

Syracuse University

**SURFACE**

---

Center for Policy Research

Maxwell School of Citizenship and Public  
Affairs

---

2009

## A Note on the Application of EC2SLS and EC3SLS Estimators in Panel Data Models

Badi H. Baltagi

*Syracuse University*, [bbaltagi@maxwell.syr.edu](mailto:bbaltagi@maxwell.syr.edu)

Long Liu

*University of Texas at San Antonio*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://surface.syr.edu/cpr>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Baltagi, Badi H. and Liu, Long, "A Note on the Application of EC2SLS and EC3SLS Estimators in Panel Data Models" (2009). *Center for Policy Research*. 50.

<https://surface.syr.edu/cpr/50>

This Working Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the Maxwell School of Citizenship and Public Affairs at SURFACE. It has been accepted for inclusion in Center for Policy Research by an authorized administrator of SURFACE. For more information, please contact [surface@syr.edu](mailto:surface@syr.edu).

**Center for Policy Research  
Working Paper No. 116**

**A NOTE ON THE APPLICATION OF  
EC2SLS AND EC3SLS ESTIMATORS  
IN PANEL DATA MODELS**

**Badi H. Baltagi and Long Liu**

**Center for Policy Research  
Maxwell School of Citizenship and Public Affairs  
Syracuse University  
426 Eggers Hall  
Syracuse, New York 13244-1020  
(315) 443-3114 | Fax (315) 443-1081  
e-mail: ctrpol@syr.edu**

**July 2009**

**\$5.00**

Up-to-date information about CPR's research projects and other activities is available from our World Wide Web site at [www-cpr.maxwell.syr.edu](http://www-cpr.maxwell.syr.edu). All recent working papers and Policy Briefs can be read and/or printed from there as well.

# CENTER FOR POLICY RESEARCH – Summer 2009

**Christine L. Himes, Director**  
**Maxwell Professor of Sociology**

## Associate Directors

Margaret Austin  
Associate Director  
Budget and Administration

Douglas Wolf  
Gerald B. Cramer Professor of Aging Studies  
Associate Director, Aging Studies Program

John Yinger  
Professor of Economics and Public Administration  
Associate Director, Metropolitan Studies Program

## SENIOR RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Badi Baltagi..... Economics	Len Lopoo ..... Public Administration
Robert Bifulco..... Public Administration	Amy Lutz..... Sociology
Kalena Cortes..... Education	Jerry Miner ..... Economics
Thomas Dennison ..... Public Administration	Jan Ondrich..... Economics
William Duncombe ..... Public Administration	John Palmer ..... Public Administration
Gary Engelhardt ..... Economics	David Popp..... Public Administration
Deborah Freund ..... Public Administration	Christopher Rohlfs ..... Economics
Madonna Harrington Meyer ..... Sociology	Stuart Rosenthal ..... Economics
William C. Horrace ..... Economics	Ross Rubenstein..... Public Administration
Duke Kao ..... Economics	Perry Singleton..... Economics
Eric Kingson ..... Social Work	Margaret Usdansky ..... Sociology
Sharon Kioko..... Public Administration	Michael Wasylenko ..... Economics
Thomas Kniesner ..... Economics	Jeffrey Weinstein..... Economics
Jeffrey Kubik ..... Economics	Janet Wilmoth ..... Sociology
Andrew London..... Sociology	

## GRADUATE ASSOCIATES

Sonali Ballal ..... Public Administration	John Ligon ..... Public Administration
Jesse Bricker ..... Economics	Allison Marier ..... Economics
Maria Brown..... Social Science	Larry Miller ..... Public Administration
Qianqian Cao ..... Economics	Mukta Mukherjee..... Economics
Il Hwan Chung ..... Public Administration	Phuong Nguyen ..... Public Administration
Qu Feng..... Economics	Wendy Parker ..... Sociology
Katie Fitzpatrick..... Economics	Kerri Raissian..... Public Administration
Virgilio Galdo..... Economics	Shawn Rohlin..... Economics
Jose Gallegos ..... Economics	Amanda Ross ..... Economics
Julie Anna Golebiewski ..... Economics	Jeff Thompson ..... Economics
Nadia Greenhalgh-Stanley ..... Economics	Tre Wentling..... Sociology
Clorise Harvey ..... Public Administration	Coady Wing ..... Public Administration
Becky Lafrancois..... Economics	Ryan Yeung ..... Public Administration
Hee Seung Lee ..... Public Administration	

## STAFF

Kelly Bogart.....Administrative Secretary	Kitty Nasto.....Administrative Secretary
Martha Bonney.....Publications/Events Coordinator	Candi Patterson.....Computer Consultant
Karen Cimilluca.....Office Coordinator	Mary Santy.....Administrative Secretary
Roseann DiMarzo.....Administrative Secretary	

## Abstract

Baltagi and Li (1992) showed that for estimating a single equation in a simultaneous panel data model, EC2SLS has more instruments than G2SLS. Although these extra instruments are redundant in White (1986) terminology, they may yield different estimates and standard errors in empirical studies with finite  $N$  and  $T$ . We illustrate this using the crime data of Cornwell and Trumbull (1994). We show that the standard errors of EC2SLS are smaller than those of G2SLS for this example. In general, we prove that the asymptotic variance of G2SLS differs from that of EC2SLS by a positive semi-definite matrix. Although this difference tends to zero as the sample size tends to infinity, in small samples, this difference may be different from zero and can lead to gains in small sample efficiency. This proof is extended to the system equations 3SLS counterparts.

Corresponding author: Badi H. Baltagi, Center for Policy Research, 426 Eggers Hall, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244-1020; e-mail: [bbaltagi@maxwell.syr.edu](mailto:bbaltagi@maxwell.syr.edu).

Long Liu: Department of Economics, College of Business, University of Texas at San Antonio, One UTSA Circle, TX 78249-0633; e-mail: [long.liu@utsa.edu](mailto:long.liu@utsa.edu).

Keywords: Instrument Variable; Panel Data.

JEL classification: C13

# A Note on the Application of EC2SLS and EC3SLS Estimators in Panel Data Models

Badi H. Baltagi\*, Long Liu†

July 16, 2009

## Abstract

Baltagi and Li (1992) showed that for estimating a single equation in a simultaneous panel data model, EC2SLS has more instruments than G2SLS. Although these extra instruments are redundant in White's (1986) terminology, they may yield different estimates and standard errors in empirical studies with finite  $N$  and  $T$ . We illustrate this using the crime data of Cornwell and Trumbull (1994). We show that the standard errors of EC2SLS are smaller than those of G2SLS for this example. In general, we prove that the asymptotic variance of G2SLS differs from that of EC2SLS by a positive semi-definite matrix. Although this difference tends to zero as the sample size tends to infinity, in small samples, this difference may be different from zero and can lead to gains in small sample efficiency. This proof is extended to the system equations 3SLS counterparts.

Key Words: *Instrument Variable; Panel Data.*

## 1 EC2SLS vs. G2SLS

Consider a panel data regression model with random error component disturbances

$$y_{it} = Z'_{it}\beta + u_{it} \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad t = 1, \dots, T \quad (1)$$

where  $u_{it} = \mu_i + \nu_{it}$ , with  $\mu_i \sim iid(0, \sigma_\mu^2)$ ,  $\nu_{it} \sim iid(0, \sigma_\nu^2)$ , and  $Z'_{it}$  is  $1 \times g$  vector of observations on the explanatory variables which includes endogenous variables.  $X_{it}$  is the set of  $k$  exogenous instruments and the equation is assumed to be identified. We can rewrite (1) in vector form as

$$y = Z\beta + u \quad (2)$$

where  $y$  and  $u$  are  $n \times 1$  vectors,  $Z$  is a  $n \times g$  vector and  $X$  is a  $n \times k$  vector with  $n = NT$ . Balestra and Varadharajan-Krishnakumar (1987) suggested  $\hat{\beta}_{G2SLS} = (Z'^* P_{X^*} Z^*)^{-1} Z'^* P_{X^*} y^*$  as an estimator of  $\beta$  where  $P_{X^*} = X^* (X'^* X^*)^{-1} X'^*$ ,  $X^* = \Omega^{-1/2} X$ ,  $Z^* = \Omega^{-1/2} Z$  and  $y^* = \Omega^{-1/2} y$  with  $\Omega^{-1/2} = \frac{P}{\sigma_1} + \frac{Q}{\sigma_\nu}$  and  $P = I_N \otimes \bar{J}_T$  where  $\bar{J}_T = J_T/T$ ,  $Q = I_{NT} - P$  and  $\sigma_1^2 = T\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_\nu^2$ .  $I_N$  is an identity matrix of dimension  $N$ , and  $\otimes$  denotes Kronecker product.  $J_T$  is a matrix of ones of dimension  $T$ . Baltagi (1981) suggested  $\hat{\beta}_{EC2SLS} = (Z'^* P_A Z^*)^{-1} Z'^* P_A y^*$  as an alternative estimator of  $\beta$  where  $P_A = A(A'A)^{-1}A'$  and  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{X} \\ \bar{X} \end{bmatrix}$  with  $\tilde{X} = QX$  and  $\bar{X} = PX$ . Here,  $y^* = \Omega^{-1/2} y$  and  $Z^* = \Omega^{-1/2} Z$  with  $\Omega^{-1/2} = \frac{P}{\sigma_1} + \frac{Q}{\sigma_\nu}$  and

---

\*Address correspondence to: Badi H. Baltagi, Center for Policy Research, 426 Eggers Hall, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244-1020; e-mail: bbaltagi@maxwell.syr.edu.

†Long Liu: Department of Economics, College of Business, University of Texas at San Antonio, One UTSA Circle, TX 78249-0633; e-mail: long.liu@utsa.edu.

$P = I_N \otimes \bar{J}_T$  where  $\bar{J}_T = J_T/T$ ,  $Q = I_{NT} - P$  and  $\sigma_1^2 = T\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_\nu^2$ .  $I_N$  is an identity matrix of dimension  $N$ , and  $\otimes$  denotes Kronecker product.  $J_T$  is a matrix of ones of dimension  $T$ . Both estimators are consistent and Baltagi and Li (1992) showed that they have the same limiting distribution. To compare the two estimators, Baltagi and Li (1992) explained that  $A = [\tilde{X}, \bar{X}]$  spans the set of instruments used by Balestra and Varadharajan-Krishnakumar (1987), i.e.  $X^* = [\tilde{X}/\sigma_\nu + \bar{X}/\sigma_1]$ . In fact, Baltagi and Li (1992) illustrated that  $A = [\tilde{X}, \bar{X}]$ ,  $H = [X^*, \tilde{X}]$  and  $G = [X^*, \bar{X}]$  yield the same projection matrix  $P_A$ , and therefore the same 2SLS estimator given by EC2SLS. Using the results in White (1986), the optimal instrument set is  $X^*$ . Therefore, in White's terminology,  $\tilde{X}$  in  $H$  and  $\bar{X}$  in  $G$  are *redundant* with respect to  $X^*$ . Redundant instruments can be interpreted loosely as additional sets of instruments that do not yield extra gains in asymptotic efficiency; see White (1986) for the strict definition and Baltagi and Li (1992) for the proof in this context. In this note, we show that the asymptotic variance of G2SLS differs from that of EC2SLS by a positive semi-definite matrix. Although this difference tends to zero as the sample size tends to infinity, in small samples, this difference may be different from zero and can lead to gains in small sample efficiency. This is illustrated with an empirical example using the crime data of Cornwell and Trumbull (1994). The intuition comes from the fact that extra instruments may yield lower standard errors in small samples.

We first show:

**Lemma 1**  $P_A P_{X^*} = P_{X^*}$

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\tilde{X}} P_{X^*} &= \tilde{X} (\tilde{X}' \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{X}' X^* (X^{*'} X^*)^{-1} X^{*'} \\
&= \tilde{X} (\tilde{X}' \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{X}' \left( \frac{\tilde{X}}{\sigma_\nu} + \frac{\bar{X}}{\sigma_1} \right) (X^{*'} X^*)^{-1} X^{*'} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_\nu} \tilde{X} (\tilde{X}' \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{X}' \tilde{X} (X^{*'} X^*)^{-1} X^{*'} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_\nu} \tilde{X} (X^{*'} X^*)^{-1} X^{*'}
\end{aligned}$$

using the fact that  $\tilde{X}' \bar{X} = 0$ , since  $QP = 0$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\bar{X}} P_{X^*} &= \bar{X} (\bar{X}' \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}' X^* (X^{*'} X^*)^{-1} X^{*'} \\
&= \bar{X} (\bar{X}' \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}' \left( \frac{\tilde{X}}{\sigma_\nu} + \frac{\bar{X}}{\sigma_1} \right) (X^{*'} X^*)^{-1} X^{*'} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \bar{X} (\bar{X}' \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}' \bar{X} (X^{*'} X^*)^{-1} X^{*'} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \bar{X} (X^{*'} X^*)^{-1} X^{*'}
\end{aligned}$$

The summation of these two equations gives us  $(P_{\tilde{X}} + P_{\bar{X}}) P_{X^*} = \frac{1}{\sigma_\nu} \tilde{X} (X^{*'} X^*)^{-1} X^{*'} + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \bar{X} (X^{*'} X^*)^{-1} X^{*'} = X^* (X^{*'} X^*)^{-1} X^{*'} = P_{X^*}$ . Using the result that  $P_A = P_{\tilde{X}} + P_{\bar{X}}$ , see Baltagi (2008, p.123), we get  $P_A P_{X^*} = P_{X^*}$ . ■

**Theorem 1**  $avar(\sqrt{n}\hat{\beta}_{G2SLS}) - avar(\sqrt{n}\hat{\beta}_{EC2SLS})$  is positive semi-definite, where  $avar$  denotes asymptotic variance and  $n = NT$ .

**Proof.** It is well known, Baltagi (2008, pp. 121-123), that the asymptotic variance of  $\sqrt{n}\widehat{\beta}_{G2SLS}$  is given by  $avar\left(\sqrt{n}\widehat{\beta}_{G2SLS}\right) = p \lim \left(\frac{Z^{*'}P_{X^*}Z^*}{n}\right)^{-1}$ , and that of  $\sqrt{n}\widehat{\beta}_{EC2SLS}$  is given by  $avar\left(\sqrt{n}\widehat{\beta}_{EC2SLS}\right) = p \lim \left(\frac{Z^{*'}P_A Z^*}{n}\right)^{-1}$ . Therefore,  $avar\left(\sqrt{n}\widehat{\beta}_{G2SLS}\right) - avar\left(\sqrt{n}\widehat{\beta}_{EC2SLS}\right)$  is positive semi-definite if  $\left(\frac{Z^{*'}P_A Z^*}{n}\right) - \left(\frac{Z^{*'}P_{X^*}Z^*}{n}\right)$  is positive semi-definite. Equivalently, if  $\left(\frac{Z^{*'}(P_A - P_{X^*})Z^*}{n}\right)$  is positive semi-definite. The latter holds if  $P_A - P_{X^*}$  is idempotent, which follows from lemma 1. In fact,  $(P_A - P_{X^*})^2 = P_A + P_{X^*} - P_A P_{X^*} - P_{X^*} P_A = P_A - P_{X^*}$ . ■

**Remark 1** When  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the term  $\left(\frac{Z^{*'}(P_A - P_{X^*})Z^*}{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$  for  $Z^{*'}(P_A - P_{X^*})Z^*$  a finite quantity. Consequently,  $\widehat{\beta}_{G2SLS}$  and  $\widehat{\beta}_{EC2SLS}$  have the same asymptotic variance. However, when  $n$  is not large enough, the term  $\left(\frac{Z^{*'}(P_A - P_{X^*})Z^*}{n}\right)$  may not converge to zero. This implies that the EC2SLS estimator may be more efficient than the G2SLS estimator in finite samples.<sup>1</sup>

## 2 EC3SLS vs. E3SLS

These results can be extended to their 3SLS counterparts, see Baltagi (2008). Let us consider a system of  $M$  identified equations:

$$y = Z\delta + u \quad (3)$$

where  $y' = (y'_1, \dots, y'_M)$ ,  $Z = \text{diag}[Z_j]$ ,  $\delta' = (\delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_M)$  and  $u' = (u'_1, \dots, u'_M)$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, M$ . Let  $X$  be the matrix of exogenous instruments. Baltagi (1981) suggested  $\widehat{\delta}_{EC3SLS} = (Z^{*'}P_B Z^*)^{-1} Z^{*'}P_B y^*$  as an estimator of  $\delta$ , where  $B = [I_M \otimes \tilde{X}, I_M \otimes \bar{X}]$ ,  $\tilde{X} = QX$ ,  $\bar{X} = PX$ ,  $y^* = \Omega^{-1/2}y$ ,  $Z^* = \Omega^{-1/2}Z$ , with

$$\Omega^{-1/2} = \Sigma_1^{-1/2} \otimes P + \Sigma_\nu^{-1/2} \otimes Q \quad (4)$$

In this case,  $\mu \sim iid(0, \Sigma_\mu \otimes I_N)$ ,  $\nu \sim iid(0, \Sigma_\nu \otimes I_{NT})$  and  $\Sigma_1 = T\Sigma_\mu + \Sigma_\nu$ . White's (1986) optimal set of instruments  $C^* = \Omega^{-1/2}(I_M \otimes X) = \Sigma_\nu^{-1/2} \otimes \tilde{X} + \Sigma_1^{-1/2} \otimes \bar{X}$  yields  $\widehat{\delta}_{E3SLS} = (Z^{*'}P_{C^*}Z^*)^{-1} Z^{*'}P_{C^*}y^*$ . Baltagi and Li (1992) showed that the set of instruments  $B = [I_M \otimes \tilde{X}, I_M \otimes \bar{X}]$  used by Baltagi (1981) spans the set of instruments  $C^* = [\Sigma_\nu^{-1/2} \otimes \tilde{X} + \Sigma_1^{-1/2} \otimes \bar{X}]$  needed for E3SLS. In what follows we show that the asymptotic variance of E3SLS differs from that of EC3SLS by a positive semi-definite matrix.

**Lemma 2**  $P_B = P_{I_M \otimes \tilde{X}} + P_{I_M \otimes \bar{X}}$ .

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} B &= (I_M \otimes A) \text{ where } A = [\tilde{X}, \bar{X}], \text{ so that} \\ P_B &= (I_M \otimes P_A) = I_M \otimes (P_{\tilde{X}} + P_{\bar{X}}) = P_{I_M \otimes \tilde{X}} + P_{I_M \otimes \bar{X}} \end{aligned}$$

■

**Lemma 3**  $P_B P_{C^*} = P_{C^*}$ .

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} P_{I_M \otimes \tilde{X}} P_{C^*} &= (I_M \otimes P_{\tilde{X}}) \left( \Sigma_\nu^{-1/2} \otimes \tilde{X} + \Sigma_1^{-1/2} \otimes \bar{X} \right) (C^{*'} C^*)^{-1} C^{*'} \\ &= \left( \Sigma_\nu^{-1/2} \otimes \tilde{X} \right) (C^{*'} C^*)^{-1} C^{*'} \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We thank a referee for pointing this out.

using the fact that  $P_{\bar{X}}\bar{X} = 0$ , since  $QP = 0$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{I_M \otimes \bar{X}} P_{C^*} &= (I_M \otimes P_{\bar{X}}) \left( \Sigma_\nu^{-1/2} \otimes \tilde{X} + \Sigma_1^{-1/2} \otimes \bar{X} \right) (C^{*'} C^*)^{-1} C^{*'} \\ &= \left( \Sigma_1^{-1/2} \otimes \bar{X} \right) (C^{*'} C^*)^{-1} C^{*'} \end{aligned}$$

The summation of these two equations gives us  $(P_{I_M \otimes \tilde{X}} + P_{I_M \otimes \bar{X}}) P_{C^*} = \left( \Sigma_\nu^{-1/2} \otimes \tilde{X} \right) (C^{*'} C^*)^{-1} C^{*'} + \left( \Sigma_1^{-1/2} \otimes \bar{X} \right) (C^{*'} C^*)^{-1} C^{*'} = P_{C^*}$ .

Using the results in Lemma 2,  $P_B = P_{I_M \otimes \tilde{X}} + P_{I_M \otimes \bar{X}}$ , we get  $P_B P_{C^*} = P_{C^*}$ . ■

**Theorem 2**  $avar \left( \sqrt{n} \hat{\delta}_{E3SLS} \right) - avar \left( \sqrt{n} \hat{\delta}_{EC3SLS} \right)$  is positive semi-definite.

**Proof.** It is well known, see Baltagi (2008, pp. 130-132), that the asymptotic variance of  $\sqrt{n} \hat{\delta}_{E3SLS}$  is given by  $avar \left( \sqrt{n} \hat{\delta}_{E3SLS} \right) = p \lim \left( \frac{Z^{*'} P_{C^*} Z^*}{n} \right)^{-1}$ , and that of  $\sqrt{n} \hat{\delta}_{EC3SLS}$  is given by  $avar \left( \sqrt{n} \hat{\delta}_{EC3SLS} \right) = p \lim \left( \frac{Z^{*'} P_B Z^*}{n} \right)^{-1}$ . Therefore,  $avar \left( \sqrt{n} \hat{\delta}_{E3SLS} \right) - avar \left( \sqrt{n} \hat{\delta}_{EC3SLS} \right)$  is positive semi-definite if  $\left( \frac{Z^{*'} P_B Z^*}{n} \right) - \left( \frac{Z^{*'} P_{C^*} Z^*}{n} \right)$  is positive semi-definite. Equivalently, if  $\left( \frac{Z^{*'} (P_B - P_{C^*}) Z^*}{n} \right)$  is positive semi-definite. The latter holds if  $P_B - P_{C^*}$  is idempotent, which follows from lemma 3. In fact,  $(P_B - P_{C^*})^2 = P_B + P_{C^*} - P_B P_{C^*} - P_{C^*} P_B = P_B - P_{C^*}$ . ■

### 3 Empirical Example:

Cornwell and Trumbull (1994), estimated an economic model of crime using panel data on 90 counties in North Carolina over the period 1981-1987. The empirical model relates the crime rate (which is an FBI index measuring the number of crimes divided by the county population) to a set of explanatory variables which include deterrent variables as well as variables measuring returns to legal opportunities. All variables are in logs except for the regional and time dummies. The explanatory variables consist of the probability of arrest (which is measured by the ratio of arrests to offenses), probability of conviction given arrest (which is measured by the ratio of convictions to arrests), probability of a prison sentence given a conviction (measured by the proportion of total convictions resulting in prison sentences), average prison sentence in days as a proxy for sanction severity, the number of police per capita as a measure of the county's ability to detect crime, the population density which is the county population divided by county land area, a dummy variable indicating whether the county is in the SMSA with population larger than 50,000, percent minority, which is the proportion of the county's population that is minority or non-white, percent young male which is the proportion of the county's population that is male and between the ages of 15 and 24, regional dummies for western and central counties, opportunities in the legal sector are captured by the average weekly wage in the county by industry. These industries are: construction, transportation, utilities and communication, wholesale and retail trade, finance, insurance and real estate, services, manufacturing, and federal, state and local government. For a replication of this study, see Baltagi (2006).

Table 1 reports the G2SLS and EC2SLS estimators assuming police per capita and the probability of arrest to be endogenous and instrumenting these with tax rate per capita and a measure of face to face crimes. These are the instruments used by Cornwell and Trumbull (1994) using fixed effects 2SLS. For EC2SLS, all the deterrent variables are negative and significant. The sentence severity variable is insignificant and police per capita is positive and significant. Manufacturing wage is negative and significant and percent minority is positive and significant. G2SLS gives basically the same results as EC2SLS but the standard errors are



higher. This is due to the fact that EC2SLS uses more instruments than G2SLS. For the probability of arrest, the standard error is 0.221 for G2SLS as compared to 0.097 for EC2SLS with the consequence of overturning the insignificance of this coefficient at the 5% level. The reduction in standard errors is more than 50% in this case. A Hausman test based on the difference between fixed effects 2SLS and random effects 2SLS yields a Hausman statistic of 19.50 for EC2SLS and 16.45 for G2SLS, both of which are asymptotically distributed as  $\chi^2(22)$  with p-values of 0.614 and 0.793, respectively. These do not reject the null hypothesis that EC2SLS and G2SLS yield consistent estimators.

**Acknowledgment:** The authors would like to gratefully acknowledge a referee for helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

- Balestra, P. and J. Varadharajan-Krishnakumar, 1987, Full information estimations of a system of simultaneous equations with error components structure, *Econometric Theory* 3, 223–246.
- Baltagi, B.H., 1981, Simultaneous equations with error components, *Journal of Econometrics* 17, 189–200.
- Baltagi, B.H., 2008, *Econometric Analysis of Panel Data* (John Wiley, Chichester).
- Baltagi, B.H., 2006, Estimating An Economic Model of Crime Using Panel Data from North Carolina, *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 21, 543-547.
- Baltagi, B.H. and Q. Li, 1992, A note on the estimation of simultaneous equations with error components, *Econometric Theory* 8, 113–119.
- Cornwell, C. and W.N. Trumbull, 1994, Estimating the economic model of crime with panel data, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 76, 360–366.
- White, H., 1986, Instrumental variables analogs of generalized least squares estimators, in R.S. Mariano, ed., *Advances in Statistical Analysis and Statistical Computing*, Vol. 1 (JAI Press, New York), 173–277.

Table 1: EC2SLS and G2SLS Estimates for Crime in North Carolina, 1981-1987 (standard errors in parentheses)

<b>lcrmrtc</b>	<b>G2SLS</b>	<b>EC2SLS</b>
lprbarr	-0.414 (0.221)	-0.413 (0.097)
lprbconv	-0.343 (0.132)	-0.323 (0.054)
lprbpris	-0.190 (0.073)	-0.186 (0.042)
lavgsen	-0.006 (0.029)	-0.010 (0.027)
lpolpc	0.505 (0.228)	0.435 (0.090)
ldensity	0.434 (0.071)	0.429 (0.055)
lwcon	-0.004 (0.041)	-0.007 (0.040)
lwtuc	0.044 (0.022)	0.045 (0.020)
lwtrd	-0.009 (0.042)	-0.008 (0.041)
lwfir	-0.004 (0.029)	-0.004 (0.029)
lwser	0.011 (0.022)	0.006 (0.020)
lwmfg	-0.202 (0.084)	-0.204 (0.080)
lwfed	-0.213 (0.215)	-0.164 (0.159)
lwsta	-0.060 (0.120)	-0.054 (0.106)
lwloc	0.184 (0.140)	0.163 (0.120)
lpctmle	-0.146 (0.227)	-0.108 (0.140)
lpctmin	0.195 (0.046)	0.189 (0.041)
west	-0.228 (0.101)	-0.227 (0.100)
central	-0.199 (0.061)	-0.194 (0.060)
urban	-0.260 (0.150)	-0.225 (0.116)
_cons	-1.977 (4.001)	-0.954 (1.284)

*Note:* Time dummies were included. The number of observations is 630. Hausman's test for (*FE2SLS* – *EC2SLS*) is  $\chi^2(22) = 19.5$  with a p-value of 0.614. Hausman's test for (*FE2SLS* – *G2SLS*) is  $\chi^2(22) = 16.5$  with a p-value of 0.793.