlying cause of death cannot be identified, it is not acceptable and is in fact detrimental, to report intellectual disability as the underlying cause of death. Instead, the CDC states that in instances when the underlying cause of death cannot be identified, it can be reported as ‘unspecified natural causes’. In addition, a critical final step for the death certifier is to report the intellectual disability in Part II of the death certificate as a condition that was present at the time of death. This will ensure the ability to monitor mortality trends for people with intellectual disability.

Data and Methods
This study used U.S. National Vital Statistics System restricted-use 2005-2017 Multiple Cause of Death files. It included the death certificates of adults, ages 18 and over, who had an ICD-10 code for intellectual disability (F70-79) reported as the underlying or a multiple cause of death, and who died in their state of residence between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2017 (N=26,555). Full methodological details can be found in the published paper.
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