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ON KHOVANOV-SEIDEL QUIVER ALGEBRAS AND BORDERED
FLOER HOMOLOGY

DENIS AUROUX, J. ELISENDA GRIGSBY, AND STEPHAN M. WEHRLI

Abstract. We discuss a relationship between Khovanov- and Heegaard Floer-type homo-
logy theories for braids. Explicitly, we define a filtration on the bordered Heegaard-
Floer homology bimodule associated to the double-branched cover of a braid and show
that its associated graded bimodule is equivalent to a similar bimodule defined by Kho-
vanov and Seidel.

1. Introduction

The low-dimensional topology community has been energized in recent years by the in-
troduction of a wealth of so-called homology-type invariants. These invariants are defined by
associating to a topological object (for example, a link or a 3–manifold) an abstract chain
complex whose quasi-isomorphism class–hence, homology–is an invariant of the object.

One obtains such invariants from two apparently unrelated points of view:

(1) algebraically, via the higher representation theory of quantum groups, and
(2) geometrically/analytically, via symplectic geometry and gauge theory.

Although the invariants themselves share a number of formal properties, finding explicit
connections between the two viewpoints has proven challenging.

A striking success in this direction is a result of Ozsváth and Szabó relating the
$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ versions of Khovanov homology and Heegaard Floer homology:

Theorem 1.1. [31] Let $L \subset S^3$ be a link and $\overline{L} \subset S^3$ denote its mirror. There exists a
spectral sequence whose $E^2$ term is $\tilde{Kh}(\overline{L})$, the reduced Khovanov homology of the mirror of
$L$, and whose $E^\infty$ term is $\hat{HF}(\Sigma(L))$, the Heegaard-Floer homology of the double-branched
cover of $L$.

This result has generated applications in a number of directions (see, e.g., [32], [31], [7]).
It also served as inspiration for Kronheimer and Mrowka’s construction of an analogous
spectral sequence from Khovanov homology to a version of instanton knot homology, yielding
a proof that Khovanov homology detects the unknot [25].

The aim of the present paper is to move toward a more “atomic” understanding of
the Ozsváth-Szabó spectral sequence and its sutured generalizations ([33] [34] [11] [14]). In
particular, viewing a link in $S^3$ as the closure of a braid, we can ask whether there are appro-
priate Khovanov-type (algebraic) and Heegaard-Floer-type (geometric/analytic) invariants
associated to braids such that the Ozsváth-Szabó spectral sequence emerges as an algebraic
consequence of a relationship between these invariants.
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Such a description would not only be of theoretical interest. Ozsváth-Szabó’s original description of the above spectral sequence involves holomorphic polygon counts in Heegaard multi-diagrams. Since these counts are tricky to carry out in practice, finding ways to perform them combinatorially should prove valuable, especially in light of subsequent work of Baldwin [6] (see also L. Roberts [34]) proving that the terms of the Ozsváth-Szabó spectral sequence are themselves link invariants.

We should at this point remark that recent work of Lipshitz-Ozsváth-Thurston, in [30] and its sequel, does precisely this. In addition, Szabó [40] has constructed a combinatorial filtration on the Khovanov cube of resolutions associated to a link diagram that he conjectures yields the original Ozsváth-Szabó spectral sequence.

In the present paper, we address a slightly different question from a substantially different direction. First, we focus not on the original Ozsváth-Szabó spectral sequence but rather on (a direct summand of) one of its sutured generalizations [33, 11]. Second, we take as our starting point a paper of Khovanov-Seidel [21], which explores a concrete instance of Kontsevich’s homological mirror symmetry conjecture [24]. The constructions found there, when combined with work of the first author [3], lead naturally to a new view on the filtered complexes appearing in [33, 11].

Explicitly, given a braid $\sigma \subset D^2 \times I$, we consider the closure of the braid, not in the three-ball but in the solid torus (viewed as a product sutured annulus, $A \times I$). Associated to the resulting annular link are Khovanov-type and Heegaard-Floer-type invariants connected by a sutured spectral sequence [11] [33, 11] that splits along an extra grading measuring “wrapping” around the $S^1$ factor. In [4], building on work in [28], we obtain a similar spectral sequence in the “next-to-top” graded piece as the Hochschild homology of a filtered $A_\infty$ bimodule associated to the original braid, $\sigma$.

The purpose of the present paper is to give an explicit combinatorial construction of this filtered $A_\infty$ bimodule. Informally, the resulting spectral sequence interpolates between the “open” Khovanov- and Heegaard-Floer-type invariants of a braid $\sigma \subset D^2 \times I$ just as the sutured spectral sequence interpolates between the analogous “closed” invariants of its closure, $\hat{\sigma} \subset A \times I$.

More precisely:

1. On the algebraic side, we show how to use ideas of Khovanov-Seidel in [21] to construct an $A_\infty$ bimodule, $M^{Kh}_\sigma$, via Yoneda imbedding of a distinguished collection of objects in the derived category of a quiver algebra.

2. On the geometric/analytic side, we use the bordered Floer homology package of Lipshitz-Ozsváth-Thurston in [27, 28] to construct an $A_\infty$ bimodule, $M^{HF}_\sigma$, the 1-strand CFDA bimodule associated to the mapping class $\hat{\sigma}$ obtained as the double-branched cover of $\sigma \subset D^2 \times I$.

Letting $1$ denote the identity braid of the same index as $\sigma$, we prove:

**Theorem 6.1** There exists a filtration on $M^{HF}_\sigma$ whose associated graded bimodule is quasi-isomorphic, as an ungraded $A_\infty$ bimodule over $\{\text{gr}(M^{HF}_1) = M^{Kh}_1\}$, to $M^{Kh}_\sigma$.

In particular, for each braid there exists a spectral sequence connecting the Khovanov-Seidel (algebraic) bimodule to the Lipshitz-Ozsváth-Thurston (geometric/analytic) one. Moreover, these “open” spectral sequences can be defined without reference to holomorphic curves. In fact, our construction is based on a remarkably simple toy model (Lemma
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1This extra grading has a natural interpretation on the Khovanov side in terms of $U_q(sl_2)$ weight space decompositions and on the Heegaard-Floer side in terms of relative Spin$^c$ structures. See [12] for more details.
5.3): a filtered complex interpolating between the cohomology of $S^1$ and the cohomology of $S^0$ (both over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$) coming from a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$–equivariant cochain complex for $S^1$. This toy model was, in turn, inspired by work of Seidel and Smith [38].

It is worth noting that the quiver algebras of Khovanov-Seidel are a special case (for $k = 1$) of certain algebras $A^{k,n-k}$ introduced by Chen-Khovanov [10] and independently by Stroppel [39]. We conjecture that Theorem 6.1 admits a generalization which, for every $n$-strand braid $\sigma$, provides a relationship between the $k$-strand part of the Lipshitz-Ozsváth-Thurston bimodule associated to $\hat{\sigma}$ and a Khovanov-type bimodule defined over the Ext-algebra of the direct sum of all standard $A^{k,n-k}$-modules.

The paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2 we establish notation and collect a number of useful definitions and elementary algebraic results.

In Section 3, we describe the topological input needed for the algebraic constructions in the remainder of the paper. After reviewing the key points in [21], we proceed to the construction and description of

- an algebra, $B^{Kh}$, associated to a marked disk $D_m$ equipped with a specific basis of curves and
- a module, $M^{Kh}_\sigma$, associated to each braid $\sigma$, decomposed as a product of elementary Artin generators.

We conclude the section with a brief geometric interpretation of the Khovanov-Seidel algebra and bimodules in terms of the Fukaya category of a particular Lefschetz fibration.

In Section 4, we turn to the construction and description of the analogous bordered Floer algebra $B^{HF}$ and bimodules $M^{HF}_\sigma$, using the same topological input.

In Section 5, we describe a natural filtration on $B^{HF}$ whose associated graded algebra is isomorphic to $B^{Kh}$. Our construction is based on a simple “toy model” (Lemma 5.3).

In Section 6, we describe a filtration on $M^{HF}_\sigma$ whose associated graded homology bimodule is quasi-isomorphic to $M^{Kh}_\sigma$. We proceed by choosing a decomposition

$$\sigma = \sigma_{k_1}^+ \cdots \sigma_{k_n}^+$$

of $\sigma$ as a product of elementary Artin generators, explicitly constructing a filtration on $M^{HF}_{\sigma_{k_1}^+}$ for each elementary generator, then realizing $M^{HF}_\sigma$ as the (filtered) $A_\infty$ tensor product of the elementary bimodules $M^{HF}_{\sigma_{k_1}^+}, \ldots, M^{HF}_{\sigma_{k_n}^+}$.

1.1. Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Tony Licata, Robert Lipshitz, Peter Ozsváth, Catharina Stroppel, and Dylan Thurston for a great number of interesting conversations, and to the MSRI semester-long program on Homology Theories of Knots and Links for making these conversations possible. We would also like to thank Joshua Sussan for bringing to our attention that some of the algebraic results of Section 3 (in particular, Lemma 3.12) were independently obtained by Angela Klamt and Catharina Stroppel in [22] and [23].

2. Algebraic preliminaries

In this section, we establish some basic facts about filtered $A_\infty$ algebras and modules. We assume throughout that we are working over the field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. In addition, many of the spaces we discuss will be graded either by $\mathbb{Z}$, in which case we say it is graded, or by $\mathbb{Z}^2$, in which case we say it is bigraded.
Notation 2.1. If $V$ is a bigraded vector space, i.e.

$$V = \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(i,j)},$$

and $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $V[k_1]\{k_2\}$ will denote the vector space whose bigrading has been shifted by $(k_1,k_2)$. Explicitly,

$$(V[k_1]\{k_2\})_{(i,j)} \cong V_{(i-k_1,j-k_2)}.$$

First, we recall (see [17, 18] for more details):

Definition 2.2. An $A_\infty$ algebra, $A$, over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is a graded $\mathbb{F}$–vector space endowed with grading-preserving linear maps $m_n : A^\otimes n \to A[2 - n]$, defined for $n \geq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfying:

$$\sum_{i+j+\ell = n} m_{i+1+\ell} \circ (\text{Id}^\otimes i \otimes m_j \otimes \text{Id}^\otimes \ell) = 0.$$

If $A$ is ungraded but otherwise satisfies all of the conditions above, we call $A$ an ungraded $A_\infty$ algebra.

A graded (resp., ungraded) $A_\infty$ algebra satisfying $m_n = 0$ for all $n > 2$ is a differential graded algebra (dga) (resp., a differential algebra) with differential $\partial := m_1$ and multiplication $m_2$.

Definition 2.3. Let $A, B$ be two $A_\infty$ algebras. Then an $A_\infty$ morphism $f : A \to B$ is a family $f_n : A^\otimes n \to B[1 - n]$ of $\mathbb{F}$–multilinear maps for $n \geq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, homogeneous of degree 0, respecting the $A_\infty$ relations in the following sense:

$$\sum_{i+j+\ell = n} f_{i+1+\ell} \circ (\text{Id}^\otimes i \otimes m_j \otimes \text{Id}^\otimes \ell) = \sum_{i_1+\ldots+i_s = n} m_s \circ (f_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes f_{i_s}).$$

If $f_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$, then we say that $f = f_1 : A \to B$ is a strict morphism of $A_\infty$ algebras. In particular, a strict morphism $f : A \to B$ of differential (graded) algebras is a chain map intertwining the multiplication, $m_2$.

Definition 2.4. An $A_\infty$ morphism $f$ is said to be a quasi-isomorphism if $f_1$ induces an isomorphism on homology.

The homology of an $A_\infty$ algebra is itself an $A_\infty$ algebra. The following proposition explains how to understand this $A_\infty$ structure.

Proposition 2.5. ([16], cf. [18 Thm. 2.3]) Let $A$ be an $A_\infty$ algebra with multiplication maps $m_n^A : A^\otimes n \to A[2 - n]$.

Then $H_\ast(A)$ admits an $A_\infty$ algebra structure such that

1. $m_1 = 0$ and $m_2$ is induced from $m_2^A$.
2. there is an $A_\infty$ quasi-isomorphism $\mathbb{F} \to H_\ast(A)$ inducing the identity in homology.

Moreover, this structure is unique up to (non unique) $A_\infty$ isomorphism, and can be described explicitly as follows.

Choose chain maps $p : A \to H_\ast(A)$, $\iota : H_\ast(A) \to A$, and a homotopy $h : A \to A[1]$ satisfying

1. $\iota p = \text{Id}$, $\iota p = \text{Id} + m_1^A h + hm_1^A$, $h^2 = 0$. 

Then the $n$th $A_\infty$ multiplication

\[ m_n : (H_*(A))^\otimes n \rightarrow H_*(A)[2-n] \]

is given by

\[ m_n := \sum_T m_n^T \]

where the sum ranges over all planar rooted trees $T$ with $n$ leaves and $m_n^T$ is defined by applying the $T$-shaped diagram with

1. leaves labeled with $\iota$,
2. interior edges labeled with $h$,
3. vertices labeled with the multiplication maps $m_i$ in the algebra $A$, and
4. root labeled with $p$

to an element of $(H_*(A))^\otimes n$.

See Figure 1 for an enumeration of all such rooted trees $T$ specifying the multiplication $m_n$ when $n = 4$.

**Definition 2.6.** A minimal model of an $A_\infty$ algebra $A$ is an $A_\infty$ algebra $H_*(A)$ endowed with the structure provided by Proposition 2.5. An $A_\infty$ algebra is said to be formal if a minimal model can be chosen so that $m_n = 0$ for all $n > 2$.

Henceforth, whenever we refer to the minimal model, $H_*(A)$, for $A$ an $A_\infty$ algebra, we shall always assume it has been endowed with the structure provided by Proposition 2.5 for suitable maps $\iota, \rho, h$.

**Remark 2.7.** The “$A_\infty$ Transfer Theorem” [8, Thm. 2.1] gives an explicit recursive construction of (homotopy inverse) $A_\infty$ quasi-isomorphisms $A \leftrightarrow H_*(A)$. In particular, $\iota$ and $\rho$ admit extensions to $A_\infty$ morphisms $\iota'$ and $\rho'$; hence, $\iota' : H_*(A) \rightarrow A$ and $\rho' : A \rightarrow H_*(A)$ give $A_\infty$ quasi-isomorphisms between $A$ and its minimal model, $H_*(A)$.

**Definition 2.8.** A strict unit for an $A_\infty$ algebra $A$ is an element $1$ in the 0-th graded component of $A$ satisfying

- $m_2(a \otimes 1) = m_2(1 \otimes a) = a$ for all $a \in A$, and
- $m_n(a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes 1 \otimes \ldots a_{n-1}) = 0$ for all $n \neq 2$ and all $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in A$.

A homological unit for $A$ is a strict unit for $H_*(A)$. An $A_\infty$ algebra $A$ is called strictly unital (resp., homologically unital) if it contains a strict (resp., homological) unit.

We also discuss $A_\infty$ modules over $A_\infty$ algebras.

**Definition 2.9.** An $A$-$B$ $A_\infty$ bimodule, $M$, over homologically unital $A_\infty$ algebras $A$ and $B$, is a graded vector space over $F$ endowed with grading-preserving linear maps

\[ m_{(n_1|n_2)} : A^{\otimes n_1} \otimes M \otimes B^{\otimes n_2} \rightarrow M[2 - (n_1 + 1 + n_2)], \]

defined for $n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfying:

\[ \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < n_1, \atop 1 \leq j_1 \leq n_2, \atop 1 \leq i_1 + j_1 \leq n_1} m_{(n_1-j_1+1|n_2)} \circ (\mathrm{Id}^{\otimes i_1} \otimes m_{j_1} \otimes \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes n_1-(i_1+j_1)+1+n_2}) + \]

\[ \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq n_1, \atop 0 \leq i_2 \leq n_2, \atop 1 \leq i_1+i_2 < n_1+n_2} m_{(i_1|1-i_2)} \circ (\mathrm{Id}^{\otimes i_1} \otimes m_{(n_1-i_1|1-n_2-i_2)} \otimes \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes i_2}) + \]

...
Figure 1. The full collection of rooted trees with 4 inputs specifying the multiplication $m_4$ described by Proposition 2.5.

$$
\sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_1} m_{(n_1|1)n_2-j_2+1} \circ \left( \text{Id}^{\otimes n_1+1+n_2-(i_2+j_2)} \otimes m_{j_2} \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes i_2} \right)
$$

and such that the induced actions

$$H_* (A) \otimes H_* (M) \to H_* (M), \quad H_* (M) \otimes H_* (B) \to H_* (M)$$

are unital.

By an $A_\infty$ module over $A$ we shall always mean an $A$-$A$ $A_\infty$ bimodule.

A module $M$ endowed only with a left $A_\infty$ action:

$$m_{(n_1|1)n_2} : A \otimes n_1 \otimes M \to M[2 - (n_1 + 1)]$$

will be called a left $A_\infty$ module over $A$, and a module $M$ endowed only with a right $A_\infty$ action:

$$m_{(0|1)n_2} : M \otimes B \otimes n_2 \to M$$

will be called a right $A_\infty$ module over $B$.

By an $A_\infty$ module over $A$ we shall always mean an $A_\infty$ left, right, or bi- module over $A$, as appropriate from the context.

If $M$ is an ungraded module over ungraded $A_\infty$ algebras $A$ and/or $B$ but otherwise satisfies all of the conditions above, we call $M$ an ungraded $A_\infty$ module.

A graded (resp., ungraded) $A_\infty$ module that satisfies $m_{(n_1|1)n_2} = 0$ whenever $n_1 + 1 + n_2 > 2$ is a differential graded module (resp., a differential module) with differential $\partial := m_{(0|1|1]}$ and left (resp., right) multiplication $m_{(1|1|0)}$ (resp., $m_{(0|1|1]}$).

Remark 2.10. The definitions of morphism and quasi-isomorphism are analogous for $A_\infty$ modules over $A_\infty$ algebras. In particular, a morphism $f : M \to N$ between $A$-$B$ $A_\infty$ bimodules $M, N$ is a collection of maps

$$f_{(n_1|1)n_2} : A^{\otimes n_1} \otimes M \otimes B^{\otimes n_2} \to N$$

for all $n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the appropriate analogues of the $A_\infty$ relations for morphisms described in Definition 2.3.

We will often refer to the map $f_{(n_1|1)n_2}$ associated to the $A_\infty$ morphism $f$ as the “$(n_1|1)n_2$ term of $f$.” In addition, we will use the terminology “$(n_1|1)n_2$ $A_\infty$ relation.”
to refer to the $A_\infty$ relation corresponding to $n_1$ left inputs and $n_2$ right inputs. For example, the $(1|1|0)$ $A_\infty$ relation for a morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ is given by:

$$f_{(1|1|0)}(m_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes m_{(0|1|0)}) + f_{(0|1|0)}m_{(1|1|0)} = m_{(1|1|0)}(1 \otimes f_{(0|1|0)}) + m_{(0|1|0)}f_{(1|1|0)}.$$ 

In addition, the induced $A_\infty$ structure on $H_*(M)$ is defined exactly as described in Proposition 2.5 where the leaves and root of each rooted tree have been labeled with $H_*(M)$ or $H_*(B)$ rather than $H_*(A)$, as appropriate. As before, whenever we write $H_*(M)$, for $M$ an $A_\infty$ module, we shall always assume it has been endowed with the $A_\infty$ structure provided by Proposition 2.5 (for some admissible choice of maps $t, p, h$).

**Definition 2.11.** Let $A$ be a homologically unital $A_\infty$–algebra. The derived category $D_\infty(A)$ is the category with objects $A_\infty$–modules (left, right, or bi–, depending on the context) and morphisms $A_\infty$–homotopy classes of $A_\infty$–morphisms.

**Remark 2.12.** Since every $A_\infty$ quasi-isomorphism has a homotopy inverse (see [9] Lemma 10.12.2.2), passing to the derived category has the effect of making $A_\infty$ quasi-isomorphisms invertible.

**Definition 2.13.** Let $A$ be an $A_\infty$ algebra, $M$ a right $A_\infty$ module over $A$ and $N$ a left $A_\infty$ module over $A$. Then their $A_\infty$ tensor product is the complex

$$M \bar{\otimes}_A N := \bigoplus_{i=0}^n M \otimes A^\otimes_n \otimes N$$

with differential given by

$$\partial(x \otimes a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \otimes y) :=$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n m_{(0|1|0)}(x \otimes a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_i) \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \otimes y$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-i+1} x \otimes a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes m_i(a_{\ell} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{\ell+i-1}) \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \otimes y$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^n x \otimes a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes m_{(i|1|0)}(a_{n-i+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \otimes y).$$

**Definition 2.14.** Two $A_\infty$–algebras $A$ and $B$ are said to be derived equivalent if there exists a $B$–$A$ bimodule $X$ and an $A$–$B$ bimodule $Y$ such that

$$X \bar{\otimes}_A (-) \bar{\otimes}_B Y : D_\infty(A) \rightarrow D_\infty(B)$$

is an equivalence of categories.

**Definition 2.15.** A (graded or ungraded) filtered $A_\infty$ algebra $A$ is a (graded or ungraded) $A_\infty$ algebra equipped with a sequence of subsets, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$0 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq F_i \subseteq F_{i+1} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A$$

that are compatible with the $A_\infty$ structure in the following sense:

$$m_n(F_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes F_{i_n}) \subseteq F_{i_1+\ldots+i_n}.$$ 

If $m_n = 0$ for all $n > 2$, $A$ is a (graded or ungraded) filtered differential algebra. (Graded or ungraded) filtered $A_\infty$ modules and filtered differential modules are defined analogously.
Note that the compatibility of the filtration with the multiplicative structure ensures that if $A$ is a filtered $A_\infty$ algebra, the associated graded algebra $\bigoplus_i F_i/F_{i-1}$ is a well-defined (graded or ungraded) $A_\infty$ algebra, and if $M$ is a filtered $A_\infty$ module over a filtered $A_\infty$ algebra $A$, then the associated graded module $\bigoplus_i F_i/F_{i-1}$ is a well-defined $A_\infty$ module over the associated graded algebra of $A$.

**Definition 2.16.** A filtered $A_\infty$ algebra $A$ (resp., module $M$) is said to be *bounded* if there exist $n, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0 = F_n$ and $A = F_N(A)$ (resp., $M = F_N(M)$).

**Notation 2.17.** If $M$ is a filtered $A_\infty$ module and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $M\{k\}$ will denote the filtered $A_\infty$ module whose filtration has been shifted by $k$. Explicitly,

$$F_n(M\{k\}) := F_{n-k}(M).$$

A filtration on an $A_\infty$ algebra (resp., module) induces a spectral sequence in the standard way, and if the filtered complex is bounded this spectral sequence converges in a finite number of steps. Furthermore, each page of the corresponding spectral sequence has the structure of an $A_\infty$ algebra (resp., module), by Proposition 2.5. We will call the homology of the associated graded complex, $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i/F_{i-1}$, the *associated graded homology algebra* (resp., the *associated graded homology module*) and the homology of the total complex (i.e., the $E^\infty$ page of this spectral sequence) the *total homology algebra* (resp., the *total homology module*).

If $M$ is a filtered left $A_\infty$ $A$-module, and $N$ is a filtered right $A_\infty$ $B$-bimodule, then $M \otimes N$ inherits a filtration (and, hence, the structure of a filtered $A_\infty$ $A$-$B$ bimodule in the sense of Definition 2.15) via: $a \otimes b \in F_{m+n}(M \otimes N)$ if $a \in F_m(M)$ and $b \in F_n(N)$.

Similarly, the $A_\infty$ tensor product of filtered $A_\infty$ bimodules naturally inherits the structure of a filtered $A_\infty$ bimodule:

**Lemma 2.18.** Let $M, N$ be two filtered $A_\infty$ bimodules over a filtered $A_\infty$ algebra $A$. Then the $A_\infty$ tensor product, with underlying vector space:

$$M \otimes N := \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty M \otimes A^n \otimes N$$

inherits the structure of a filtered $A_\infty$ bimodule as follows:

$$F_\ell(M \otimes N) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty \bigoplus_{i+j_1+\ldots+j_n+k=\ell} F_i(M) \otimes F_{j_1}(A) \otimes \ldots \otimes F_{j_n}(A) \otimes F_k(N).$$

**Proof.** Since $M, N$ are filtered $A_\infty$ bimodules, the multiplications

$$m_{(0)|i} : M \otimes A_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes A_i \rightarrow M$$
$$m_{(i)|0} : A_{n-i+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes A_n \otimes N \rightarrow N$$
$$m_i : A_{\ell} \otimes \ldots \otimes A_{\ell+i-1} \rightarrow A$$

contributing to the differential on the complex all respect the filtration in the sense of Definition 2.15. The same is true of the higher multiplications on the complex, for the same reason.

**Definition 2.19.** An $A_\infty$ morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ between two filtered $A_\infty$ modules is said to be *filtered* if

$$f_{(n_1)|n_2} : (F_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes F_{i_{n_1+n_2+1}}) \subseteq F_{i_1+\ldots+i_{n_1+n_2+1}}.$$
Definition 2.20. Let $A$ be a filtered $A_\infty$ algebra, and $f : M \to N$ a filtered $A_\infty$ morphism between filtered $A$–modules $M$ and $N$. Let $m^M_{(n_1|n_2)}$ (resp., $m^N_{(n_1|n_2)}$) denote the $A_\infty$ multiplication maps for $M$ (resp., for $N$).

Then the mapping cone of $f$, denoted $MC(f)$, is the filtered $A_\infty$ $A$–module with underlying $F$–vector space $M \oplus (N[1])$, $A_\infty$ multiplication maps:

$$m_{(n_1|n_2)} := \begin{pmatrix} m^M_{(n_1|n_2)} & 0 \\ f_{(n_1|n_2)} & m^N_{(n_1|n_2)} \end{pmatrix}.$$ and filtration given by:

$$F_n(MC(f)) := \{(a, b) \in MC(f) \mid a \in F_n(M) \text{ and } b \in F_n(N)\}.$$

The following lemma will be useful in the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Lemma 2.21. Let $M \tilde{\otimes} N$ be the filtered $A_\infty$ bimodule (over the filtered algebra $A$) obtained as the $A_\infty$ tensor product of the two filtered $A_\infty$ bimodules $M$ and $N$ as in Lemma 2.18. Let $	ext{gr}(\_)$ denote the associated graded $A_\infty$ module of $\_$. Then $\text{gr}(M) \tilde{\otimes} \text{gr}(A) \text{gr}(N) = \text{gr}(M \tilde{\otimes} A N)$ as $A_\infty$ bimodules over $\text{gr}(A)$.

Proof. We construct chain maps

$$\text{gr}(M) \tilde{\otimes} \text{gr}(A) \text{gr}(N) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{gr}(M \tilde{\otimes} A N)$$

$$\text{gr}(M) \tilde{\otimes} \text{gr}(A) \text{gr}(N) \xleftarrow{\Psi} \text{gr}(M \tilde{\otimes} A N)$$

and show that $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are mutually inverse.

Suppose $x \otimes a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \otimes y \in M \tilde{\otimes} A N$ represents an element

$$[x] \otimes [a_1] \otimes \ldots \otimes [a_n] \otimes [y] \in \frac{F_i}{F_{i-1}}(M) \otimes \frac{F_{j_1}}{F_{j_1-1}}(A) \otimes \ldots \otimes \frac{F_{j_n}}{F_{j_n-1}}(A) \otimes \frac{F_k}{F_{k-1}}(N)$$

$$\subseteq \text{gr}(M) \tilde{\otimes} \text{gr}(A) \text{gr}(N).$$

Letting $I := i + j_1 + \ldots + j_n + k$, then we define

$$\Phi([x] \otimes [a_1] \otimes \ldots \otimes [a_n] \otimes [y]) := [x \otimes a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \otimes y] \in \frac{F_I}{F_{I-1}}(M \tilde{\otimes} A N).$$

This map is well-defined, since any other representative, $x' \otimes a'_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a'_n \otimes y' \in M \tilde{\otimes} A N$, of $[x] \otimes [a_1] \otimes \ldots \otimes [a_n] \otimes [y]$ will differ from $x \otimes a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \otimes y$ by an element in $F_{I-1}$, by the definition of the filtration on $M \tilde{\otimes} A N$.

Similarly, we send an equivalence class $[x \otimes a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \otimes y] \in \text{gr}(M \tilde{\otimes} A N)$ to the uniquely-specified equivalence class

$$\Psi([x \otimes a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \otimes y]) := [x] \otimes [a_1] \otimes \ldots \otimes [a_n] \otimes [y] \in \text{gr}(M \tilde{\otimes} \text{gr}(A) \text{gr}(N)).$$

Furthermore, the differentials on $\text{gr}(M \tilde{\otimes} A N)$ and $\text{gr}(M) \tilde{\otimes} \text{gr}(A) \text{gr}(N)$ agree, by the same argument above applied to the image of the differential of a representative $x \otimes a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \otimes y \in M \tilde{\otimes} A N$. □
2.1. Formality and derived equivalence. The following results will be useful throughout the paper.

**Lemma 2.22.** Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a formal dg algebra and $H_*(\mathbf{A})$ its homology algebra. Then $D^\infty(\mathbf{A})$ and $D^\infty(H_*(\mathbf{A}))$ are equivalent triangulated categories.

**Proof.** Since $\mathbf{A}$ is formal, there is an $A^\infty$ quasi-isomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{A} \to H_*(\mathbf{A})$, and by Proposition 2.4.10 of [28], this $A^\infty$ quasi-isomorphism induces two mutually quasi-inverse functors $\text{Ind}_{\phi}: D^\infty(\mathbf{A}) \to D^\infty(H_*(\mathbf{A}))$ and $\text{Rest}_{\phi}: D^\infty(H_*(\mathbf{A})) \to D^\infty(\mathbf{A})$. (Note that although Proposition 2.4.10 of [28] is formulated for categories of $A^\infty$ right modules, similar statements also hold for categories of $A^\infty$ left modules and $A^\infty$ bimodules; see [28] for details). \(\square\)

**Remark 2.23.** Lemma 2.22 can also be obtained as a consequence of the following facts:

- If two dg algebras are related by an $A^\infty$ quasi-isomorphism, then there is also a zig-zag of honest quasi-isomorphisms connecting the two dg algebras (this follows from [26, Corollaire 1.3.1.3c]). In particular, a dg algebra is formal (in the sense of Definition 2.6) if and only if it is connected to its homology algebra by a zig-zag of honest quasi-isomorphisms.
- An honest quasi-isomorphism between two dg algebras induces an equivalence between the ordinary derived categories of the two dg algebras. Explicitly, this equivalence is given by scalar restriction and derived scalar extension along the given quasi-isomorphism (see [5, 3.6.2]).
- The ordinary derived category of a dg algebra is equivalent to the $A^\infty$ derived category of the given dg algebra (see [28, Proposition 2.4.1]).

The following lemmas provide sufficient (but not necessary) conditions for formality of an $A^\infty$ module.

**Lemma 2.24.** Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a differential (graded) algebra (resp., let $\mathbf{M}$ be a differential (graded) module over $\mathbf{A}$), and let $\iota, p, h$ be maps satisfying the conditions in Proposition 2.5. If, in addition,

1. $h\iota = 0$, and
2. $m^A_2(\iota \otimes \iota)(\mathbf{A} \otimes^2) \subseteq \iota(\mathbf{A})$ (resp., $m^M_{(n_1, n_2)}(\iota \otimes \iota)(\mathbf{A} \otimes^{n_1} \mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{A} \otimes^{n_2}) \subseteq \iota(\mathbf{M})$ whenever $n_1 + 1 + n_2 = 2$),

then $\mathbf{A}$ is formal (resp., $\mathbf{M}$ is formal).

Furthermore, $\iota: \mathbf{A} \to H_*(\mathbf{A})$ (resp., $\iota: \mathbf{M} \to H_*(\mathbf{M})$) is a strict $A^\infty$ quasi-isomorphism.

**Proof.** In the interest of brevity, we give the argument for the case of $\mathbf{A}$ a differential (graded) algebra, leaving the completely analogous proof in the case of $\mathbf{M}$ a differential (graded) module to the reader.

Each tree $T$ contributing to the definition of

$$m_n: (H_*(\mathbf{A})) \otimes^n \to H_*(\mathbf{A})$$

for $n > 2$ yields the 0 map, since each such tree $T$ involves a product of terms in $\mathbf{A}$, at least one of which is either:

- of the form $h \circ m^A_2 \circ (\iota \otimes \iota)$ (if $T$ is trivalent) or
- of the form $m^A_n(\iota \otimes \ldots \otimes \iota)$, for $n > 2$ (if $T$ is not trivalent).

In both cases, such a term is 0 in $\mathbf{A}$ by assumption, hence the corresponding map is 0, implying formality of $\mathbf{A}$. 

To see that \( \iota : \mathbf{A} \to H_*(\mathbf{A}) \) is a strict quasi-isomorphism, we note that by definition \( \iota \) is a chain map inducing an isomorphism on homology. We therefore need only show that there are no higher terms in the \( A_\infty \) morphism generated by \( \iota \).

The “\( A_\infty \) Transfer Theorem” ([8, Thm. 2.1]) tells us that \( \iota_n \) can be defined recursively as

\[
\iota_n := \sum_{t_1 + \ldots + t_r = n} h m_r^A (\iota_{t_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \iota_{t_r}).
\]

Assumptions (1) and (2), combined with the assumption that \( m_r^A = 0 \) for \( r > 2 \), now allow us to conclude inductively that \( \iota_n = 0 \) for \( n \geq 2 \), as desired.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 2.25.** Let \( \mathbf{M} \) be a differential (graded) module over an algebra \( \mathbf{A} \), and let \( \iota_\mathbf{M} : H_*(\mathbf{M}) \to \mathbf{M}, \ h_\mathbf{M} : \mathbf{M} \to H_*(\mathbf{M}), \ p_\mathbf{M} : \mathbf{M} \to H_*(\mathbf{M}) \)

satisfy the conditions in Proposition 2.5. Suppose in addition that \( \iota_\mathbf{M}, h_\mathbf{M}, p_\mathbf{M} \) satisfy the conditions in Proposition 2.5. Suppose in addition that

1. \( p_\mathbf{M} h_\mathbf{M} = 0 \), and
2. \( \text{Im}(h_\mathbf{M}) \) and \( \text{Im}(m_r^\mathbf{M}_{(0)(0)}) \) are both submodules of \( \mathbf{M} \) over \( \mathbf{A} \) (i.e., left or/and right multiplication by an element of \( \mathbf{A} \) preserves \( \text{Im}(h_\mathbf{M}) \) and \( \text{Im}(m_r^\mathbf{M}_{(0)(0)}) \)).

Then \( \mathbf{M} \) is formal, and the projection map \( p_\mathbf{M} : \mathbf{M} \to H_*(\mathbf{M}) \) is a strict quasi-isomorphism.

**Proof.** We give the proof in the case that \( \mathbf{M} \) is a differential (graded) bimodule over \( \mathbf{A} \). If Assumption (2) holds only under left (resp., right) multiplication, then \( p_\mathbf{M} \) will be a strict quasi-isomorphism of left (resp., right) \( \mathbf{A} \)-modules.

Since \( \mathbf{A} \) is an algebra, \( m_r^\mathbf{A} = 0 \) unless \( n = 2 \), and \( \mathbf{A} \) is trivially \( A_\infty \) isomorphic to its homology. Choosing \( \iota_\mathbf{A} : H_*(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathbf{A} \) and \( p_\mathbf{A} : \mathbf{A} \to H_*(\mathbf{A}) \) to be the identity morphism, and \( h_\mathbf{A} : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{A} \) to be the zero morphism, we now claim that any tree \( T \) contributing to the definition of

\[
m_{(n_1|n_2)} : \mathbf{A}^{\otimes n_1} \otimes (H_*(\mathbf{M})) \otimes \mathbf{A}^{\otimes n_2} \to H_*(\mathbf{M})
\]

is zero if \( n_1 + n_2 + 1 > 2 \). This follows because:

- If \( T \) is trivalent then it corresponds to a summand of the form \( p_\mathbf{M} \circ h_\mathbf{M} (m) \), since \( \text{Im}(h_\mathbf{M}) \) is an \( \mathbf{A} \)-bimodule. Such a term is zero by Assumption (1) above.
- If \( T \) is not trivalent then it involves a product with at least one term of the form:

\[
m_{(n_1|n_2)} (t \otimes \ldots \otimes t) \ (\text{resp., } m_n^\mathbf{A} (t \otimes \ldots \otimes t))
\]

for \( n_1 + n_2 + 1 > 2 \) (resp., \( n > 2 \)), which is zero since \( \mathbf{M} \) is a dg module (resp., since \( \mathbf{A} \) is an algebra).

Therefore, \( m_{(n_1|n_2)} = 0 \) for all \( n_1 + n_2 + 1 > 2 \), and \( \mathbf{M} \) is formal.

To see that \( p_\mathbf{M} \) is a strict quasi-isomorphism, we again appeal to the Transfer Theorem, [8, Thm. 2.1], which tells us that \( (p_\mathbf{M})_{(n_1|n_2)} \) is defined recursively as:

\[
(p_\mathbf{M})_{(n_1|n_2)} := \sum_{t + u_1 = n_1 \atop q + u_2 = n_2} P(t|u_1) (1^\otimes t \otimes m_{(u_1|u_2)} \otimes 1^\otimes q) h_{(n_1|n_2)}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{t_1 + 2t_2 = n_1 + 1 + n_2 \atop n_1 \geq t_1 + 2} P(n_1 - 1|n_2) (1^\otimes t_1 \otimes m_{2} \otimes 1^\otimes t_2) h_{(n_1|n_2 - 1)}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{t_1 + 2t_2 = n_1 + 1 + n_2 \atop n_2 \geq t_2 + 2} P(n_1|n_2 - 1) (1^\otimes t_1 \otimes m_{2} \otimes 1^\otimes t_2) h_{(n_1|n_2),}
\]
where
\[ h^{[n_1|1|n_2]} : A^{\otimes n_1} \otimes M \otimes A^{\otimes n_2} \to A^{\otimes n_1} \otimes M \otimes A^{\otimes n_2} \]
can also be defined recursively as:
\[ h^{[n_1|1|n_2]} := \sum_{i+1+j=n_1+1+n_2} 1^{\otimes i} \otimes h \otimes (\iota p)^{\otimes j} \]

Noting that \( h^{[n_1|1|n_2]} = 1^{\otimes n_1} \otimes h \otimes 1^{\otimes n_2} \) since we are using the identity \( A_\infty \)

isomorphisms \( A \leftrightarrow H^*(A) \), we see, using Assumptions (1) and (2), that both
\[(p_M)_{(1|1|0)} := p_{(0|1|0)} \circ m_{(1|1|0)} \circ (1 \otimes h) = 0 \]
and
\[(p_M)_{(0|1|1)} := p_{(0|1|0)} \circ m_{(0|1|1)} \circ (h \otimes 1) = 0. \]

Combined with the fact that \( m_M^A = 0 \) for all \( n \neq 2 \) and \( m_M^{(n_1|1|n_2)} = 0 \) for all \( n_1+1+n_2 > 2 \), \((p_M)_{(n_1|1|n_2)}\) is then identically 0 by induction for all \((n_1 + 1 + n_2) \geq 2\), as desired.

\[ \square \]

3. **Khovanov-Seidel Hom algebras and bimodules**

In this section, we construct dg bimodules following Khovanov-Seidel in [21]. We begin by describing the topological data needed for the construction of both the Khovanov-Seidel bimodules and their bordered Floer analogues (described in Section 4).

3.1. **Topological data: Bases of curves.** Let \( D_m \) denote the unit disk in the complex plane, equipped with a set,
\[ \Delta := \left\{ -1 + \frac{2(j+1)}{m+2} \in D_m \subset \mathbb{C} \mid j = 0, \ldots, m \right\}, \]
of \( m+1 \) points equally distributed along the intersection of the real axis with \( D_m \). Label by \( j \) the point at position \(-1 + \frac{2(j+1)}{m+2}\).

By a curve in \( D_m \) we shall always mean the image of a smooth imbedding \( \gamma : [0, 1] \to D_m \) which is transverse to \( \partial D_m \) and satisfies \( \gamma^{-1}(\partial D_m \cup \Delta) = \{0, 1\} \).

**Definition 3.1.** A \( \partial \)-admissible curve in \( D_m \) is a curve in \( D_m \) for which \( \gamma(0) = -1 \) and \( \gamma(1) \in \Delta \).

A \( \partial \)-admissible curve is a particular type of admissible curve in the sense of [21] Sec. 3b].

Two \( \partial \)-admissible curves \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are said to be isotopic if there is a homotopy between \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) through \( \partial \)-admissible curves.

**Notation 3.2.** Associated to any curve, \( c \subset D_m \), is a canonical section of the interior of \( c \) to the real projectivization of the tangent bundle of \( D_m \setminus \Delta \). By choosing a lift of this section to a particular \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) cover as described in [21] Sec. 3d], one assigns a bigrading to \( c \). We shall denote by \( \tilde{c} \) the data of a curve \( c \subset D_m \) equipped with such a choice of bigrading.

**Definition 3.3.** [21] Sec. 3a] Two curves \( c_0, c_1 \subset D_m \) are said to have **minimal geometric intersection** if they satisfy the following conditions:
- \( c_0 \) and \( c_1 \) intersect transversely,
- \( c_0 \cap c_1 \cap \partial D_m = \emptyset \), and
The ring $A_m$ and a braid group action on $D^b(A_m)$. In [21], Khovanov-Seidel associate to a braid, $\sigma \in B_{m+1}$, a bimodule over a quiver algebra, $A_m$ (defined below). In
this subsection, we explain how their construction yields a family of algebras and bimodules, one for each choice of basis. Our end goal is the construction of a particular algebra, $B^{Kh}$, and a bimodule, $M^{Kh}_\sigma$ over $B^{Kh}$, from the data of a particular such basis, $Q$.

We begin by reviewing the original construction of Khovanov-Seidel in [21]. Let $\Gamma_m$ be the oriented graph (quiver) whose vertices are labeled $0, \ldots, m$ and whose edges are shown in Figure 3. Recall that, given any oriented graph $\Gamma$, one defines its path ring as the vector space over $F$ freely generated by the set of all finite-length paths in $\Gamma$, where multiplication is given by concatenation, and the product of two non-composable paths is set to 0. The ring $A_m$ is then defined as a quotient of the path ring of $\Gamma_m$ by the collection of relations

$$(i - 1|i + 1) = (i + 1|i - 1) = 0, \quad (i|i + 1) = (i - 1|i), \quad (0|0) = 0$$

for each $0 \leq i \leq m$. In the above, following [21], we have labeled each path in $\Gamma_m$ by the complete ordered tuple of vertices it traverses. So, for instance, $(i - 1|i + 1)$ denotes the path that starts at vertex $i - 1$, moves right to $i$, then right again to $i + 1$. The path ring of $\Gamma_m$ is further endowed with a grading by setting $\deg(i) = \deg(i|i + 1) = 0$ and $\deg(i|i - 1) = 1$ for all $i$. This grading descends to the quotient, $A_m$, since the relations defining $A_m$ are homogeneous with respect to the grading $\frac{\partial}{\partial i}$.

Note that the collection $\{(i)|i \in 0, \ldots, m\}$ of constant paths are mutually orthogonal idempotents, and $\sum_{i=0}^m(i)$ is the identity in $A_m$. There are corresponding decompositions of $A_m$ as a direct sum of projective left modules $A_m = \bigoplus_{i=0}^m A_m(i)$ (resp., projective right-modules $A_m = \bigoplus_{i=0}^m(i)A_m$). As in [21], we denote $A_m(i)$ (resp., $(i)A_m$) by $P_i$ (resp., $iP$). Note that $P_i$ (resp., $iP$) is the set of all paths ending at $i$ (resp., beginning at $i$).

To streamline notation, we henceforth assume that we have fixed $m \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $A$ denote the algebra $A_m$.

Khovanov-Seidel go on to associate to each braid $\sigma \in B_{m+1}$ an element of $D^b(A)$, the bounded derived category of $A$–bimodules, by associating to each elementary Artin braid generator $\sigma_i^{\pm 1}$ (pictured in Figure 4) a dg bimodule $M^{\pm 1}_{\sigma_i}$ and to each braid, $\sigma := \sigma_{i_1}^{\pm} \cdots \sigma_{i_k}^{\pm}$, decomposed as a product of elementary braid words, the dg bimodule

$$M_\sigma = M_{\sigma_{i_1}} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A M_{\sigma_{i_k}}.$$

They then verify that any two decompositions of $\sigma$ as a product of elementary Artin braid generators give rise to quasi-isomorphic complexes, and hence $M_\sigma$ gives rise to a well-defined element in $D^b(A)$.

3.3. The dg algebra $B$ and the algebra $B^{Kh}$. Now, suppose we are given the data of a $\partial$–admissible bigraded curve in normal form. Khovanov-Seidel show, in [21] Sec. 4], how to use this data to construct a bounded complex of bigraded projective left modules over the

---

2This internal grading corresponds to the second of the two gradings discussed in Notation 3.2. Note that this grading is not the grading by path length which appears in [10, 39] and corresponds to the $j$ (quantum) grading of [19]. See Remark 3.21.
algebra $A$. Furthermore, a basis, $\tilde{B}$, of such curves yields a dga via Yoneda imbedding (cf. [18, Sec. 2.6]). Recall:

**Definition 3.6.** Let $(C_1, \partial_1), (C_2, \partial_2)$ be two bounded dg left modules over an algebra $A$. Then the *Hom complex of the pair* $(C_1, C_2)$, denoted $\text{Hom}_A(C_1, C_2)$, is the bounded complex whose generators are left module morphisms, $F : C_1 \to C_2$, and whose differential, $D$, is given by

$$D(F) := \partial_2 F + F \partial_1.$$

**Construction 3.7.** Let $\tilde{B} = \{\tilde{c}_0, \ldots, \tilde{c}_m\}$ be a basis, and let $L(\tilde{c}_j)$ be the bounded complex of projective $A$–modules associated to $\tilde{c}_j$, for each $j = 0, \ldots, m$. Then the direct sum,

$$\bigoplus_{i,j=0}^m \text{Hom}_A(L(\tilde{c}_i), L(\tilde{c}_j)),$$

is a dga, with multiplication given by composition of $A$–bimodule morphisms. We will refer to $\bigoplus_{i,j=0}^m \text{Hom}_A(L(\tilde{c}_i), L(\tilde{c}_j))$ as the Hom algebra associated to $\tilde{B}$.

We focus in the present paper on the Hom algebra associated to the basis $\tilde{Q} = \{\tilde{q}_0, \ldots, \tilde{q}_m\}$ given by (a particular lift of) the collection of curves pictured in Figure 5.

Applying the construction of [21, Sec. 4a], we associate to $\tilde{q}_j$ the dg bimodule:

$$Q_j := 0 \longrightarrow P_0 \overset{\cdot 0(1)}{\longrightarrow} P_1 \overset{\cdot 1(2)}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \overset{\cdot (j-1)j}{\longrightarrow} P_j \longrightarrow 0,$$

We expect that results similar to those described in Theorems 5.1 and 6.1 hold for other choices of basis, but we do not address that here.
where the differential map “· (i−1|j)” denotes “right multiplication by the element (i−1|j).”
By fixing a lift of the tangent vector to the curve q₀ at a point near 0 ∈ ∆ and declaring this
lift to correspond to bigrading (0, 0), we obtain a “canonical” bigrading on Q_j satisfying the
property that the bigrading of the idempotent (i) ∈ P_i is (i, 0).

**Notation 3.8.** We shall denote by B the Hom algebra associated to \( \tilde{Q} \):

\[
\bigoplus_{i,j=0}^m \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, Q_j)
\]

and by \( B^{Kh} \) its homology, \( H_*(B) \), considered as an \( A_\infty \) algebra via the construction in
Proposition 2.5.

We will eventually be interested in \( D_\infty(B^{Kh}) \) in particular, a braid group action on this
category—so we now devote some time to describing the structure of B and \( B^{Kh} \).

**Notation 3.9.** Let \( R_\mathcal{I} \) be a bounded complex of elementary projective left \( \mathcal{I} \)–modules (e.g.,
one obtained from an admissible curve in normal form in \( D_m \) as explained in [21, Sec. 4]):

\[
R_\mathcal{I} = 0 \to P_{s_0}\{s_0\} \to \ldots \to P_{s_N}\{s_N\} \to 0.
\]

Suppose further that \( P_{s_0}\{s_0\} \) is in \( (co)homological grading \) 0. Then we will use the
notation \( \mathcal{I} R \) to denote the following bounded complex of elementary projective right \( \mathcal{I} \)–modules:

\[
\mathcal{I} R := 0 \leftarrow i_0 P\{-s_0\}[0] \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow i_N P\{-s_N\}[-N] \leftarrow 0,
\]

where, if a map \( i_j \to i_{j+1} \) in \( R_\mathcal{I} \) is given by right multiplication by a path \( \gamma \in \mathcal{I} \), then
the corresponding map \( i_j P \leftarrow i_{j+1} P \) in \( \mathcal{I} R \) is given by left multiplication by \( \gamma \).

**Lemma 3.10.** Let \( R_\mathcal{I}, S_\mathcal{J} \) be bounded complexes of elementary projective left \( \mathcal{I} \)–modules as
above. Then \( \text{Hom}_A(R_\mathcal{I}, S_\mathcal{J}) \cong \mathcal{I} R \otimes_A S_\mathcal{J} \).

**Proof.** Each element \( \phi \in \text{Hom}_A(R_\mathcal{I}, S_\mathcal{J}) \) can be decomposed as a sum of left \( \mathcal{I} \)–module
maps \( \phi_{k,\ell} : P_{i_k}\{s_k\} \to P_{i_\ell}\{s_\ell\} \), each of which is uniquely determined by the image, \( \phi_{k,\ell}(i_k) \),
of the idempotent, \( (i_k) \). We therefore obtain an isomorphism

\[
\text{Hom}_A(R_\mathcal{I}, S_\mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{I} R \otimes_A S_\mathcal{J}
\]

of \( \mathcal{I} \)–vector spaces identifying \( \phi \) with the element, \( \sum_{k,\ell} ((i_k) \otimes \phi_{k,\ell}(i_k)) \).

To see that the Hom complex differential \( D(\phi) := \phi d_\mathcal{I} + d_\mathcal{J} \phi \) on the left matches the tensor
product differential on the right, we simply note that if \( \phi = \sum_{k,\ell} \phi_{k,\ell} \in \text{Hom}_A(R_\mathcal{I}, S_\mathcal{J}) \),
then for each pair, \( (k, \ell) \), \( \phi_{k,\ell} d_\mathcal{I} \) is obtained by pre- (i.e., left-) (resp., \( d_\mathcal{J} \phi \) is obtained by
post- (i.e., right-) multiplying \( \phi_{k,\ell} \) by a path \( \gamma_k \) (resp., \( \gamma_\ell \)). This is precisely the induced
differential on the tensor product complex \( \mathcal{I} R \otimes_A S_\mathcal{J} \).

**Lemma 3.11.** Let \( R_\mathcal{I}, S_\mathcal{J} \) be two bigraded bounded complexes of projective modules obtained
from admissible bigraded curves in normal form as explained in [21, Sec. 4]. Then the
differential on \( \text{Hom}_A(R_\mathcal{I}, S_\mathcal{J}) \) has degree \((1, 0)\).

**Proof.** By definition, the differential on each of \( R_\mathcal{I}, S_\mathcal{J} \) has degree \((1, 0)\), implying that the
differential on \( \mathcal{I} R \) and, hence, the differential on

\[
\text{Hom}_A(R_\mathcal{I}, S_\mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{I} R \otimes_A S_\mathcal{J}
\]

has degree \((1, 0)\) as well. \( \square \)
The following lemma was also obtained independently by Klamt and Stroppel. Compare [22 Thms. 5.7, 7.3] and [23 Thm. 5.7].

**Lemma 3.12.** The dg algebra \( B := \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^m \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, Q_j) \) is formal. Furthermore, the algebra
\[
B^{Kh} := H_*(B)
\]
has the following explicit description:
\[
\begin{align*}
B^{Kh} := & \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^m iB^{Kh}_j, \\
iB^{Kh}_j := & \begin{cases} \\
0 & \text{if } i < j, \\
\text{Span}_F(\mathbb{1}_j) & \text{if } i = j, \text{ and} \\
\text{Span}_F(\mathbb{1}_j, \mathbb{x}_j) & \text{if } i > j,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
where the bigradings on generators are given by:
\[
\text{gr}(\mathbb{1}_j) = (0, 0) \text{ for all } i \geq j, \\
\text{gr}(\mathbb{x}_j) = (-1, 1) \text{ for all } i > j.
\]
and the multiplication is given by:
\[
\begin{align*}
m_2(i\mathbb{1}_j \otimes j\mathbb{1}_k) := & \quad i\mathbb{1}_k \\
m_2(i\mathbb{1}_j \otimes j\mathbb{x}_k) := & \quad i\mathbb{x}_k \\
m_2(i\mathbb{x}_j \otimes j\mathbb{1}_k) := & \quad i\mathbb{x}_k \\
m_2(i\mathbb{x}_j \otimes j\mathbb{x}_k) := & \quad 0
\end{align*}
\]
(As usual, \( m_2 : iB^{Kh}_j \otimes kB^{Kh}_\ell \to iB^{Kh}_\ell \) is identically 0 when \( j \neq k \).)

**Proof.** We know from [21 Prop. 4.9] that as an \( F \)-vector space,
\[
iB^{Kh}_j \text{ is free of rank } \begin{cases} \\
0 & \text{when } i < j, \\
1 & \text{when } i = j, \text{ and} \\
2 & \text{when } i > j.
\end{cases}
\]
Furthermore, we claim that the generators of \( iB^{Kh}_j \) are represented by the morphisms
\[
\begin{cases} \\
(0) + \ldots + (j) & \text{when } i = j, \text{ and} \\
(0) + \ldots + (j) \text{ and } (1|0) + \ldots + (j+1|j) & \text{when } i > j.
\end{cases}
\]
To see this, let \( kP_\ell \) denote the module \( kP \otimes_A P_\ell \). Then the complex \( \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, Q_j) = iQ \otimes_A Q_j \) is given by:
\[
\begin{align*}
0P_0 & \longrightarrow 0P_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow 0P_j \\
1P_0 & \longrightarrow 1P_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow 1P_j \\
& \quad \vdots \\
iP_0 & \longrightarrow iP_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow iP_j
\end{align*}
\]
where the horizontal maps \( kP_\ell \to kP_{\ell+1} \) are given by right multiplication by \((\ell|\ell+1)\) and the vertical maps \( kP_\ell \to k_{-1}P_\ell \) are given by left multiplication by \((k-1|k)\). Note, furthermore, that, as an \( F \)-vector space:

\[
kP_\ell = \begin{cases} 
\text{Span}_F \langle (k), (k|k-1|k) \rangle & \text{if } k = \ell \neq 0 \\
\text{Span}_F \langle (k) \rangle & \text{if } k = \ell = 0 \\
\text{Span}_F \langle (k|k \pm 1) \rangle & \text{if } \ell = k \pm 1 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

In particular, the chain complex is supported in the three diagonals of the form \( kP_k, kP_{k-1}, \) and \( kP_{k+1} \).

By direct calculation one sees that when \( i < j \) the chain complex splits as the direct sum of the two acyclic subcomplexes:

![Diagram](image)

When \( i = j \), the chain complex splits in a similar fashion, but the first of the two complexes has homology generated by \((0) + \ldots + (j)\) and the second is acyclic:

![Diagram](image)

When \( i > j \), the chain complex again splits, but now both subcomplexes have non-trivial homology, the first generated by \((0) + \ldots + (j)\), and the second generated by \((1|0) + \ldots + \)
In all three cases, we denote the first subcomplex $\mathcal{C}_1$ and the second subcomplex $\mathcal{C}_\infty$.

We now note that, as described in Proposition 2.5, $B^{Kh} := H_*(B)$ inherits an $A_{\infty}$ structure from $B$. Accordingly, we view $B$ as an $A_{\infty}$-algebra with differential $m_1^B$, multiplication $m_2^B$, and $m_n^B := 0$ for all $n > 2$ and use Proposition 2.5 to give an explicit description of the $A_{\infty}$ structure on $B^{Kh} := H_*(B)$ from the data of $F$–linear maps $p : B \rightarrow H_*(B)$, $\iota : H_*(B) \rightarrow B$, and $h : B \rightarrow B$ satisfying

\[ pt = \text{Id}, \quad \iota p = \text{Id} + m_1^B h + hm_1^B, \quad h^2 = 0. \]

We will define $p : iB_j \rightarrow iB_j^{Kh}$, $\iota : iB_j^{Kh} \rightarrow iB_j$, $h : iB_j \rightarrow iB_j$ explicitly in the case $i > j$, leaving the completely analogous cases $i \leq j$ to the reader.

Begin by performing a change of basis on the two subcomplexes comprising $iB_j$, obtaining for the first subcomplex:

\[ (0) \rightarrow (0|1) \]
\[ (0) + (1) \rightarrow (1|2) \]
\[ \ldots \]
\[ (0) + \ldots + (j - 1) \rightarrow (j - 1|j) \]
\[ (0) + \ldots + (j) \]
and the second:

\[
(1|0) \rightarrow (1|0|1)
\]

\[
(1|0) + (2|1) \rightarrow (2|1|2)
\]

\[
\ldots
\]

\[
(1|0) + \ldots + (j|j-1) \rightarrow (j|j-1|j)
\]

\[
(1|0) + \ldots + (j+1|j)
\]

Now, define the projection map \( p \) on basis elements \( \phi \in \mathfrak{i}B_j \) above, extending \( F \)-linearly from the assignment:

\[
p(\phi) :=
\begin{cases}
  i \dd_{\phi} & \text{if } \phi = (0) + \ldots + (j), \\
  i \mathbf{x}_j & \text{if } \phi = (1|0) + \ldots + (j+1|j), \\
  0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

The homotopy map \( h \) is the \( F \)-linear extension of:

\[
h(\phi) := \begin{cases}
  (m^B_1)^{-1}(\phi) & \text{if } \phi \in \text{Im}(m^B_1) \\
  0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

where in the above, \((m^B_1)^{-1}(\phi)\) is defined to be the (unique) basis element \( \phi' \) satisfying \( \partial(\phi') = \phi \).

The inclusion map \( \iota \) is the \( F \)-linear extension of:

\[
\iota(\phi) := \begin{cases}
  (0) + \ldots + (j), \\
  (1|0) + \ldots + (j+1|j).
\end{cases}
\]

One easily checks that \( p, \iota, h \) are chain maps and that \( p, \iota, h \) satisfy:

\[
p \iota = \text{Id}, \quad \iota p = \text{Id} + \partial h + h \partial, \quad ph = hu = h^2 = 0.
\]

Furthermore, \( hu = 0 \) and \( m^B_2(\iota \otimes \iota) (B^{Kh})^{\otimes 2} \subseteq \iota (B^{Kh}) \). An application of Lemma 2.24 then implies that \( B \) is formal, as desired.

Verification that the bigradings and multiplication are as stated is a straightforward calculation. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.13.** The algebra \( B^{Kh} \) is isomorphic to the algebra of lower triangular \((m+1) \times (m+1)\) matrices over \( F[x]/(x^2) \) with only 0’s and 1’s on the main diagonal:

\[
B^{Kh} \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
  d_0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
  \phi_{1,0} & d_1 & \ddots & \vdots \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
  \phi_{m,0} & \ldots & \phi_{m,m-1} & d_m
\end{pmatrix} \mid d_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\} \subset M_{m+1}(F[x]/(x^2))
\]

We define an algebra isomorphism by sending the generator \( i \dd_j \in iB_j^{Kh} \) (resp., \( i \mathbf{x}_j \in B_j^{Kh} \)) to the \((m+1) \times (m+1)\) matrix whose only nonzero matrix entry is a 1 (resp., an \( x \)).
located in row number $i$ and column number $j$ (where we assume that rows and columns are numbered from 0 to $m$).

We close our discussion of $B^{Kh}$ with a technical lemma that will prove useful in our construction of the braid group action on $D_\infty(B^{Kh})$ (in particular, in the proof of Proposition 3.18).

**Lemma 3.14.** Let $\iota : B^{Kh} \to B$, $p : B \to B^{Kh}$, and $h : B \to B$ be the $F$-linear transformations defined in the proof of Lemma 3.12. The $A_\infty$ morphism of $B^{Kh}$-modules, $\iota_B : B^{Kh} \to B$, given by

$$
(i_B)_{(n_1|1)n_2} := \begin{cases} 
\iota & \text{if } n_1 = n_2 = 0, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Furthermore, there exists an $A_\infty$ quasi-isomorphism of $B^{Kh}$-modules, $p_B : B \to B^{Kh}$, whose first few terms are given by:

$$(p_B)_{(n_1|1)n_2} := \begin{cases} 
p & \text{if } n_1 = n_2 = 0, \\
0 & \text{if } n_1 = 1 \text{ and } n_2 = 0, \text{ and} 
\end{cases}$$

$(p_B)_{(0|1)1} : B \otimes B^{Kh} \to B^{Kh}$ is the bilinear map satisfying

$$(p_B)_{(0|1)1} (a \otimes b) :=
$$

- $\delta_B$ if $a = (\ell|\ell + 1) \in iB_j$ with $i < j$, $k \leq \ell \leq i$, and $b = j\delta_k \in jB^{Kh}_k$ with $j > k$,
- $\delta_x$ if $a = (\ell|\ell) \in iB_j$ with $i < j$, $k + 1 \leq \ell \leq i$, and $b = j\delta_k \in jB^{Kh}_k$ with $j > k$, $i > k$, and
- $\delta_x$ if $a = (\ell|1\ell) \in iB_j$ with $i \leq j$, $k + 1 \leq \ell \leq i$, and $b = j\delta_k \in jB^{Kh}_k$ with $j > k$, $i > k$,
- 0 for all other basis elements $a \in B$, $b \in B^{Kh}$ in the proof of Lemma 3.12.

**Proof.** Let $m_{(n_1|1)n_2}$ denote the structure maps for $B$ and $m^{Kh}_{(n_1|1)n_2}$ denote those (induced by Proposition 2.5) for $B^{Kh}$, both considered as $B^{Kh}$-bimodules.

Recall that the "Transfer Theorem" [8, Thm. 2.1] tells us how to extend $\iota, p$ to $A_\infty$ quasi-isomorphisms. Explicitly, one defines

$$(\iota_B)_{(0|1)0} := \iota, \quad (p_B)_{(0|1)0} := p$$

and constructs higher terms of $\iota_B, p_B$ satisfying the $A_\infty$ relations for morphisms. Since $\iota, p$ induce isomorphisms on homology, $\iota_B$ and $p_B$ will then yield $A_\infty$ quasi-isomorphisms $B \leftrightarrow B^{Kh}$.

We begin by calculating the higher terms of $\iota_B$. But here our work is already done, since $\iota, p$ and $h$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2.24 (see the proof of Lemma 3.12), hence $(\iota_B)_{(n_1|1)n_2} = 0$ for all $(n_1 + 1 + n_2) > 1$, as desired.

We now move to the calculation of the higher terms of $p_B$.

**Computation of $(p_B)_{(1|1)0}$:**

Here we note that $ph = 0$, and $\text{Im}(h)$ and $\text{Im}(m_B)$ are both left $B^{Kh}$-submodules, so an application of Lemma 2.25 implies that $p : B \to B^{Kh}$ is a left module map (and, hence, we can extend $p$ to a left $A_\infty$ morphism with no higher left $A_\infty$ terms). In particular, $(p_B)_{(1|1)0} = 0$, as desired.
Computation of \((p_B)_{(0|1|1)}\):

Unfortunately, \(\text{Im}(h)\) and \(\text{Im}(m^B)\) are not right \(B^{Kh}\) submodules, so we will have to work harder here. The Transfer Theorem \([8, \text{Thm. 2.1}]\), combined with remarks in the proof of Lemma 2.25, tells us that

\[
(p_B)_{(0|1|1)} := p \circ m_{(0|1|1)} \circ (h \otimes 1).
\]

We now claim that if \(i_a \in iB_j\) and \(j_b \in jB_k^{Kh}\), then \((p_B)_{(0|1|1)} (i_a \otimes j_b) = 0\) unless the triple \(i, j, k\) satisfies the property that \(i \leq j, j > k\), and \(i \geq k\). We can see this by a case-by-case analysis (see the table below, which describes \((p_B)_{(0|1|1)}\) in the various cases).

For example, if \(j < k\) (first column of table) then \(j_b = 0\), and if \(i < k\) (first entry in second column), then \(p_{(0|1|0)} := 0\). In both cases, we then have \((p_B)_{(0|1|1)} (i_a \otimes j_b) = 0\). On the other hand, when \(i > j \geq k\) or \(i = j = k\) (the remaining entries in the table except the top two in the third column), we notice that

\[
m_{(0|1|1)}(\text{Im}(h) \otimes j_b) \subseteq \text{Im}(h).
\]

Since \(ph = 0\), we have \((p_B)_{(0|1|1)} = 0\) in these cases as well.

We are therefore left to compute \((p_B)_{(0|1|1)}\) when \(i \leq j, j > k\), and \(i \geq k\) (the starred entries of the table). There are three subcases.

| \((p_B)_{(0|1|1)} (i_a \otimes j_b)\) | \(j < k\) | \(j = k\) | \(j > k\) |
|---|---|---|---|
| \(i < j\) | 0 | 0 | * |
| \(i = j\) | 0 | 0 | * |
| \(i > j\) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Case 1: \(i < j, j > k,\) and \(i = k\)**

Here, we notice that for basis elements \(i_a, j_b\), we have \((p_B)_{(0|1|1)} (i_a \otimes j_b) \neq 0\) iff \(i_a = (i|i + 1)\) and \(j_b = j\alpha_k\).

In this case,

\[
(p_B)_{(0|1|1)} (i_a \otimes j_b) := p \left([0] + \ldots + (i)\right)
\]

\[
= i\alpha_k.
\]

**Case 2: \(i < j, j > k,\) and \(i > k\)**

Again, we notice that for basis elements \(i_a, j_b\), we have \((p_B)_{(0|1|1)} (i_a \otimes j_b) \neq 0\) iff either

- \(i_a = (\ell|\ell + 1)\) for \(k \leq \ell \leq i\) and \(j_b = j\alpha_k\), in which case
  \[
  (p_B)_{(0|1|1)} (i_a \otimes j_b) := p \left([0] + \ldots + (k)\right) = i\alpha_k.
  \]
- \(i_a = (\ell + 1|\ell + 1)\) for \(k + 1 \leq \ell \leq i\) and \(j_b = j\alpha_k\), in which case
  \[
  (p_B)_{(0|1|1)} (i_a \otimes j_b) := p \left([1]0 + \ldots + (k + 1|k)\right) = j\alpha_k.
  \]
- \(i_a = (\ell + 1|\ell + 1)\) for \(k \leq \ell \leq i\) and \(j_b = j\alpha_k\), in which case
  \[
  (p_B)_{(0|1|1)} (i_a \otimes j_b) := p \left([1]0 + \ldots + (k + 1|k)\right) = j\alpha_k.
  \]

**Case 3: \(i = j > k\)**

An analysis similar to the previous cases allows us to conclude that \(p_{(0|1|1)} (i_a \otimes j_b) = 0\) on basis elements \(i_a, j_b\) except when \(i_a = (\ell|\ell - 1|\ell)\) for \(k + 1 \leq \ell \leq i\) and \(j_b = j\alpha_k\). In these cases, we have:

\[
(p_{(0|1|1)} (i_a \otimes j_b) = i\alpha_k.
\]
Armed with the above calculations, we define $p_{0|1|1} : iB_j \otimes jB_k^{Kh} \to iB_k$ in the case $i \leq j, j > k, i \geq k$ to be the unique bilinear map assigning the values above to the basis elements described and 0 to all other basis elements. The desired conclusion follows.

\[ \square \]

### 3.4. A braid group action on $D_\infty(B^{Kh})$

Khovanov-Seidel’s braid group action on $D^b(A)$ induces a braid group action on $D_\infty(B^{Kh})$, via the following:

**Proposition 3.15.** There is an equivalence of triangulated categories

$$D(A) \leftrightarrow D(B) \leftrightarrow D_\infty(B^{Kh}).$$

**Proof.** Lemmas 2.22 and 3.12 together imply the equivalence $D_\infty(B) \leftrightarrow D_\infty(B^{Kh})$ and Proposition 2.4.1 implies the equivalence of $D(B)$ with $D_\infty(B)$.

To see that $D(A) \leftrightarrow D(B)$, we will show that the functors $\mathcal{F} : D(A) \to D(B)$ and $\mathcal{G} : D(B) \to D(A)$ given by

$$\mathcal{F}(M) := Q^* \otimes_A M = \text{Hom}_A(Q, M)$$

$$\mathcal{G}(N) := Q \otimes_B N$$

where $Q := \bigoplus_{i=0}^m Q_i$ and $Q^* := \text{Hom}_A(Q, A) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^m iQ$ are well-defined mutually inverse equivalences of triangulated categories.

Since each $iQ \subset Q^*$ is a complex of projective right modules over $A$, the functor $Q^* \otimes_A -$ is exact, so $\mathcal{F}$ is clearly well-defined. To prove that $\mathcal{G}$ is also well-defined, we will show that the right dg $B$-module $\text{Hom}_A(P_i, Q) \subset Q = \text{Hom}_A(A, Q) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^m \text{Hom}_A(P_i, Q)$ is homotopy equivalent to a semi-free dg $B$-module, and so tensoring with this dg $B$-module is exact.

Let $MC(i\mathbb{A}_{i-1})$ denote the mapping cone of the chain map $i\mathbb{A}_{i-1} : Q_i \to Q_{i-1}$ defined by $i\mathbb{A}_{i-1} := (0) + \ldots + (i-1) \in \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, Q_{i-1})$. There is an $A$-linear chain map $i^* : P_i \to MC(i\mathbb{A}_{i-1})$ given by the inclusion of $P_i$ into $Q_i$, and an $A$-linear chain map $p : MC(i\mathbb{A}_{i-1}) \to P_i$ given by

$$p(\phi) := \begin{cases} 
\phi & \text{if } \phi \in P_i \subset Q_i, \text{ and} \\
-\phi(i-1) & \text{if } \phi \in P_{i-1} \subset Q_{i-1}, \text{ and} \\
0 & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}$$

We leave it to the reader to verify that

$$p u = \text{Id} \quad \text{and} \quad u p = \text{Id} + \partial h + h \partial,$$

where $\partial$ is the differential in $MC(i\mathbb{A}_{i-1})$ and $h : MC(i\mathbb{A}_{i-1}) \to MC(i\mathbb{A}_{i-1})$ is the $A$-linear map $h := \iota_{i-1} \iota_i : Q_{i-1} \to Q_i$ defined by $\iota_{i-1} \iota_i := (0) + \ldots + (i-1) \in \text{Hom}_A(Q_{i-1}, Q_i)$.

Thus $P_i$ is homotopy equivalent to the mapping cone of the chain map $i\mathbb{A}_{i-1} : Q_i \to Q_{i-1}$, and consequently, $\text{Hom}_A(P_i, Q)$ is homotopy equivalent to the mapping cone of the induced chain map $i\mathbb{A}_{i-1} : iB \to iB$, where $iB := \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, Q) = (i\mathbb{A})B$. Since $MC(i\mathbb{A}_{i-1})$ is semi-free (because $i\mathbb{A}_{i-1}$ and $iB$ are semi-free), the functor $(\text{Hom}_A(P_i, Q) \otimes_B -$) $\cong (MC(i\mathbb{A}_{i-1}) \otimes_B -$) is exact, as desired.

It remains to show that the functors $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are inverses of each other. Clearly, the composition $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to the identity functor of $D(B)$ because $Q^* \otimes_A Q \cong \text{Hom}_A(Q, Q) = B$ by Lemma 3.10. To show that the composition $\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to the identity functor of $D(A)$, we will show that the map

$$\psi : Q \otimes_B Q^* \to A$$

defined by $\psi(q \otimes f) := f(q) \in A$ for $f \in Q^*$ and $q \in Q$ is an isomorphism of dg bimodules.

We first note that the differential in $Q \otimes_B Q^*$ is trivial because the differential in $Q$ (resp.,
$Q^*$ is given by right (resp., left) multiplication with the element

$$b := \sum_{i=1}^{m} ((0|1) + \ldots + (i-1|i)) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, Q_i) \subset B;$$

and so the differential in $Q \otimes_B Q^*$ is equal to $b \otimes \text{Id} + \text{Id} \otimes b = 2(b \otimes \text{Id}) = 0$. Since the differential in $A$ is trivial as well, it thus suffices to show that $\psi$ is a homotopy equivalence.

However, we have already seen that $Q$ is homotopy equivalent to a sum of complexes of the form $iB \to i-1B$ where $iB = \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, Q)$, and an analogous argument shows that $Q^*$ is homotopy equivalent to a sum of complexes of the form $B_{i-1} \to B_i$ where $B_i := \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, Q_i)$, and $B$ is homotopy equivalent to a sum of complexes of the form $iB_{j-1} \to (i-1B_{j-1} \oplus iB_j) \to i-1B_j$ where $iB_j := \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, Q_j)$. Moreover, one can check that under these various homotopy equivalences, the map $\psi$ corresponds to the canonical map from $(iB \to i-1B) \otimes_B (B_{j-1} \to B_j)$ to $iB_{j-1} \to (i-1B_{j-1} \oplus iB_j) \to i-1B_j$, and now the fact that $\psi$ is a homotopy equivalence follows from the identities

$$iB \otimes_B B_j = (i\mathbb{1})B \otimes_B B(j \mathbb{1}) = (i\mathbb{1})B(j \mathbb{1}) = iB_j.$$

\[\Box\]

To understand the braid group action on $D_\infty(B^{Kh})$, recall (see [21, Sec. 2d]) that Khovanov-Seidel associate

- to the elementary Artin generator $\sigma^+_k$ the dg $A$–bimodule

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^+_k} := 0 \longrightarrow P_k \otimes_k P \overset{\beta_k}{\longrightarrow} A \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\beta_k$ is the $A$–bimodule map specified by $\beta_k((k) \otimes (k)) = (k)$, and

- to the elementary Artin generator $\sigma^-_k$ the dg $A$–bimodule

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^-_k} := 0 \longrightarrow A \overset{\gamma_k}{\longrightarrow} P_k \otimes_k P \{1\} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\gamma_k(1) = (k-1|k) \otimes (k|k-1) + (k+1|k) \otimes (k|k+1) + (k) \otimes (k|k-1|k) + (k|k-1|k) \otimes (k).$$

Here, “1” denotes the identity element $1 = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (i)$. We can therefore understand the induced braid group action on $D_\infty(B^{Kh})$ by understanding the images of $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^+_k}$ under the derived equivalence $D_\infty(A) \to D_\infty(B) \to D_\infty(B^{Kh})$.

Accordingly, we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma^+_k}$ (resp., $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma^-_k}$) the mapping cone

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_A \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} Q_i, P_k \right) \otimes \text{Hom}_A \left( P_k, \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m} Q_j \right) \overset{\tilde{\beta}_k}{\longrightarrow} B \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

(resp.,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & B \overset{\tilde{\gamma}_k}{\longrightarrow} \text{Hom}_A \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} Q_i, P_k \right) \otimes \text{Hom}_A \left( P_k, \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m} Q_j \right) \{1\} \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

considered as a $B^{Kh}$–$B^{Kh}$ dg bimodule.

After an application of Lemma [3.10]

$$\text{Hom}_A \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} Q_i, P_k \right) \otimes \text{Hom}_A \left( P_k, \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m} Q_j \right) = \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} Q \right) \otimes_A P_k \otimes_k P \otimes_A \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} Q \right),$$

the induced maps $\tilde{\beta}_k$, $\tilde{\gamma}_k$ can be described as $\tilde{\beta}_k = \text{Id} \otimes \beta_k \otimes \text{Id}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_k = \text{Id} \otimes \gamma_k \otimes \text{Id}$.\[\Box\]
To further streamline notation, we set

\[ \tilde{P}_k := \text{Hom}_A \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} Q_i, P_k \right) \]

and

\[ k\tilde{P} := \text{Hom}_A \left( P_k, \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m} Q_j \right). \]

We will also find it convenient to replace the mapping cones \( \tilde{M}_{\alpha_k} \) with simpler, quasi-isomorphic, mapping cones. We do this by replacing each bimodule \( B \) and \( \tilde{P}_k \otimes_k \tilde{P} \) by its homology and the maps \( \beta_k, \gamma_k \) by the induced maps on homology.

We already understand the structure of \( B^{Kh} = H_*(B) \) (Lemma 3.12). The homology of \( \tilde{P}_k \) (resp., \( k\tilde{P} \)) is described by:

**Lemma 3.16.** \( \tilde{P}_k \) (resp., \( k\tilde{P} \)) is formal as a left (resp., right) \( B^{Kh} \) module.

Furthermore, \( P_k^{Kh} := H_* \left( \tilde{P}_k \right) \) and \( kP_k^{Kh} := H_* \left( k\tilde{P} \right) \) have the following explicit descriptions.

\[ P_k^{Kh} = \text{Span}_F \langle u^*, v^* \rangle, \quad kP_k^{Kh} = \text{Span}_F \langle u, v \rangle \]

where the bigradings of \( u^*, v^*, u, v \) are given by:

\[ \text{gr}(u^*) = (0, 1), \quad \text{gr}(v^*) = (1, 0), \quad \text{gr}(u) = (0, 0), \quad \text{gr}(v) = (-1, 1), \]

and left multiplication by a generator \( \theta \in B^{Kh} \) on \( P_k^{Kh} \) is given by:

\[ \theta \cdot u^* = \begin{cases} u^* & \text{if } \theta = k\mathbb{1}_k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

\[ \theta \cdot v^* = \begin{cases} v^* & \text{if } \theta = k^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{k-1}, \\ u^* & \text{if } \theta = k\mathbb{1}_{k-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

and right multiplication by a generator \( \theta \in B^{Kh} \) on \( kP_k^{Kh} \) is given by:

\[ u \cdot \theta = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } \theta = k\mathbb{1}_k \\ v & \text{if } \theta = k\mathbb{1}_{k-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

\[ v \cdot \theta = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } \theta = k^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{k-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.10, \( \text{Hom}_A(Q_\iota, P_k) \) is given by the complex \( \iota Q \otimes_A P_k \) and \( \text{Hom}_A(P_k, Q_\iota) \) by \( k\tilde{P} \otimes_A Q_\iota \), where

\[ \iota Q := \begin{array}{c} \cdots \longrightarrow \otimes_1 \longrightarrow \otimes_1 P_k \longrightarrow \otimes_1 P_k \longrightarrow \otimes_1 P_k \longrightarrow \iota P \end{array} \]

This implies that \( \tilde{P}_k, k\tilde{P} \) are given by:

\[ \tilde{P}_k := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} \otimes_1 P_k \longrightarrow \otimes_1 P_k \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \otimes_1 P_k \longrightarrow \iota P \]

\[ k\tilde{P} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} k\otimes_1 P_0 \longrightarrow k\otimes_1 P_k \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow k\otimes_1 P_k \longrightarrow k\iota P \]

We see from above that \( \iota Q \otimes_A P_k \) is:

- 0 when \( i < k - 1 \),
- rank one, generated by \( (k - 1|k) \in k\otimes_1 P_k \), with 0 differential, when \( i = k - 1 \),
- a direct sum of \( \text{Span}(\langle k-1|k \rangle) \subset k\otimes_1 P_k \) and the acyclic subcomplex

\( \langle k-1|k \rangle \subset \{ k\otimes_1 P_k \} \)

when \( i = k \), and
- a direct sum of the two acyclic subcomplexes

\[(k-1|k) \leftarrow (k) \subset \{k-1P_k \leftarrow kP_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad (k|k-1|k) \leftarrow (k+1|k) \subset \{kP_k \leftarrow k+1P_k\}\]

when \(i > k\).

To show formality of \(\tilde{P}_k\), we use Lemma 2.25 to show that all induced multiplications

\[m_{i(n-1|0)} : (B^{Kh})^{\otimes n-1} \otimes H_*(\text{Hom}_A(Q_i, P_k)) \to H_*(\text{Hom}_A(Q_j, P_k))\]

vanish for \(n > 2\).

When \(i \leq k-1\), \(\text{Hom}_A(Q_i, P_k)\) has trivial differential, so the maps \(\iota_i, p_i, h_i\) are clear. In the case \(i \geq k\), we define:

\[
\begin{align*}
\iota_i & : H_*(\text{Hom}_A(Q_i, P_k)) \to \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, P_k), \\
p_i & : \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, P_k) \to H_*(\text{Hom}_A(Q_i, P_k)), \text{ and} \\
h_i & : \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, P_k) \to \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, P_k)[-1]
\end{align*}
\]

as follows.

Let \(\theta\) denote any generator of \(\text{Hom}_A(Q_i, P_k)\), let \(u^*\) denote the lone generator of \(H_*(\text{Hom}_A(Q_k, P_k))\), and let \(\partial\) denote the differential on the complex \(\text{Hom}_A(Q_i, P_k)\). Note that \(H_*(\text{Hom}_A(Q_i, P_k)) = 0\) for \(i > k\). Then we define \(\iota_i, p_i, h_i\) to be the \(F\)–linear extensions of:

\[
\begin{align*}
\iota_k(u^*) & := (k|k-1|k) \\
\iota_{i>k} & := 0 \\
p_i(\theta) & := \begin{cases} 
   u^* & \text{if } i = k \text{ and } \theta = (k|k-1|k) \\
   0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
h_i(\theta) := \begin{cases} 
   \partial^{-1}(\theta) & \text{if } \theta \in \text{Im}(\partial), \\
   0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

In the above, \(\partial^{-1}(\theta)\) is defined to be the (unique) basis element \(\theta'\) satisfying \(\partial(\theta') = \theta\).

It is now straightforward to verify that

1. \(p_i h_i = 0\) for all \(i\), and
2. \(\text{Im}(h_i)\) and \(\text{Im}(\partial)\) are left \(B^{Kh}\)-submodules.

Therefore \(\tilde{P}_k\) is formal by Lemma 2.25.

To see that \(k\tilde{P}\) is also formal, we perform a very similar computation, observing that \(k\tilde{P}\) satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.24 as a right \(B^{Kh}\)-module, hence is formal.

Now, we simply note that \(H_*(\tilde{P}_k)\) is rank 2, generated by

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u} & := p_k(k|k-1|k) \in kP_k \subset \text{Hom}_A(Q_k, P_k) \quad \text{and} \\
\mathbf{v} & := p_{k-1}(k|k-1|k) \in k-1P_k \subset \text{Hom}_A(Q_{k-1}, P_k),
\end{align*}
\]

as \(H_*(k\tilde{P})\), generated by

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u} & := p_k(k) \in kP_k \subset \text{Hom}_A(P_k, Q_k) \quad \text{and} \\
\mathbf{v} & := p_{k-1}(k|k-1) \in kP_{k-1} \subset \text{Hom}_A(P_k, Q_{k-1}).
\end{align*}
\]

Recalling (see the proof of Lemma 3.12) that the generators \(i, a_j\) (for \(i \geq j\)) and \(\mathbf{x}_j\) (for \(i > j\)) of \(B^{Kh}\) are represented by \((0) + \ldots + (j)\) and \((1|0) + \ldots + (j-1|j)\), we see that the multiplication is also as claimed. \(\square\)

We now have the proposed model

\[
\begin{align*}
MC \left( \begin{array}{c}
P_k^{Kh} \otimes_k P_k^{Kh} \\
\beta^{Kh}
\end{array} \right) \to B^{Kh}
\end{align*}
\]
for $\mathcal{M}_{K^h}^{\sigma_k}$ and the model

\[
MC \left( B^{K^h} \xrightarrow{\gamma_k^{K^h}} P_k^{K^h} \otimes_k P^{K^h} \{ -1 \} \right)
\]

for $\mathcal{M}_{K^h}^{\sigma_k}$, where $\beta_k^{K^h}$ and $\gamma_k^{K^h}$ are the $A_{\infty}$ morphisms on homology induced by $\tilde{\beta}_k$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_k$.

To understand the induced maps on homology, we must explicitly understand the quasi-isomorphisms $B \leftrightarrow B^{K^h}$ and $\tilde{P}_k \otimes_k P \leftrightarrow P_k^{K^h} \otimes_k P^{K^h}$.

Explicitly, if $t_B : B^{K^h} \to B$ and $p_B : B \to B^{K^h}$ are $A_{\infty}$ quasi-isomorphisms, then the induced $A_{\infty}$ morphism on homology is given by:

$$
\beta_k^{K^h} = p_B \circ \tilde{\beta}_k \circ (t_B \otimes t'_B) : P_k^{K^h} \otimes_k P^{K^h} \to B^{K^h}.
$$

Furthermore, (cf. [37, Cor. 3.16]), the mapping cones satisfy:

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \longrightarrow P_k^{K^h} \otimes_k P^{K^h} \xrightarrow{\beta_k^{K^h}=p_B \circ \tilde{\beta}_k \circ (t_B \otimes t'_B)} B^{K^h} \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 & \longrightarrow \tilde{P}_k \otimes_k P \xrightarrow{\beta_k} B \longrightarrow 0
\end{align*}
\]

as elements of $D_{\infty}(B^{K^h})$.

Similarly, if $t_B : B^{K^h} \to B$ and $p_B : B \to B^{K^h}$ are $A_{\infty}$ quasi-isomorphisms, then:

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \longrightarrow B^{K^h} \xrightarrow{\gamma_k^{K^h}=(p_B \otimes p'_B) \circ \tilde{\gamma}_k \circ t_B} P_k^{K^h} \otimes_k P^{K^h} \{ -1 \} \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 & \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{\gamma_k} \tilde{P}_k \otimes_k P \{ -1 \} \longrightarrow 0
\end{align*}
\]

as elements of $D_{\infty}(B^{K^h})$.

**Proposition 3.17.** The image of $\mathcal{M}_{K^h}^{\sigma_k} \in D_{\infty}(A)$ under the derived equivalence $D_{\infty}(A) \to D_{\infty}(B^{K^h})$ is $MC (\gamma_k^{K^h})$, where

$$
\gamma_k^{K^h} : B^{K^h} \to P_k^{K^h} \otimes_k P^{K^h} \{ -1 \}
$$

is the $F$–linear $B^{K^h}$–bimodule map (i.e., strict $A_{\infty}$ morphism) determined by

$$
i \mathbb{I}_i \mapsto \begin{cases} 
\mathbf{v}^* \otimes \mathbf{v} & \text{when } i = k-1, \\
\mathbf{u}^* \otimes \mathbf{u} & \text{when } i = k, \text{ and} \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

Accordingly, we define $\mathcal{M}_{K^h}^{\sigma_k} := MC (\gamma_k^{K^h})$.

**Proof.** We must compute the terms of the induced $A_{\infty}$ morphism $\gamma_k^{K^h} := (p_B \otimes p'_B) \circ \tilde{\beta}_k \circ t_B$, as described above.

We begin by noting that the $(n_1|n_2)$ map of the $A_{\infty}$ morphism $\gamma_k^{K^h}$, i.e., the map

$$
(\gamma_k^{K^h})_{(n_1|n_2)} : (B^{K^h})^{\otimes n_1} \otimes B^{K^h} \otimes (B^{K^h})^{\otimes n_2} \to (P_k^{K^h} \otimes_k P^{K^h}) \{ -1 \}
$$

is degree $(-(n_1 + n_2),0)$ with respect to the bigrading. This follows from the $A_{\infty}$ relations for morphisms, combined with Lemma 3.11.
An examination of the bigradings of elements of $B^{Kh}$ and $P^{Kh}_k \otimes_k P^{Kh}$ then immediately implies that $(\gamma^{Kh}_k)_{(n_1|n_2)} = 0$ unless $n_1 = n_2 = 0$, so $\gamma^{Kh}_k$ is a strict $A_\infty$ isomorphism, as desired. A quick way to see this is to notice that the sum of the two gradings associated to each element in $B^{Kh}$ and $(P^{Kh}_k \otimes_k P^{Kh}) \{-1\}$ is 0, and $(\gamma_k)_{(n_1|n_2)}$ is degree $-(n_1 + n_2)$ on this sum.

It is now easy to verify that

$$\begin{align*}
(\gamma^{Kh}_k)_{(0|1)} := (pp \otimes p'p)_{(0)|0} \circ \tilde{\gamma}_k \circ (\iota B)_{(0)|0}
\end{align*}$$

is as described. In particular, $\gamma^{Kh}_k$ is determined by its behavior on the $(m+1)$ idempotents $i_{1} \in B^{Kh}$, since it is a $B^{Kh}$-bimodule map.

For example:

$$\begin{align*}
\gamma^{Kh}_k (k-1)_{k-1} &:= (pp)_{(0)|0} \circ \tilde{\gamma}_k \circ (\iota B)_{(0)|0} (k-1)_{k-1} \\
&= (pp)_{(0)|0} \circ \tilde{\gamma}_k [(0) + \ldots + (k-1)] \\
&= (pp)_{(0)|0} [(k-1) \otimes (k)] \\
&= v^* \otimes v
\end{align*}$$

We leave the remaining similarly straightforward computations to the reader. □

**Proposition 3.18.** The image of $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^+} \in D_{\infty}(A)$ under the derived equivalence $D_{\infty}(A) \to D_{\infty}(B^{Kh})$ is $MC (\beta^{Kh}_k)$, where the terms of the $A_\infty$ morphism $\beta^{Kh}_k$ are given as follows.

$$(\beta^{Kh}_k)_{(n_1|n_2)} : (B^{Kh})^\otimes_{n_1} \otimes (P^{Kh}_k \otimes_k P^{Kh}) \otimes (B^{Kh})^\otimes_{n_2} \to B^{Kh}$$

is identically zero unless $n_1 + n_2 = 1$.

When $n_1 = 1, n_2 = 0$:

$$(\beta^{Kh}_k)_{(1|0)} : B^{Kh} \otimes (P^{Kh}_k \otimes_k P^{Kh}) \to B^{Kh}$$

is the trilinear map satisfying:

$$(\beta^{Kh}_k)_{(1|0)} : \begin{cases}
[i \otimes (u^* \otimes u)] \mapsto i x_k & (i \geq k + 1) \\
[i \otimes (v^* \otimes u)] \mapsto i x_k & (i \geq k) \\
[i \otimes (v^* \otimes v)] \mapsto i x_{k-1} & (i \geq k + 1)
\end{cases}$$

and $(\beta^{Kh}_k)_{(1|0)} (b \otimes \theta) = 0$ for all other basis elements $b \in B^{Kh}, \theta \in (P^{Kh}_k \otimes_k P^{Kh})$.

When $n_1 = 0, n_2 = 1$:

$$(\beta^{Kh}_k)_{(0|1)} : (P^{Kh}_k \otimes_k P^{Kh}) \otimes B^{Kh} \to B^{Kh}$$

is the trilinear map satisfying:

$$(\beta^{Kh}_k)_{(0|1)} : \begin{cases}
[(u^* \otimes u) \otimes k] \mapsto k x_j & (j \leq k - 1) \\
[(v^* \otimes u) \otimes k] \mapsto k x_j & (j \leq k - 1) \\
[(v^* \otimes v) \otimes k x_j] \mapsto k x_j & (j \leq k - 2)
\end{cases}$$

and $(\beta^{Kh}_k)_{(0|1)} (\theta \otimes b) = 0$ for all other basis elements $b \in B^{Kh}, \theta \in (P^{Kh}_k \otimes_k P^{Kh})$.

Accordingly, we define $\mathcal{M}^{Kh}_{\sigma^+} := MC (\beta^{Kh}_k)$.
Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 3.17, the \((n_1|1|n_2)\) map of the \(A_\infty\) morphism \(\beta_k^{Kh}\) is degree \((-n_1 + n_2, 0)\) with respect to the bigrading.

In this case, however, we see that the sum of the two gradings for each element in \(P_k^{Kh} \otimes_k P_k^{Kh}\) is 1, while the sum of the two gradings associated to each element in \(B^{Kh}\) is 0. Since \((\beta_k^{Kh})_{(n_1|1|n_2)}\) is degree \((-n_1 + n_2)\) on this sum, we conclude that \((\beta_k^{Kh})_{(n_1|1|n_2)} = 0\) unless \(-(n_1 + n_2) = -1\), as claimed.

To calculate \((\beta_k^{Kh})_{(n_1|1|n_2)}\) in the relevant cases \((n_1 = 1, n_2 = 0)\) and \((n_1 = 0, n_2 = 1)\), we recall that \(\beta_k^{Kh} : P_k^{Kh} \otimes_k P_k^{Kh} \to B^{Kh}\) is given by the composition

\[
P_k^{Kh} \otimes_k P_k^{Kh} \xrightarrow{i \otimes_i'} P_k \otimes_k P \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}_k} B \xrightarrow{p} B^{Kh}.
\]

Calculation of \((\beta_k^{Kh})_{(1|1|0)}\):

Since \(\tilde{\beta}_k\) is, by definition, a strict \(A_\infty\) morphism, we see that

\[
(\beta_k^{Kh})_{(1|1|0)} := p_{(1|1|0)} \circ \tilde{\beta}_k \circ \left(\iota_{(0|1|0)} \otimes i'_{(0|1|0)}\right) + p_{(0|1|0)} \circ \tilde{\beta}_k \circ \left(\iota_{(1|1|0)} \otimes i'_{(0|1|0)}\right).
\]

Furthermore, we showed during the proof of Lemma 3.14 that \(p_{(1|1|0)} := 0\), so the first term above also vanishes, leaving:

\[
(\beta_k^{Kh})_{(1|1|0)} := p_{(0|1|0)} \circ \tilde{\beta}_k \circ \left(\iota_{(1|1|0)} \otimes i'_{(0|1|0)}\right).
\]

Another application of the Transfer Theorem [8, Thm. 2.1] tells us that on basis elements \(b \in B^{Kh}\) and \(\theta \in P_k^{Kh}\), we have

\[
\iota_{(1|1|0)} [b \otimes \theta] = \begin{cases} 
(k + 1|k) \in Hom_A(Q_i, P_k) & \text{when } b = iK_k, \theta = u^*, \text{ and } i \geq k + 1, \\
(k) \in Hom_A(Q_i, P_k) & \text{when } b = iK_k, \theta = v^*, \text{ and } i \geq k, \\
(k + 1|k) \in Hom_A(Q_i, P_k) & \text{when } b = iK_k, \theta = v^*, \text{ and } i \geq k + 1, \text{ and otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Composing the above with \(p_{(0|1|0)} \circ \tilde{\beta}_k\) yields the desired result. We perform this computation in one case, leaving the small number of remaining (similarly straightforward) computations to the reader. Assume \(i \geq k + 1\). Then:

\[
(\beta_k^{Kh})_{(1|1|0)}(iK_k \otimes (u^* \otimes u)) := p_{(0|1|0)} \circ \tilde{\beta}_k \circ \left(\iota_{(1|1|0)}(iK_k \otimes u^*) \otimes i'_{(0|1|0)}(u)\right)
= p_{(0|1|0)} \circ \tilde{\beta}_k \left([(k + 1|k) \otimes (k)]\right)
= p_{(0|1|0)}(k + 1|k)
= iK_k
\]

Calculation of \((\beta_k^{Kh})_{(0|1|1)}\): Similarly, we have:

\[
(\beta_k^{Kh})_{(0|1|1)} := p_{(0|1|1)} \circ \tilde{\beta}_k \circ \left(\iota_{(0|1|0)} \otimes i'_{(0|1|0)}\right),
\]

and an application of Lemma 2.24 (see the proof of Lemma 3.16) implies that \(i'_{(0|1|1)} := 0\), leaving:

\[
(\beta_k^{Kh})_{(0|1|1)} := p_{(0|1|1)} \circ \tilde{\beta}_k \circ \left(\iota_{(0|1|0)} \otimes i'_{(0|1|0)}\right).
\]
Referring to Lemma 3.14, we again perform a sample computation, leaving the remaining computations to the reader. Assume \( j \leq k - 1 \). Then:
\[
(\beta_k^{Kh})_{(01)}(v^* \otimes u) \otimes_{k} \mathbb{A}_j = p_{(01)}(\beta_k[(k-1) \otimes (k)] \otimes_{k} \mathbb{A}_j)
\]
\[
= p_{(01)}[(k-1) \otimes_{k} \mathbb{A}_j]
\]
\[
= k^{-1} \mathbb{A}_j.
\]
□

Now, if we have a general braid group element \( \sigma \in B_{m+1} \) that decomposes as \( \sigma = \sigma_{k_1}^{\pm} \cdots \sigma_{k_n}^{\pm} \), \cite{21} associates to \( \sigma \in B_{m+1} \) the \( A_{\infty} \) algebra
\[
M_{\sigma} := M_{\sigma_{k_1}^{\pm}} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A M_{\sigma_{k_n}^{\pm}}
\]
over the algebra \( A \) (or, rather, its equivalence class in \( D^b(A) \)).

Considered as an element of \( D_{\infty}(A) \), we can alternatively describe \( M_{\sigma} \) in terms of an \( A_{\infty} \) tensor product, by the following.

**Definition 3.19.** \cite{20} Defn. 1 Given rings \( A, B \), an \( A-B \) bimodule \( M \) is called *sweet* if it is finitely-generated and projective as a left \( A \) module and as a right \( B \) module.

**Remark 3.20.** The tensor product \( N \otimes_A M \) of an \( A'-A \) bimodule \( N \) with an \( A-B \) bimodule is a sweet \( A'-B \) bimodule.

Since each \( M_{\sigma_{k_i}^{\pm}} \) is a bounded complex of *sweet* bimodules over \( A \) whose higher multiplications are all trivial, the ordinary tensor product above agrees with the \( A_{\infty} \) tensor product in \( D_{\infty}(A) \). In other words,
\[
M_{\sigma} := M_{\sigma_{k_1}^{\pm}} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A M_{\sigma_{k_n}^{\pm}}.
\]

Since \( A_{\infty} \) tensor products are sent to \( A_{\infty} \) tensor products under the derived equivalence
\[
D_{\infty}(A) \leftrightarrow D_{\infty}(B) \leftrightarrow D_{\infty}(B^{Kh}),
\]
we see that the element of \( D_{\infty}(B^{Kh}) \) associated to a general braid \( \sigma = \sigma_{k_1}^{\pm} \cdots \sigma_{k_n}^{\pm} \in B_{m+1} \) is given by:
\[
M_{\sigma}^{Kh} := M_{\sigma_{k_1}^{\pm}} \otimes_{B^{Kh}} \cdots \otimes_{B^{Kh}} M_{\sigma_{k_n}^{\pm}}.
\]

**Remark 3.21.** The \( B^{Kh} \) modules described here (and, more generally, any \( A_{\infty} \) module over the Hom algebra of a basis of curves) are equipped with three gradings:

1. a (co)homological grading,
2. an internal grading counting steps to the left in the path algebra, \( A_m \), which corresponds to the power of \( t \) under the identification of the Khovanov-Seidel construction with a categorification of the Burau representation (see \cite{21} Sec. 2c),
3. a grading by path length in the path algebra, \( A_m \), which corresponds to Khovanov’s \( j \) (quantum) grading if one identifies the Khovanov-Seidel quiver algebra \( A_m \) with the algebra \( A^{1,m} \) appearing in \cite{10} 39.

The first two of these gradings constitute the bigrading described in \cite{21} Sec. 3d and discussed throughout this section.

For the benefit of those readers interested in the *trigradings* of generators of \( B^{Kh}, P^{Kh} \), and \( kP^{Kh} \), we record them here:

- \( gr(i \mathbb{A}_j) = (0, 0, 0) \) for \( i \mathbb{A}_j \in iB_j \) for all \( i, j \in \{0, \ldots, m\} \),
- \( gr(i \mathbb{x}_j) = (-1, 1, 1) \) for \( i \mathbb{x}_j \in iB_j \) for all \( i > j \in \{0, \ldots, m\} \),
- \( gr(v^*) = (1, 0, 1) \) and \( gr(u^*) = (0, 1, 2) \) for \( v^*, u^* \in P^{Kh}_k \) for all \( k \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \), and
- \( gr(v) = (-1, 1, 1) \) and \( gr(u) = (0, 0, 0) \) for \( v, u \in kP^{Kh}_k \) for all \( k \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \).
3.5. \( B^{Kh} \) and Fukaya categories. For completeness, and to motivate the constructions in the next section, we briefly outline (without proofs) a geometric interpretation of the algebra \( B^{Kh} \) and the bimodules \( \mathcal{M}^{Kh}_{\sigma_k} \), in terms of the Fukaya category of a suitable Lefschetz fibration \([35, 37]\).

Namely, denote by \( p \) a polynomial of degree \( m + 1 \) whose roots are exactly the points of \( \Delta \), and consider the complex surface \( S = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 = p(z)\} \). The projection to the \( z \) coordinate defines a Lefschetz fibration \( \pi_S : S \to \mathbb{C} \), whose generic fiber is an affine conic, and whose \( m + 1 \) vanishing cycles are all isotopic to each other. The basis of arcs \( Q = \{q_0, \ldots, q_m\} \) of Figure 5 then determines a collection of Lefschetz thimbles \( Q^S_0, \ldots, Q^S_m \) (i.e., Lagrangian disks in \( S \) whose boundaries are the vanishing cycles in the fiber \( \pi_S^{-1}(-1) \)). These form an exceptional collection which generates the Fukaya category \( \mathcal{F}(\pi_S) \) of the Lefschetz fibration \( \pi_S \) \([37]\).

Perturbing the symplectic structure slightly, we can ensure that the vanishing cycles (which are Hamiltonian isotopic loops in \( \pi_S^{-1}(-1) \simeq \mathbb{C}^* \)) are mutually transverse and intersect in a suitable manner (i.e., they pairwise intersect in exactly two points, and the intersection points are arranged in a configuration which forces the vanishing of higher products on Floer complexes within the ordered collection).

The Floer complexes which determine morphisms from \( Q^S_i \) to \( Q^S_j \) whenever \( i > j \) then have rank 2, while by definition these morphism spaces have rank 1 for \( i < j \) \([35]\). (Note: our ordering convention for bases of arcs is the opposite of Seidel's.) Moreover, an easy calculation in Floer homology then shows that

\[
B^S := \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^m \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(\pi_S)}(Q^S_i, Q^S_j)
\]

is isomorphic to \( B^{Kh} \) (viewing both as \( A_{\infty} \)-algebras, in which \( m_n \) happens to vanish for \( n \neq 2 \)). The categories of modules over \( \mathcal{F}(\pi_S) \) and \( B^{Kh} \) are therefore equivalent.

In fact, the \( B^{Kh} \)-module \( P^S_k \) has a geometric counterpart via this equivalence, namely a Lagrangian sphere \( P^S_k \) in \( S \) which projects under \( \pi_S \) to a line segment connecting two consecutive points of \( \Delta \). Indeed, \( P^S_k \) intersects \( Q^S_{k-1} \) and \( Q^S_k \) in one point each, and is disjoint from the other \( Q^S_i \); it is then not hard to check that \( \bigoplus_i \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(\pi_S)}(Q^S_i, P^S_k) \simeq P^{Kh}_k \) as an \( A_{\infty} \)-module over \( B^S \simeq B^{Kh} \). See Chapter 20 of \([37]\) for more about the symplectic geometry of \( S \).

Elements of the braid group \( B_{m+1} \) acting on \( (D_m, \Delta) \) lift to symplectic automorphisms of \( S \) preserving the fiber \( \pi_S^{-1}(-1) \); specifically, the Artin generator \( \sigma_k \) lifts to the Dehn twist about the Lagrangian sphere \( P^S_k \). Denoting again by \( \sigma \) the symplectic automorphism of \( S \) which corresponds to a braid \( \sigma \in B_{m+1} \), we associate to it the \( A_{\infty} \)-bimodule

\[
\mathcal{M}^S_{\sigma} := \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^m \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(\pi_S)}(Q^S_i, \sigma(Q^S_j))
\]

over \( B^S \simeq B^{Kh} \). It then follows from Seidel's long exact sequence for Dehn twists \([36]\) that the bimodules \( \mathcal{M}^S_{\sigma_k} \) and \( \mathcal{M}^{Kh}_{\sigma_k} \) associated to Artin generators (or their inverses) are quasi-isomorphic.

4. Bordered Floer algebras and bimodules

We now consider the analogues in bordered Floer homology of the Khovanov-Seidel bimodules described in Section 3. We follow Lipshitz-Ozsváth-Thurston in \([27, 28, 29]\) and Zarev in \([42]\), using a symplectic reinterpretation of their work due to the first author \([3]\).
4.1. The bordered Floer algebra. Denote by $\Sigma$ the double cover of $D_m$ branched at the $m + 1$ points of $\Delta$ (with covering map $\pi_\Sigma : \Sigma \to D_m$). We make $\Sigma$ a parametrized surface by equipping it with two marked points $z_\pm$ on its boundary (the two preimages by $\pi_\Sigma$ of a point in $\partial D_m$) and the collection of arcs $Q_\Sigma = \{Q_0^\Sigma, \ldots, Q_m^\Sigma\}$, where $Q_k^\Sigma = \pi_\Sigma^{-1}(q_k)$.

In the language introduced by Lipshitz, Ozsváth and Thurston [27], the parametrized surface $(\Sigma, z_\pm, Q_\Sigma)$ is described combinatorially by a (twice) pointed matched circle (or pair of circles when $m$ is odd), $Z_Q$. This consists of a pair of oriented intervals (the two components of $\partial \Sigma \setminus \{z_\pm\}$), each carrying $m + 1$ distinguished points (the end points of disjoint pushoffs of the $Q_k^\Sigma$), labeled successively in decreasing order $m, \ldots, 1, 0$ along each interval (according to the manner in which the end points of the 1-handles $Q_k^\Sigma$ match up).

Recall that the 1–moving strands algebra $A(Z_Q, 1)$ which we also denote by $B_{HF}$ for consistency with the preceding sections, can be described as:

$$A(Z_Q, 1) = \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^m iB^H_j,$$

where

$$iB^H_j = \text{Span}_F \left\{ \begin{array}{cl} 0 & \text{if } i < j, \\ i1_i & \text{if } i = j, \\ i\rho_j, i\sigma_j & \text{if } i > j \end{array} \right\},$$

and the multiplication $m^H_{ij} : iB^H_j \otimes jB^H_k \to iB^H_i$ is defined by

- $m^H_{ij}(i1_i \otimes a) = m^H_{ij}(a \otimes j1_j) = a$ for all $a \in iB^H_j$, and
- $m^H_{ij}(i\rho_j \otimes j\rho_k) = i\rho_k$ and $m^H_{ij}(i\sigma_j \otimes j\sigma_k) = i\sigma_k$, but $m^H_{ij}(i\sigma_j \otimes j\rho_k) = 0$.

As usual, the multiplication map $m^H_{ij} : iB^H_j \otimes kB^H_{k} \to iB^H_i$ is zero unless $j = k$. We also set $m^H_{n} = 0$ for $n \neq 2$.

**Remark 4.1.** Let $F \rho \oplus F \sigma$ denote the $F$-algebra generated by two orthogonal idempotents $\rho$ and $\sigma$, and let $1 := \rho + \sigma$ be its identity element. As we did in the previous section for $B_{Kh}^H$ (Remark 3.13), we can interpret $B^H_{HF}$ as the algebra of all lower triangular $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$ matrices over $F \rho \oplus F \sigma$ which have only 0’s and 1’s on the main diagonal:

$$B^H_{HF} \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} d_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \phi_{1,0} & d_1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \phi_{m,0} & \cdots & \phi_{m,m-1} & d_m \end{pmatrix} \mid d_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\} \subset M_{m+1}(F \rho \oplus F \sigma)$$

We identify the generator $i\rho_j \in iB^H_j$ (resp., $i\sigma_j \in iB^H_j$) with the $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$ matrix whose only nonzero matrix entry is a 1 (resp., a $\sigma$), located in row number $i$ and column number $j$; and we identify the generator $i1_i \in iB^H_j$ with the $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$ matrix whose only nonzero entry is a 1, located on the diagonal in row number $i$. (Here we assume that rows and columns are numbered from 0 to $m$).

The 1-moving strands algebra has a more geometric interpretation in terms of the arcs $Q_0^\Sigma, \ldots, Q_m^\Sigma$ on the surface $\Sigma$. Namely, these arcs (or small isotopic deformations of them) are objects of (and in fact generate) the “partially wrapped” Fukaya category of $\Sigma$ relatively to the two marked points $z_\pm$ (see [23]). In this category, the morphism spaces $\text{hom}(Q_i^\Sigma, Q_j^\Sigma)$

---

4Here we use the notation convention from [42], which differs by a shift from the one in [27]. See the note in [42] Sec. 2.2.
are the Floer complexes generated by intersections between suitably perturbed copies of the arcs (pushing the end points by Hamiltonian isotopies so that they lie in a specific position along the components of $\partial \Sigma \setminus \{z_{\pm}\}$). In our case, $\{z_{\pm}\}$ is a fiber of the covering map $\pi_{\Sigma}$, which is in fact a Lefschetz fibration. The partially wrapped Fukaya category is then equivalent to $\mathcal{F}(\pi_{\Sigma})$, Seidel’s Fukaya category of the Lefschetz fibration $\pi_{\Sigma}$ (see the Remark in section 4 of [2]), and the $Q^i_j$ are nothing but the Lefschetz thimbles associated to the basis of arcs $Q$ of Figure 5.

The Floer complexes which determine morphisms from $Q^i_j$ to $Q^j_i$ whenever $i > j$ then have rank 2 (the vanishing cycles consist of the same two points), while by definition these morphism spaces have rank 1 for $i = j$ and 0 for $i < j$ [25]. (As before, our ordering convention for bases of arcs is the opposite of Seidel's.) An easy calculation in Floer homology shows that

$$B^\Sigma := \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^m \text{Hom}_\mathcal{F}(Q^i_j, Q^j_i)$$

is isomorphic to $B^{HF}$, viewing both as $A_\infty$-algebras in which $m_n$ happens to vanish for $n \neq 2$ (cf. [2] [23]). The categories of modules over $\mathcal{F}(\pi_{\Sigma})$ and $B^{HF}$ are therefore equivalent.

4.2. Bordered Floer bimodules. Elements of the braid group $B_{m+1}$ acting on $(D_m, \Delta)$ lift to elements of the mapping class group of the double cover $\Sigma$; specifically, the Artin generator $\sigma_k$ lifts to the Dehn twist about the simple closed curve $P^\Sigma_k = \overline{\pi^{-1}_\Sigma}(p_k)$, where $p_k$ is the line segment in $D_m$ joining the two points labeled $k-1$ and $k$ (see Figure 7).

We denote by $\hat{\sigma}$ the mapping class group element which lifts a braid $\sigma \in B_{m+1}$. With this understood, there are two natural ways of associating an $A_\infty$-bimodule over $B^{HF}$ to a braid $\sigma$.

On one hand, Lipshitz, Ozsváth and Thurston [28] associate to the element $\hat{\sigma}$ of the mapping class group a bimodule $\overline{CFDA}(\hat{\sigma})$ over the strands algebra, defined in terms of a suitable Heegaard diagram for the “mapping cylinder” of $\hat{\sigma}$, i.e. the 3-manifold $\Sigma \times [0,1]$ equipped with parametrizations of the two boundary components which differ by the action of $\hat{\sigma}$ (see [27] [28] for details). We denote by $\mathcal{M}^\Sigma_\sigma$ the 1-moving strand part of $\overline{CFDA}(\hat{\sigma})$; this is an $A_\infty$-bimodule over $B^{HF}$ (in fact a “type DA” bimodule, which has nicer algebraic properties).

On the other hand, $\hat{\sigma}$ acts on the Fukaya category of $\pi_{\Sigma}$, and the $A_\infty$-functor induced by $\hat{\sigma}$ naturally yields a bimodule over $\mathcal{F}(\pi_{\Sigma})$, hence over $B^\Sigma$. More concretely, following [2] (see also [29]) we set

$$\mathcal{M}^\Sigma_\sigma := \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^m \text{Hom}_\mathcal{F}(Q^i_j, \hat{\sigma}(Q^j_i)),$$

which is naturally an $A_\infty$-bimodule over $B^\Sigma \simeq B^{HF}$.

**Lemma 4.2.** The $A_\infty$-bimodules $\mathcal{M}^\Sigma_\sigma$ and $\mathcal{M}^{HF}_\sigma$ are quasi-isomorphic.

**Proof.** It is known [28] that the bordered bimodule $\overline{CFDA}(\text{id})$ is quasi-isomorphic to the strands algebra viewed as a bimodule over itself; therefore $\mathcal{M}^{HF}_\text{id} \simeq B^{HF} \simeq B^\Sigma \simeq \mathcal{M}^\Sigma_\text{id}$ (as bimodules). We now give a more geometric interpretation, still in the case $\sigma = \text{id}$.

Following the terminology in [29], denote by $AZ$ the bordered Heegaard diagram depicted in Figure 6 in which the $\alpha$-arcs and the $\beta$-arcs are obtained from $Q_k^\Sigma$ by pushing the end points along the boundary of $\Sigma$, in such a manner that the end points of the $\alpha$-arcs all lie before those of the $\beta$-arcs along the oriented intervals $\partial \Sigma \setminus \{z_{\pm}\}$. Then the 1-moving strand part of the $A_\infty$-bimodule $\overline{CFAA}(AZ)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}^{HF}_\text{id} \simeq B^{HF}$; in fact,
Figure 6. A Heegaard diagram for the identity mapping class on $\Sigma$ (the left and right hand side pictures are glued according to the numbers). Note that the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ arcs are perturbed copies of the arcs $Q^\Sigma_k$.

\[
\hat{CFAA}(AZ) \simeq \hat{CFDA}(id) \simeq A(Z) \overset{\[3, 42, 29\]}{\simeq} \hat{CFDA}(\hat{\sigma}).
\]

Thus it is enough to show that the 1-moving strand part of $\hat{CFAA}(AZ)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $M^\Sigma = B^\Sigma$.

To understand this, recall that morphisms in $\mathcal{F}(\pi_\Sigma)$ are computed by perturbing the arcs to the same positions used in the Heegaard diagram $AZ$. Hence, the generators of $\text{Hom}(Q^\Sigma_i, Q^\Sigma_j)$ are precisely the intersection points between $\beta_i$ and $\alpha_j$, i.e. the generators of the 1-moving strand type AA bimodule. Moreover, the structure maps $m_{k|1|\ell}$ count:

- in the case of the type AA bordered Floer bimodule $\hat{CFAA}(AZ)$, holomorphic strips in $\Sigma$ connecting two generators of the Heegaard-Floer complex, and with $k$ (resp. $\ell$) additional strip-like ends corresponding to chords between $\beta$ (resp. $\alpha$) arcs;
- in the case of $M^\Sigma = \bigoplus_{i,j} \text{Hom}(Q^\Sigma_i, \hat{\sigma}(Q^\Sigma_j))$ (bimodule over the Fukaya category), rigid holomorphic polygons bounded by $k + 1$ successively perturbed copies of the $\beta$-arcs and $\ell + 1$ successively perturbed copies of the $\alpha$-arcs.

However, there is a natural correspondence between these two types of objects; see Proposition 6.5 of [3] and its proof for details.

In the case of an arbitrary braid $\sigma$, denote by $\hat{\sigma}(AZ)$ the bordered Heegaard diagram obtained from $AZ$ by having $\hat{\sigma}$ act on the $\alpha$-arcs (leaving the $\beta$-arcs unchanged). From the perspective of Heegaard-Floer theory, the bordered 3-manifold represented by $\hat{\sigma}(AZ)$ differs from that corresponding to $AZ$ by a reparametrization of its $\alpha$-boundary via the action of $\hat{\sigma}$, or equivalently, by attaching the mapping cylinder of $\hat{\sigma}$. Thus

\[
\hat{CFAA}(\hat{\sigma}(AZ)) \simeq \hat{CFAA}(AZ) \otimes \hat{CFDA}(\hat{\sigma}) \simeq \hat{CFDA}(\hat{\sigma}).
\]

Hence $\mathcal{M}_{\hat{\sigma}}^{HF}$ is quasi-isomorphic to the 1-moving strands part of $\hat{CFAA}(\hat{\sigma}(AZ))$. On the other hand, by the same argument as above this latter bimodule is quasi-isomorphic to $M^\Sigma = \bigoplus_{i,j} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(\pi_\Sigma)}(Q^\Sigma_i, \hat{\sigma}(Q^\Sigma_j))$. \hfill \Box

If a braid $\sigma$ can be expressed in terms of the Artin generators as $\sigma = \sigma_{k_1}^\pm \ldots \sigma_{k_n}^\pm$, then its lift can be written as $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}_{k_1}^\pm \ldots \hat{\sigma}_{k_n}^\pm$, and the pairing theorem for CFDA bimodules [27, 28] implies that

\[
\mathcal{M}_{\hat{\sigma}}^{HF} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\sigma}_{k_1}^\pm}^{HF} \otimes_{B^{HF}} \ldots \otimes_{B^{HF}} \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\sigma}_{k_n}^\pm}^{HF}.
\]

Thus it is enough to understand the bimodules $\mathcal{M}_{\hat{\sigma}_{k}^\pm}^{HF} \simeq M^\Sigma_{\hat{\sigma}_{k}^\pm}$ associated to the Artin generators and their inverses. We do this working in the category $\mathcal{F}(\pi_\Sigma)$. Recall that morphism spaces in that category are defined by Lagrangian Floer theory after a suitable
perturbation (so the end points of arcs lie in the correct order along the boundary of \( \Sigma \)); in particular they are generated by intersection points.

Focusing first on \( M^{HF}_{\sigma_k} \), and recalling that \( \tilde{\sigma}_k^+ \) is the positive Dehn twist about \( P^\Sigma_k \), Seidel’s exact triangle for Lagrangian Floer homology \([36]\) tells us that, for each \( i,j \in \{0, \ldots, m\} \), \( \text{Hom} (Q^\Sigma_i, \tilde{\sigma}_k^+ (Q^\Sigma_j)) \) is quasi-isomorphic to the complex

\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom} (Q^\Sigma_i, P^\Sigma_k) \otimes \text{Hom} (P^\Sigma_k, Q^\Sigma_j) \xrightarrow{\beta^{HF}_{k}} \text{Hom} (Q^\Sigma_i, Q^\Sigma_j) \longrightarrow 0,
\end{array}
\]

where \( \beta^{HF}_{k} \) is the Floer product map (cf. \([36]\)) induced by counting holomorphic triangles in \( \Sigma \) whose sides lie on (suitable perturbations of) \( Q^\Sigma_i, P^\Sigma_k, Q^\Sigma_j \), appearing in counterclockwise order around the boundary. Moreover, these quasi-isomorphisms are compatible with Floer products, in the sense that in \( D_\infty (B^{HF}) \) the bimodule \( M^{HF}_{\sigma_k} \) is equivalent to the complex of bimodules obtained by taking the direct sum of the above complexes over all \( i,j \).

In analogy to the previous section, we introduce the \( A_\infty \)-modules

\[
P^{HF}_k := \bigoplus_{i=0}^m \text{Hom}_\Sigma (Q^\Sigma_i, P^\Sigma_k) \quad \text{and} \quad kP^{HF} := \bigoplus_{j=0}^m \text{Hom}_\Sigma (P^\Sigma_k, Q^\Sigma_j),
\]

which allows us to write

\[
M^{HF}_{\sigma_k} \simeq \left\{ 0 \longrightarrow P^{HF} \otimes kP^{HF} \xrightarrow{\beta^{HF}_{k}} B^{HF} \longrightarrow 0 \right\}
\]

Like the linear term described above, the higher terms

\[
(\beta^{HF}_{k})_{(n_1,1,n_2)} : \bigoplus_{i_0, \ldots, i_{n_1}} \text{Hom}(Q^\Sigma_{i_0}, Q^\Sigma_{i_{n_1}+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{Hom}(Q^\Sigma_{i_{n_1}}, Q^\Sigma_{i_{n_2}}) \otimes \text{Hom}(Q^\Sigma_{i_{n_2}}, P^\Sigma_k) \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{Hom}(P^\Sigma_k, Q^\Sigma_{j_{n_2}}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i_0, j_{n_2}} \text{Hom}(Q^\Sigma_{i_0}, Q^\Sigma_{j_{n_2}})
\]

of the \( A_\infty \)-bimodule homomorphism \( \beta^{HF}_{k} \) count rigid holomorphic polygons in \( \Sigma \) whose sides lie on (suitable perturbations of) \( Q^\Sigma_{i_0}, \ldots, Q^\Sigma_{i_{n_1}}, P^\Sigma_k, Q^\Sigma_{j_{n_2}} \) in that order.

Similarly, \( M^{HF}_{\sigma_k} \) is equivalent in \( D_\infty (B^{HF}) \) to the direct sum of the complexes

\[
0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom} (Q^\Sigma_i, Q^\Sigma_j) \xrightarrow{\gamma^{HF}_{k}} \text{Hom} (Q^\Sigma_i, P^\Sigma_k) \otimes \text{Hom} (P^\Sigma_k, Q^\Sigma_j) \longrightarrow 0,
\]

where \( \gamma^{HF}_{k} \) is induced by counting holomorphic triangles in \( \Sigma \) whose sides lie on (suitable perturbations of) \( P^\Sigma_k, Q^\Sigma_i, Q^\Sigma_j \), appearing in counterclockwise order around the boundary. Thus, in \( D_\infty (B^{HF}) \) we have

\[
M^{HF}_{\sigma_k} \simeq \left\{ 0 \longrightarrow B^{HF} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{HF}_{k}} P^{HF} \otimes kP^{HF} \longrightarrow 0 \right\}
\]

where the higher terms of the \( A_\infty \)-bimodule homomorphism \( \gamma^{HF}_{k} \) again count rigid holomorphic polygons in \( \Sigma \).

We remark that, in our very simple setting, these counts are equivalent (by the Riemann mapping theorem) to counts of topological immersed triangles in \( \Sigma \) with the stated boundary conditions, and satisfying a local convexity condition at their corners.
Figure 7. The top row above shows curves $p_k, q_{k-1}$, and $q_k$ in the disk $D_m$, while the bottom row shows their lifts to Lagrangians in the double branched cover $\Sigma$ (the figures on the left and right are identified according to the numbers). The shaded triangle gives rise to a non-trivial multiplication map $m_{(1|1|0)} : \text{Hom}(Q_k^\Sigma, Q_{k-1}^\Sigma) \otimes \text{Hom}(Q_{k-1}^\Sigma, P_k^\Sigma) \to \text{Hom}(Q_k^\Sigma, P_k^\Sigma)$.

4.3. Explicit calculations. We now make the above story more explicit, by determining the left (resp., right) $A_\infty$-modules $P_k^{HF}$ (resp., $P_k^{HF}$) and the maps $\beta^{HF}$ and $\gamma^{HF}$. Since $P_k^\Sigma$ intersects $Q_{k-1}^\Sigma$ and $Q_k^\Sigma$ transversely once each and is disjoint from all the other $Q_j^\Sigma$, the vector spaces underlying these modules have rank 2. The multiplication maps

$$m_{(n|1|0)} : (B^{HF})^n \otimes P_k^{HF} \to P_k^{HF} \quad \text{and} \quad m_{(0|1|1)} : kP_k^{HF} \otimes (B^{HF})^n \to kP_k^{HF}$$

are given by counting holomorphic $(n + 2)$-gons in $\Sigma$ as in Figure 7. Again letting the two generators of $P_k^{HF}$ (resp., of $kP_k^{HF}$) be denoted by $u^*$, $v^*$ (resp., by $u$, $v$) and letting $\theta$ represent an element of $B^{HF}$, it is easily verified (see Figure 5) that the $m_{(1|1|0)}$ (resp., $m_{(0|1|1)}$) multiplication is given by:

$$\theta \cdot u^* = \begin{cases} u^* & \text{if } \theta = k\mathbb{1}_{k-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \quad \theta \cdot v^* = \begin{cases} v^* & \text{if } \theta = k-1\mathbb{1}_{k-1}, \\ u^* & \text{if } \theta = k\rho_{k-1} \text{ or } k\sigma_{k-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

(resp., given by:

$$u \cdot \theta = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } \theta = k\mathbb{1}_{k-1}, \\ v & \text{if } \theta = k\rho_{k-1} \text{ or } k\sigma_{k-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \quad v \cdot \theta = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } \theta = k-1\mathbb{1}_{k-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

The multiplications $m_{(1|1|0)}$ and $m_{(0|1|1)}$ are associative. Moreover, the higher multiplications are all identically zero. One way to see the vanishing of $m_{(n|1|0)}$ is to observe that, for any sequence $i_n \geq \cdots \geq i_1 \geq i_0$ ($n \geq 2$), and perturbing $Q_0^\Sigma, \ldots, Q_{i_n}^\Sigma$ so that their end points are in counterclockwise order along the boundary of $\Sigma$ (but preserving minimal
Lemma 4.3. \( \gamma_k : B^{HF} \to P_k^{HF} \otimes_k P_k^{HF} \) is the bimodule map determined by

\[
i_i 1_i \mapsto \begin{cases} 
  v^* \otimes v & \text{when } i = k - 1, \\
  u^* \otimes u & \text{when } i = k, \text{ and}\\
  0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
The story for $\beta_k^{HF}$ is slightly more complicated, because the maps 
$$(\beta_k^{HF})_{(i_1|1|0)} : \text{Hom}(Q_{i_1}, P_{i_0} \Sigma) \otimes \text{Hom}(Q_{i_0}, P_{i_0} \Sigma) \otimes \text{Hom}(P_k, Q_j) \to \text{Hom}(Q_{i_1}, Q_j)$$
and
$$(\beta_k^{HF})_{(0|1|1)} : \text{Hom}(Q_{i_1}, P_k \Sigma) \otimes \text{Hom}(P_k, Q_{j_0}) \otimes \text{Hom}(Q_{j_0}, Q_{j_1}) \to \text{Hom}(Q_{i_1}, Q_{j_1}),$$
which count holomorphic 4-gons in $\Sigma$, depend on the choice of Hamiltonian perturbations used to resolve triple intersections at the branch points of $\pi_\Sigma$. (Of course, the behavior of Lagrangian Floer homology under Hamiltonian isotopies guarantees that the maps obtained from different choices are homotopic.) To fix a convention, we perturb $P_k \Sigma$ away from the branch points of $\pi_\Sigma$ in such a way that its intersections with $Q_k \Sigma$ and $Q_k^{-1} \Sigma$ occur on the sheet of the double cover that contains the generators $i_0 \rho_j$. With this understood, we have:
Lemma 4.4. The only nontrivial terms of $\beta^{HF}_k$ are:

\[
(\beta^{HF}_k)_{(1|1|0)}: \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
(i\rho_k, u^* \otimes u) & \mapsto i\rho_k \\
(k\sigma_{k-1}, v^* \otimes u) & \mapsto k\mathbb{1}_k \\
(i\rho_{k-1}, v^* \otimes u) & \mapsto i\rho_k \\
(i\sigma_{k-1}, v^* \otimes u) & \mapsto i\sigma_k \\
(i\rho_{k-1}, v^* \otimes v) & \mapsto i\rho_{k-1} \\
\end{array} \right.
\]

and \( (\beta^{HF}_k)_{(0|1|1)}: \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
(u^* \otimes u, k\rho_j) & \mapsto k\rho_j \\
v^* \otimes u, k\sigma_{k-1}) & \mapsto k^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{k-1} \\
(v^* \otimes u, k\rho_j) & \mapsto k^{-1}\rho_j \\
(v^* \otimes u, k\sigma_j) & \mapsto k^{-1}\sigma_j \\
(v^* \otimes v, k^{-1}\rho_j) & \mapsto k^{-1}\rho_j \\
\end{array} \right.\)

Figure 10. The above diagram verifies that

\[(\beta^{HF}_k)_{(1|1|0)}(i\rho_k, u^* \otimes u) = i\rho_k \text{ and } (\beta^{HF}_k)_{(1|1|0)}(i\sigma_k, u^* \otimes u) = 0 \]

for \( i > k \). By definition,

\[\beta^{HF}_k_{(1|1|0)}: \text{Hom}(Q^\Sigma_k, Q^\Sigma_k) \otimes \text{Hom}(Q^\Sigma_k, P^\Sigma_k) \otimes \text{Hom}(P^\Sigma_k, Q^\Sigma_k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Q^\Sigma_k, Q^\Sigma_k)\]

counts rigid holomorphic 4-gons with successive edges, in counterclockwise order, on perturbed copies of \( Q^\Sigma_k \), \( Q^\Sigma_k \) (denoted \( (Q^\Sigma_k)_1 \)), \( P^\Sigma_k \), and \( Q^\Sigma_k \) again (denoted \( (Q^\Sigma_k)_2 \)). The only contribution comes from the shaded region.

Figure 11. The above diagram verifies that

\[(\beta^{HF}_k)_{(1|1|0)}(k\rho_{k-1}, v^* \otimes u) = 0 \text{ and } (\beta^{HF}_k)_{(1|1|0)}(k\sigma_{k-1}, v^* \otimes u) = k\mathbb{1}_k.\]
Proof. By definition, \((\beta_{HF}^k)_{(1|1)}\) counts rigid holomorphic 4-gons in \(\Sigma\) whose successive edges, in counterclockwise order, lie on suitably perturbed copies of the following Lagrangians: \(Q_k^*\); either \(Q_k^0\) (for \(u^*\)) or \(Q_k^0\) (for \(v^*\)); \(P_k^+\); and either \(Q_k^\infty\) (for \(u\)) or \(Q_k^\infty\) (for \(v\)). The count depends on the perturbations, so we have to be more specific.

Since we are working in the Fukaya category \(\mathcal{F}(\pi_S)\), the various arcs must be perturbed by Hamiltonian isotopies which ensure that their end points are suitably ordered along \(\partial \Sigma\); these perturbations are responsible for the intersection points corresponding to the generators \(i\rho_k\) and \(i\sigma_k\) (resp. \(i\rho_{k-1}\), \(i\sigma_{k-1}\)), which we take to lie close to the boundary of \(\Sigma\). By contrast, the intersection points corresponding to the generators \(u^*, u\) and \(v^*, v\) normally all lie at the \(k\)-th branch point of \(\pi_S\), and perturbations are needed to avoid triple intersections. As mentioned above, we achieve this by choosing a Hamiltonian which pushes \(P_k^0\) slightly towards the "\(\rho^*\)" side of the surface. Likewise for \(v^*, v\) and \(v_{k-1}\).

With this understood, the calculation simply becomes a matter of drawing the relevant diagrams and looking for immersed four-gons with locally convex corners. The first two cases are shown on Figures 10 and 11; the others are similar.

As a consistency check, it is not hard to verify that the map \(\beta_{HF}^k\) is indeed an \(A_\infty\)-homomorphism, namely for all \(a_1, a_2 \in B^{HF}\) and \(m \in P_k \otimes_k P_k\), we have the identities

\[
\beta_{HF}^k (a_1a_2, m) + \beta_{HF}^k(a_1, a_2m) + a_1\beta_{HF}^k(a_2, m) = 0,
\]

\[
\beta_{HF}^k (ma_1a_2) + \beta_{HF}^k(ma_1, a_2) + a_1\beta_{HF}^k(ma_1a_2) = 0,
\]

\[
a_1\beta_{HF}^k(ma_2) + \beta_{HF}^k(ma_1a_2) + \beta_{HF}^k(a_1ma_2) + \beta_{HF}^k(a_1, ma_2) = 0.
\]

5. A spectral sequence from the Khovanov-Seidel to the bordered Floer algebra

In Sections 3 and 4 we showed how to use the data of a basis, \(\tilde{Q}\), to construct

- a graded algebra, \(B^{Kh}\), using a construction of Khovanov-Seidel in [21] and
- a (graded) algebra \(B^{HF}\), using ideas of Lipshitz-Ozsváth-Thurston in [27] as generalized by Zarev in [42] and reinterpreted by the first author in [3].

In this section, we establish the existence of a spectral sequence connecting \(B^{Kh}\) and \(B^{HF}\). Explicitly, we prove:

**Theorem 5.1.** Let

\[
B^{Kh} := H_* \left( \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^m \text{Hom}_A(Q_i, Q_j) \right)
\]

be the homology of the Hom algebra associated to the basis \(\tilde{Q}\) and let \(B^{HF} := A(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{Q}, 1)\) be the \(1\)-moving strands algebra associated to the arc diagram, \(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{Q}\). There exists a filtration on \(B^{HF}\) whose associated graded algebra is isomorphic, as an ungraded algebra, to \(B^{Kh}\). Accordingly, one obtains a spectral sequence whose \(E^1\) page is isomorphic to \(B^{Kh}\) and whose \(E^\infty\) page is isomorphic to \(B^{HF}\).

**Remark 5.2.** The observant reader will at this point notice that the spectral sequence described in the statement of Theorem 5.1 must be somewhat unusual, since \(B^{HF}\) is not a dg algebra but an algebra; hence, the induced differential on the associated graded page is necessarily trivial and the associated spectral sequence on \(F\)-vector spaces collapses immediately. This should perhaps not be surprising, as we have \(\dim \left(\beta_j^{Kh}\right) = \dim \left(\beta_j^{HF}\right)\) for each \(i, j \in \{0, \ldots, m\}\). On the other hand, \(B^{Kh}\) and \(B^{HF}\) are not isomorphic as algebras.
The filtration serves only to alter the multiplicative structure on the underlying algebra and not to change the dimensions of the underlying $F$–vector spaces.

We pave the way for a proof of Theorem 5.1 by focusing first on a “toy model” given by the following two lemmas. Though not logically necessary for the proof of Theorem 5.1, we include them in order to motivate the definition of the filtration yielding the spectral sequence from $B^{Kh}$ and $B^{HF}$.

**Lemma 5.3.** There exists a filtered differential algebra, $C$, whose associated graded homology algebra is isomorphic to $H^*(S^1)$ and whose total homology algebra is isomorphic to $H^*(S^0)$. Furthermore, the associated graded complex and the total complex of $C$ are formal $A_\infty$ algebras.

**Proof.** We construct $C$ using a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$–equivariant cochain complex for $H^*(S^1)$. Specifically, identify $S^1$ with the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$ and give it the structure of a simplicial complex by placing two 0–simplices labeled $a$ and $b$ at $-1$ and 1, respectively, and two 1–simplices labeled $A$ and $B$ along the arcs $\{e^{i\theta}|\theta \in [\pi, 0]\}$ and $\{e^{i\theta}|\theta \in [-\pi, 0]\}$, respectively, as in Figure 12. Let $a^*$ (resp. $b^*$, $A^*$, $B^*$) represent the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ cochain that assigns 1 to $a$ (resp., $b$, $A$, $B$) and 0 to all other simplices in the basis.

The filtered differential algebra, $C$, is generated by $a^*$, $b^*$, $A^*$, and $B^*$ with multiplication given by the cup product on cochains (cf. [15]):

$$
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
\cup & a^* & b^* & A^* & B^* \\
\hline
a^* & 0 & A^* & 0 & 0 \\
b^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
A^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
B^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
$$

There are two commuting differentials, $\delta$ and $\partial_\tau$, on $C$, giving $C$ the structure of a differential algebra:

- $\delta$ is the standard coboundary map on the simplicial cochain complex (hence satisfies the Leibniz rule with respect to the cup product multiplication), and
- $\partial_\tau = 1 + \tau$, where $\tau$ is the involution on the cochain complex induced by complex conjugation on $C$. One easily checks that $\partial_\tau$ satisfies the Leibniz rule with respect to the cup product multiplication.

We have the following two-step filtration $\mathcal{F}_{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1$:

$$
0 \subseteq \ker(\partial_\tau) \subseteq \mathcal{C}
$$

\[\text{Figure 12. A } \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\text{–equivariant chain complex for } S^1.\]
on \((\mathcal{C}, \delta + \partial_\tau)\). This gives \(\mathcal{C}\) the structure of a filtered algebra, since \(\mathcal{F}_i \cdot \mathcal{F}_j \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{i+j}\) for all \(i, j\). Furthermore, the associated graded complex is \((\mathcal{C}, \delta)\), with homology \(H^*(S^1)\) and the homology of the total complex \((\mathcal{C}, \delta + \partial_\tau)\) is the cohomology of the fixed point set of \(\tau\), i.e., \(H^*(S^0)\).

We now use Proposition 2.5 to compute the \(A_\infty\) structure on the associated graded complex of \(\mathcal{C}\), defining maps \(\iota: H^*(S^1) \to (\mathcal{C}, \delta)\), \(p: (\mathcal{C}, \delta) \to H^*(S^1)\) and \(h: (\mathcal{C}, \delta) \to (\mathcal{C}, \delta)\) satisfying the conditions in Equation 1.

Let \(1\) denote the generator of \(H^0(S^1)\) and \(x\) denote the generator of \(H^1(S^1)\). Then we define

\[
\iota(1) := a^* + b^*
\]

\[
\iota(x) := A^*,
\]

\[
p(a^*) := 1
\]

\[
p(A^*) = p(B^*) := x
\]

\[
p(b^*) := 0,
\]

and

\[
h(B^*) := b^*
\]

\[
h(a^*) = h(b^*) = h(A^*) := 0
\]

An application of Lemma 2.24 then implies that the associated graded algebra is formal.

We proceed similarly for \((\mathcal{C}, \delta + \partial_\tau)\). Let \(\rho, \sigma\) denote the two generators of \(H^*(S^0)\) corresponding to the two connected components of \(S^0\). We define:

\[
\iota(\rho) := a^* + A^*
\]

\[
\iota(\sigma) := b^* + A^*,
\]

\[
p(a^*) := \rho
\]

\[
p(b^*) := \sigma,
\]

\[
p(A^*) = p(B^*) := 0
\]

and

\[
h(B^*) := A^*
\]

\[
h(a^*) = h(b^*) = h(A^*) := 0,
\]

Once again, an application of Lemma 2.24 implies that the total algebra of \(\mathcal{C}\) is formal. □

As noted in the proof of Lemma 5.3, we have simple descriptions of \(H^*(S^1)\) and \(H^*(S^0)\) as \(F\)-algebras:

\[H^*(S^1) \cong F[x]/x^2\]

and

\[H^*(S^0) := \text{Span}_F \langle \rho, \sigma \rangle,\]

\[\text{The only non-trivial check that must be performed is that } \mathcal{F}_0 \cdot \mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0, \text{ but this follows from the fact that } \partial_\tau \text{ satisfies the Leibniz rule.}\]
with multiplication given by

\[ m_2(\rho \otimes \sigma) = \rho \]
\[ m_2(\sigma \otimes \sigma) = \sigma \]
\[ m_2(\rho \otimes \sigma) = m_2(\sigma \otimes \rho) = 0. \]

Furthermore, the filtration on the filtered differential algebra \( \mathcal{C} \) defined in the proof of Lemma 5.3 induces a filtration on \( H^*(S^0) \). Accordingly, we have:

**Lemma 5.4.** Consider the following filtration, \( \mathcal{F}_{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \), on \( H^*(S^0) \):

\[ 0 \subseteq \text{Span}_F(\rho + \sigma) \subseteq H^*(S^0). \]

With respect to this filtration, \( H^*(S^0) \) is a well-defined filtered (differential) algebra with associated graded algebra isomorphic to \( H^*(S^1) \).

**Proof.** The claim follows immediately from the observation that the \( A_\infty \) quasi-isomorphism \( \iota : H^*(S^0) \to \mathcal{C} \) guaranteed by Lemma 2.24 is filtered, hence induces a filtered \( A_\infty \) quasi-isomorphism.

However, we find it instructive to give a more direct proof.

First, \( H^*(S^0) \) is easily seen to be a well-defined filtered \( (A_\infty) \) algebra (Definition 2.15) with respect to the above choice of filtration. The only non-trivial check that must be performed is that \( m_2((\rho + \sigma) \otimes (\rho + \sigma)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0 \), which follows since \( 1 := \rho + \sigma \) is the identity element of \( H^*(S^0) \). Recalling that the multiplication on the associated graded is given by

\[ m_2 : \mathcal{F}_r/\mathcal{F}_{r-1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_s/\mathcal{F}_{s-1} \to \mathcal{F}_{r+s}/\mathcal{F}_{r+s-1}, \]

we see immediately that 1 is also the multiplicative identity in \( \text{gr}(H^*(S^0)) \), since it lies in filtration level 0.

The underlying \( F \)-vector space of the associated graded algebra \( \text{gr}(H^*(S^0)) \) can be described by:

\[ \mathcal{F}_n/\mathcal{F}_{n-1} := \begin{cases} \text{Span}_F(1) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \text{Span}_F(\rho) & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

Furthermore,

\[ m_2(\rho \otimes \rho) = \rho = 0 \in \mathcal{F}_2/\mathcal{F}_1. \]

Hence, \( \text{gr}(H^*(S^0)) \) is isomorphic to \( H^*(S^1) \), by identifying \( 1, \rho \in \text{gr}(H^*(S^0)) \) with \( 1, x \in H^*(S^1) \). \( \square \)

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 5.1.

**Proof of Theorem 5.1.** Recalling (see Remark 4.1) that \( B^{HF} \) is isomorphic to the algebra of lower triangular \((m+1) \times (m+1)\) matrices over \( H^*(S^0) \) with only 0’s and 1’s on the diagonal, we define the desired filtration, \( \mathcal{F}_{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \), on \( B^{HF} \) as follows:

\[ 0 \subseteq \{ M \in B^{HF} \mid \phi_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\} \forall i > j \} \subseteq B^{HF} \]

We now claim that the associated graded algebra, \( \text{gr}(B^{HF}) \), is isomorphic to \( B^{Kh} \). To see this, note that

\[ \mathcal{F}_n/\mathcal{F}_{n-1} := \begin{cases} \{ M \in B^{HF} \mid \phi_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\} \forall i > j \} & \text{when } n = 0, \\ \{ M \in B^{HF} \mid \phi_{i,j} \in \{0, \rho\} \forall i > j, \text{ and } d_k = 0 \forall k \} & \text{when } n = 1, \text{ and } \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

In particular, \( \text{gr}(B^{HF}) \) is isomorphic to the algebra of \((m+1) \times (m+1)\) lower triangular matrices over \( \text{gr}(H^*(S^0)) \) with only 0’s and 1’s on the diagonal, where the filtration on
$H^*(S^0)$ is the one described in Lemma 5.4. Hence, Lemma 5.4 tells us that $\text{gr}(B^{HF})$ is isomorphic to $B^{Kh}$ as an $F$–algebra, as desired. □

6. A spectral sequence from the Khovanov-Seidel to the bordered Floer bimodules

In analogy to Theorem 5.1, we prove the following theorem relating the Hom modules described in Section 3 to the bordered Floer modules described in Section 4.

Recall that $\tilde{Q}$ is the basis (of $\partial$–admissible bigraded curves in normal form) pictured in Figure 5.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\sigma \in B_{m+1}$ be a braid, $M^{Kh}_\sigma$ the bimodule associated to the pair $(\tilde{Q}, \sigma)$ in Section 3 and $M^{HF}_\sigma$ the bordered Floer bimodule associated to the pair $(Q, \sigma)$ in Section 4. There exists a filtration on $M^{HF}_\sigma$ whose associated graded bimodule is isomorphic (as an ungraded $A_\infty$ module over $B^{Kh}$) to $M^{Kh}_\sigma$. Accordingly, one obtains a spectral sequence whose $E^1$ page is isomorphic to $M^{Kh}_\sigma$ and whose $E^\infty$ page is isomorphic to $M^{HF}_\sigma$.

Note that Theorem 5.1 is Theorem 6.1 in the special case $\sigma = \text{Id}$. The proof of Theorem 6.1 proceeds in two steps. We begin by giving an explicit construction of the filtration in the special case where $\sigma$ is one of the elementary Artin braid generators, $\{\sigma^\pm_k | k = 1, \ldots, m\}$ (Proposition 6.2). Then in the general case, $\sigma = \sigma^\pm_{k_1} \cdots \sigma^\pm_{k_n}$, we explain how to construct a filtration and appropriate spectral sequence on the $A_\infty$ module formed as the $A_\infty$ tensor product $M^{HF}_{\sigma^\pm_{k_1}} \otimes_{B^{HF}} \cdots \otimes_{B^{HF}} M^{HF}_{\sigma^\pm_{k_n}}$.

Proposition 6.2. Let $\sigma^\pm_k \in B_{m+1}$ be an elementary Artin braid generator, $M^{Kh}_{\sigma^\pm_k}$ the bi-module associated to the pair $(\tilde{Q}, \sigma^\pm_k)$ in Section 3 and $M^{HF}_{\sigma^\pm_k}$ the bordered-Floer bi-module associated to the pair $(Q, \sigma^\pm_k)$ in Section 4. There exists a filtration on $M^{HF}_{\sigma^\pm_k}$ whose associated graded bi-module is isomorphic (as an ungraded $A_\infty$ bimodule over $B^{Kh}$) to $M^{Kh}_{\sigma^\pm_k}$. Accordingly, one obtains a spectral sequence whose $E^1$ page is isomorphic to $M^{Kh}_{\sigma^\pm_k}$ and whose $E^\infty$ page is isomorphic to $M^{HF}_{\sigma^\pm_k}$.

Proof of Proposition 6.2. Guided by the models $M^{Kh}_{\sigma^\pm_k}$ and $M^{HF}_{\sigma^\pm_k}$ constructed in Sections 3 and 4, we turn now to constructing filtrations on the filtered bi-modules $M^{HF}_{\sigma^\pm_k}$ (over the filtered algebra $B^{HF}$) with the desired properties.

We begin by defining, for each $k \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$, filtrations on $P^{HF}_k$ and $kP^{HF}$. Since:

1. we have already defined (Theorem 5.1) a filtration on $B^{HF}$,
2. the tensor product of two filtered $A_\infty$ modules inherits the structure of a filtered $A_\infty$ module,
3. the mapping cone of two filtered $A_\infty$ modules inherits the structure of a filtered $A_\infty$ module, and
4. we have $M^{HF}_{\sigma^\pm_k} := MC (\beta^{HF}_k : (P^{HF}_k \otimes_k P^{HF}) \to B^{HF})$

and

$M^{HF}_{\sigma^\pm_k} := MC (\gamma^{HF}_k : B^{HF} \to (P^{HF}_k \otimes_k P^{HF})\{-1\})$, 

...
this will induce a filtration on each $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma_k}^{HF}$, as desired.

Recalling that $P_{k}^{HF} := \text{Span}_{F}(u^*, v^*)$ (resp., $k^{PHF} := \text{Span}_{F}(u, v)$), we define the filtration, $\mathcal{F}_{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{0} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{1}$, on $P_{k}^{HF}$ to be $0 \subseteq \text{Span}(v^*) \subseteq P_{k}^{HF}$ (resp., on $k^{PHF}$ to be $0 \subseteq \text{Span}(u) \subseteq k^{PHF}$).

Verification that $\beta_{k}^{HF}$ and $\gamma_{k}^{HF}$ are filtered $A_{\infty}$ morphisms with respect this choice of filtration is a straightforward check of a small number of cases, and is left to the reader.

We now must show that the associated graded (homology) of $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma_k}^{HF}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma_k}^{Kh}$ as a $(gr(B^{HF}) = B^{Kh})$-bimodule.

Since we have already shown (in the proof of Theorem 5.1) that the multiplication on $gr(B^{HF})$ matches the multiplication on $B^{Kh}$, all that remains to show is

1. that the multiplication of $gr(B^{HF})$ on $gr(P_{k}^{HF} \otimes k^{PHF})$ matches the multiplication of $B^{Kh}$ on $P_{k}^{Kh} \otimes k^{PHF}$ and

2. that the maps induced by $\gamma_{k}^{HF}$ and $\beta_{k}^{HF}$ on $gr(B^{HF})$ and $gr(P_{k}^{HF} \otimes k^{PHF})$ match the maps $\gamma_{k}^{Kh}$ and $\beta_{k}^{Kh}$.

Seeing that the multiplication of $gr(B^{HF})$ on $gr(P_{k}^{HF} \otimes k^{PHF})$ matches the multiplication of $B^{Kh}$ on $P_{k}^{Kh} \otimes k^{PHF}$ is a simple check of a small number of cases, bearing in mind that under the isomorphism $gr(B^{HF}) \leftrightarrow B^{Kh}$, we have the identification $i_{\rho_{k}} \leftrightarrow i_{x_{k}}$.

The map induced by $\gamma_{k}^{HF}$ on $gr(B^{HF})$ is quickly seen to match the map $\gamma_{k}^{Kh}$, since $\gamma_{k}^{HF}$ is a filtered morphism with no higher terms, and the descriptions of $\gamma_{k}^{Kh}$ (Proposition 3.18) and $\gamma_{k}^{HF}$ (Lemma 4.3) are identical.

Verifying that the map induced by $\beta_{k}^{HF}$ on $gr(P_{k}^{HF} \otimes k^{PHF})$ matches the map $\beta_{k}^{Kh}$ is a bit more involved but, again, requires only a handful of checks. We perform a couple here, leaving the rest to the reader.

Lemma 4.3 tells us that when $i \geq k + 1$:

$$(\beta_{k}^{HF})_{(1|1|0)}[i_{\rho_{k}} \otimes (u^* \otimes u)] := i_{\rho_{k}}.$$

But viewed as elements of the associated graded, we have $i_{\rho_{k}} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}/\mathcal{F}_{0}(B^{HF})$ and $u^* \otimes u \in \mathcal{F}_{1}/\mathcal{F}_{0}(P_{k}^{HF} \otimes k^{PHF})$, and thus the induced associated graded map is:

$$(\beta_{k}^{HF})_{(1|1|0)}[i_{\rho_{k}} \otimes (u^* \otimes u)] := i_{\rho_{k}} = 0 \in \mathcal{F}_{2}/\mathcal{F}_{1}(B^{HF}).$$

Under the identification $(i_{\rho_{k}} \in gr(B^{HF})) \leftrightarrow (i_{x_{k}} \in B^{Kh})$, this agrees with Proposition 3.18 which says:

$$(\beta_{k}^{Kh})_{(1|1|0)}[i_{x_{k}} \otimes (u^* \otimes u)] := 0.$$

Lemma 4.3 also tells us that when $j \leq k - 1$:

$$(\beta_{k}^{HF})_{(0|1|1)}[(v^* \otimes u) \otimes k(\rho + \sigma)_{j}] := k-1(\rho + \sigma)_{j}.$$ Since $(v^* \otimes u), k(\rho + \sigma)_{j}$, and $k-1(\rho + \sigma)_{j}$ are all in $\mathcal{F}_{0}/\mathcal{F}_{-1}$, the induced map on the associated graded is still:

$$(\beta_{k}^{HF})_{(0|1|1)}[(v^* \otimes u) \otimes k(\rho + \sigma)_{j}] := k-1(\rho + \sigma)_{j}.$$ Under the identification $(i_{\rho_{k}} := i(\rho + \sigma)_{j} \in gr(B^{HF})) \leftrightarrow i_{\rho_{k}} \in B^{Kh}$, this agrees with Proposition 3.18 which says:

$$(\beta_{k}^{Kh})_{(0|1|1)}[(v^* \otimes u) \otimes k\bar{\rho}]{j} := k-1\bar{\rho}{j}.$$
Proof of Theorem \[6.1\] Now that we have a filtration on the \(A_\infty\) bimodule \(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{HF}\) yielding a spectral sequence from \(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{Kh}\) to \(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{HF}\) for each elementary Artin generator, \(\sigma_k\), we would like to construct a filtered \(A_\infty\) bimodule \(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{HF}\) and corresponding spectral sequence \(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{Kh} \to \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{HF}\) for every \(\sigma \in B_{m+1}\).

We begin with a decomposition \(\sigma = \sigma_{k_1}^\pm \cdots \sigma_{k_n}^\pm\) and define

\[
\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{HF} := \mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_1}^\pm}^{HF} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}^{B_{HF}}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_2}^\pm}^{HF} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{D}^{B_{HF}}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_n}^\pm}^{HF},
\]

which has the structure of a filtered \(A_\infty\) bimodule, by Lemma \[2.18\].

We then check that the associated graded complex of \(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{HF}\) is equivalent to \(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{Kh}\) in \(D_{\infty}(B^{Kh})\), i.e.:

\[
gr\left(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{HF}\right) \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{Kh}
\]

in \(D_{\infty}(B^{Kh})\).

Lemma \[2.21\] tells us that

\[
gr\left(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_1}^\pm}^{HF} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}^{B_{HF}}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{D}^{B_{HF}}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_n}^\pm}^{HF}\right) \simeq \gr\left(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_1}^\pm}^{HF}\right) \otimes \gr\left(\mathcal{D}^{B_{HF}}\right) \cdots \otimes \gr\left(\mathcal{D}^{B_{HF}}\right) \gr\left(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_n}^\pm}^{HF}\right)
\]

as bimodules over \(\gr\left(\mathcal{D}^{B_{HF}}\right)\). Therefore, they are equivalent in \(D_{\infty}(B^{Kh})\), since \(\gr\left(\mathcal{D}^{B_{HF}}\right)\) is isomorphic to \(\mathcal{D}^{Kh}\) (Theorem \[5.1\]). Furthermore, we also know (Proposition \[6.2\]) that

\[
gr\left(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_1}^\pm}^{HF}\right) \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_1}^\pm}^{Kh} \text{ in } D_{\infty}(B^{Kh}),
\]

so we have

\[
gr\left(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{HF}\right) = \gr\left(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_1}^\pm}^{HF} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}^{B_{HF}}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{D}^{B_{HF}}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_n}^\pm}^{HF}\right) \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_1}^\pm}^{Kh} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}^{B_{Kh}}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_2}^\pm}^{Kh} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{D}^{B_{Kh}}} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma_{k_n}^\pm}^{Kh} = \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{Kh},
\]

as desired. \(\square\)
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