Syracuse University **[SURFACE](https://surface.syr.edu/)**

[Mathematics - Faculty Scholarship](https://surface.syr.edu/mat) [Mathematics](https://surface.syr.edu/math) Mathematics

6-27-2010

Hopf Differentials and Smoothing Sobolev Homeomorphisms

Tadeusz Iwaniec Syracuse University and University of Helsinki

Leonid V. Kovalev Syracuse University

Jani Onninen Syracuse University

Follow this and additional works at: [https://surface.syr.edu/mat](https://surface.syr.edu/mat?utm_source=surface.syr.edu%2Fmat%2F64&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

C Part of the [Mathematics Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/174?utm_source=surface.syr.edu%2Fmat%2F64&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Iwaniec, Tadeusz; Kovalev, Leonid V.; and Onninen, Jani, "Hopf Differentials and Smoothing Sobolev Homeomorphisms" (2010). Mathematics - Faculty Scholarship. 64. [https://surface.syr.edu/mat/64](https://surface.syr.edu/mat/64?utm_source=surface.syr.edu%2Fmat%2F64&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics at SURFACE. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics - Faculty Scholarship by an authorized administrator of SURFACE. For more information, please contact [surface@syr.edu.](mailto:surface@syr.edu)

HOPF DIFFERENTIALS AND SMOOTHING SOBOLEV HOMEOMORPHISMS

TADEUSZ IWANIEC, LEONID V. KOVALEV, AND JANI ONNINEN

Abstract. We prove that planar homeomorphisms can be approximated by diffeomorphisms in the Sobolev space $\mathscr{W}^{1,2}$ and in the Royden algebra. As an application, we show that every discrete and open planar mapping with a holomorphic Hopf differential is harmonic.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is a fundamental property of Sobolev spaces $\mathscr{W}^{1,p}$, $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, that any element can be approximated strongly (i.e., in the norm) by \mathscr{C}^{∞} smooth functions, or by piecewise affine ones. In the context of vector-valued Sobolev functions, that is, mappings in $\mathscr{W}^{1,p}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$, invertibility comes into play. Indeed, the studies of invertible Sobolev mappings are of great importance in nonlinear elasticity [\[2,](#page-20-0) [14,](#page-20-1) [26,](#page-21-0) [35\]](#page-21-1). The following natural question was put forward by John M. Ball.

Question 1.1. [\[4\]](#page-20-2) If $u \in \mathscr{W}^{1,p}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$ is invertible, can u be approximated in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}$ by piecewise affine invertible mappings?

J. Ball attributes this question to L.C. Evans, who was led to it through his investigation of the partial regularity of minimizers [\[13\]](#page-20-3) of neohookean energy functionals [\[3,](#page-20-4) [5,](#page-20-5) [7,](#page-20-6) [33\]](#page-21-2). We provide an affirmative solution of the Ball-Evans problem in the case $p = n = 2$. The most general formulation of our result administers Royden algebras $\mathscr{A}(\Omega)$ and $\mathscr{A}_{\circ}(\Omega)$, see Section [2.](#page-3-0) We write

$$
\mathcal{E}[h] = \mathcal{E}_{\Omega}[h] := ||Dh||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |Dh(z)|^2 \,dz
$$

where $|Dh|$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the differential.

Theorem 1.2 (Approximation by diffeomorphisms). Let $h: \Omega \longrightarrow^{\text{onto}} \Omega^*$ be a homeomorphism of Sobolev class $\mathscr{W}^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega,\Omega^*)$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist a diffeomorphism $H: \Omega \longrightarrow^{\text{onto}} \Omega^*$ such that

Date: June 26, 2010.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46E35; Secondary 30E10, 58E20.

Key words and phrases. Approximation, Sobolev homeomorphisms, Hopf differential, harmonic mappings.

Iwaniec was supported by the NSF grant DMS-0800416.

Kovalev was supported by the NSF grant DMS-0968756.

Onninen was supported by the NSF grant DMS-1001620.

(i) $H - h \in \mathscr{A}_{\circ}(\Omega)$ (ii) $\|H - h\|_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} \leqslant \epsilon$ (iii) $\mathcal{E}[H] \leq \mathcal{E}[h]$.

Part (iii) is nontirivial only in the finite energy case, $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}[h] < \infty$. Let us note that the existence of smooth approximation implies the existence of piecewise-affine approximation, since a diffeomorphism can be triangulated. (In the converse direction, a piecewise-affine mapping can be smoothed in dimensions less than four [\[25\]](#page-21-3), but not in general.) Partial results toward the Ball-Evans problem were obtained in [\[24\]](#page-21-4) (for planar bi-Sobolev mappings that are smooth outside of a finite set) and in $[6]$ (for planar bi-Hölder mappings, with approximation in the Hölder norm). The articles $[4, 32]$ $[4, 32]$ illustrate the difficulty of preserving invertibility in the process of smoothing a Sobolev homeomorphism.

We also give an application of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) to a problem that originated in a series of papers by Eells, Lemaire and Sealey [\[11,](#page-20-8) [12,](#page-20-9) [31\]](#page-21-6). It concerns the nonlinear differential equation

(1.1)
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left(h_z \overline{h_{\bar{z}}} \right) = 0
$$

for mappings defined in a domain in the complex plane C. Naturally, the Sobolev space $\mathscr{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C})$ should be considered as the domain of definition of equation [\(1.1\)](#page-2-0). This places $h_z\overline{h_{\bar{z}}}$ in $\mathscr{L}^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, so the complex Cauchy-Riemann derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$ applies in the sense of distribution. By Weyl's lemma $h_z\overline{h_{\bar{z}}}$ is a holomorphic function.

The expression $Q_h := h_z \overline{h_z} dz \otimes dz$ is known as the Hopf differential of h (named after H. Hopf, who employed a similar device, e.g., in [\[19,](#page-21-7) Chapter VI. It is clear that Q_h is a holomorphic quadratic differential whenever h is harmonic, which is a general fact about energy-stationary mappings between Riemannian manifolds [\[10,](#page-20-10) (10.5)], [\[21\]](#page-21-8) and [\[34\]](#page-21-9). Eells and Lemaire inquired about the possibility of a converse result, e.g., for mappings with finite energy and almost-everywhere positive Jacobian [\[11,](#page-20-8) (2.6)]. In this setting a counterexample was provided by Jost [\[20\]](#page-21-10), who also proved the existence of $\mathscr{W}^{1,2}$ -solutions of [\(1.1\)](#page-2-0) in every homotopy class of mappings between compact Riemann surfaces. A more restricted form of the Eells-Lemaire problem, $[12, (5.11)]$ and $[31]$, imposed the additional assumption that h is a quasiconformal homeomorphism, and was settled by $H\acute{e}$ lein [\[17\]](#page-21-11) in the affirmative. Here we dispose with the quasiconformality condition and treat general planar homeomorphisms of finite energy. Since the inverse of such a homeomorphism need not be in any Sobolev class [\[18\]](#page-21-12), some difficulties are to be expected. They shall be overcome with the aid of our approximation theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0)

Theorem 1.3. Every continuous, discrete and open mapping h of Sobolev class $\mathscr{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C})$ that satisfies equation [\(1.1\)](#page-2-0) is harmonic.

The failure of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-1) for uniform limits of homeomorphisms should be mentioned. This is illustrated by Example [4.1.](#page-12-0)

2. Background

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$, nonempty open connected set. We consider a class $\mathscr{A}(\Omega)$ of uniformly continuous functions $h: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ having finite Dirichlet energy, and furnish it with the norm

$$
||h||_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} = ||h||_{\mathscr{C}(\Omega)} + ||Dh||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} < \infty
$$

 $\mathscr{A}(\Omega)$ is a commutative Banach algebra with the usual multiplication of functions in which $||h_1h_2||_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} \le ||h_1||_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} ||h_2||_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)}$. The closure of $\mathscr{C}_\circ^\infty(\Omega)$ in $\mathscr{A}(\Omega)$ will be denoted by $\mathscr{A}_{\text{o}}(\Omega)$. Suppose, to look at more specific situation, that $\Omega = \mathbb{U}$ is a Jordan domain; that is, a simply connected open set whose boundary $\Gamma = \partial U$ is a closed Jordan curve. By goodness of the Carathéodory extension theorem $[27, p. 18]$, there is a homeomorphism $\varphi: \overline{\mathbb{D}} \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} \overline{\mathbb{U}}$ of the closed unit disk $\overline{\mathbb{D}} = {\xi: |\xi| \leq 1}$ that is conformal in \mathbb{D} . After the change of variable, $z = \varphi(\xi)$, we obtain a function $H(\xi) = h(\varphi(\xi))$ in $\mathscr{A}(\mathbb{D})$. The operation

$$
\mathbf{T}_{\varphi}\colon \mathscr{A}(\mathbb{U})\to \mathscr{A}(\mathbb{D})
$$

so defined is an isometry; $\|\mathbf{T}_{\varphi}h\|_{\mathscr{A}(\mathbb{D})} = \|h\|_{\mathscr{A}(\mathbb{U})}$. Furthermore,

 $\mathbf{T}_{\varphi}: \mathscr{A}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{U}) \to \mathscr{A}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{D})$

Proposition 2.1 (A generalization of Poisson's formula). Let $\mathbb U$ be a Jordan domain. There is (unique) bounded linear operator

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{U}}\colon \mathscr{A}(\mathbb{U})\to \mathscr{A}(\mathbb{U})
$$

such that

$$
\begin{cases} {\bf P}_{\mathbb{U}} - {\bf I}d\colon \mathscr{A}(\mathbb{U}) \to \mathscr{A}_{\diamond}(\mathbb{U}) \\ \Delta \circ {\bf P}_{\mathbb{U}} = 0 \end{cases}
$$

We name P_{U} the *Poisson operator*. The energy of $P_{\text{U}}h$ does not exceed that of h . This fact is known as *Dirichlet's principle*

$$
\int_{\mathbb{U}} |D \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{U}} h|^2 \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{U}} |Dh|^2
$$

The proof of this proposition reduces to the case when $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{D}$, by conformal change of variables. A routine verification of this case is left to the reader. We only indicate that the less familiar property $P_{\mathbb{D}}h - h \in \mathscr{A}_{\text{o}}(\mathbb{D})$, for $h \in \mathscr{A}(\mathbb{D})$, needs to be justified.

Corollary 2.2 (Harmonic replacement). Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C} and $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$ $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \subset \Omega$ a Jordan domain. There exists (unique) bounded linear operator

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{U}}\colon \mathscr{A}(\Omega)\to \mathscr{A}(\Omega)
$$

such that, for every $h \in \mathscr{A}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{cases} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{U}}h=h \qquad on \quad \Omega \setminus \mathbb{U} \\ \Delta \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{U}}h=0 \qquad in \quad \mathbb{U} \end{cases}
$$

The Laplace equation yields $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}[\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{U}}h] \leq \mathcal{E}_{\Omega}[h]$. Equality occurs if and only if h is harmonic in U.

A short proof of this corollary runs somewhat as follows. The unique harmonic extension of $h: \partial \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ inside U given by $P_{\mathbb{U}}h$ has the property that $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{U}}h - h \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathsf{o}}(\mathbb{U})$. Therefore, the zero extension of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{U}}h - h$ outside \mathbb{U} , denoted by $[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{U}}h - h]_0$, belongs to $\mathscr{A}(\Omega)$. We define

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{U}}h:=[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{U}}h-h]_{\circ}+h\in\mathscr{A}(\Omega)
$$

The desired properties of the operator \mathbf{R}_{U} so defined are automatically fulfilled in view of Proposition [2.1.](#page-3-1)

Proposition 2.3. Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C} and $\mathbb{U} \subset \overline{\mathbb{U}} \subset \Omega$ a Jordan domain. Suppose that $h \in \mathscr{A}(\Omega)$ is a homeomorphism of Ω onto $h(\Omega)$ and $h(\mathbb{U})$ is convex. Then $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{U}}h$ is homeomorphism in Ω and is a harmonic diffeomorphism in U.

The injectivity of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{U}}h$ is the content of the Radó-Kneser-Choquet The-orem [\[9,](#page-20-11) p. 29]. Furthermore, planar harmonic homeomorphisms are \mathscr{C}^{∞} -smooth diffeomorphisms according to Lewy's theorem [\[9,](#page-20-11) p. 20].

3. Smoothing Sobolev homeomorphisms, Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0)

Proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) We may and do assume that h is not harmonic, since otherwise $H = h$ satisfies the desired properties by Lewy's theorem (mentioned above). Let $z_o \in \Omega$ be a point such that h fails to be harmonic in any neighborhood of z_o . By choosing the origin of the coordinate system we ensure that $h(z_o)$ does not lie on the boundary of any dyadic squares associated with the coordinate system.

Let us choose and fix any $\epsilon > 0$. The construction of H proceeds in 5 steps. We construct homeomorphisms $h_k: \Omega \longrightarrow^{\text{onto}} \Omega^*, k = 0, \ldots, 5$ such that $h_0 = h$, $h_k \in h_{k-1} + \mathscr{A}_{\text{o}}(\Omega)$, h_5 is a diffeomorphism, and $||h_k - h_{k-1}||$ is bounded by a multiple of ϵ for each k. In each step we modify the previous construction to gain better regularity. In steps 1, 2 and 4 we use harmonic replacement according to Proposition [2.3.](#page-4-0) In steps 3 and 5 we smoothen the mapping near the boundaries of the domains in which harmonic replacement was performed. The result of each step is denoted by h_1, \ldots, h_5 . The finite energy case $h \in \mathscr{A}(\Omega)$ requires a few additional details, which are provided at the end of each step.

We begin with a decomposition of the target domain

(3.1)
$$
\Omega^* = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}}
$$

into closed nonoverlapping dyadic squares $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}} \subset \Omega^*$. This decomposition is made by selecting the maximal dyadic squares that lie in Ω^* . Thus the cover of Ω^* by such squares is locally finite. The preimage of \mathbb{Q}_{ν} under h, denoted by \mathbb{U}_{ν} , is a Jordan domain in Ω . Hereafter \mathbb{U}_{ν} will be referred to as the curved-square. In fact to every partion of Ω^* into closed squares there will correspond a partition of Ω into closed curved-squares via the mapping $h: \Omega \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} \Omega^*,$ for example:

$$
\Omega = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^\infty \overline{\mathbb{U}_\nu}
$$

Step 1. For each \mathbb{U}_{ν} we replace $h: \overline{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}} \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}}$ with a piecewise harmonic homeomorphism $h_1: \overline{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}}$ that coincides with h on $\partial \overline{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}}$. To this effect we partition the square $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}}$.

(3.2)
$$
\overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}^1} \cup \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}^2} \cup \cdots \cup \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}^n}, \qquad (n = n_{\nu} = 4^{k_{\nu}})
$$

into congruent dyadic squares \mathbb{Q}_{ν}^{i} , $i = 1, ..., n$. The number n, depending on ν , will be determined later. For the moment fix ν and look at the homeomorphisms

$$
h \colon \overline{\mathbb{U}^i_{\nu}} = h^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}^i_{\nu}}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}^i_{\nu}}
$$

These mappings belong to the Royden algebra $\mathscr{A}(\overline{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}^{i}})$. With the aid of Propositions [2.1](#page-3-1) and [2.3](#page-4-0) we replace each $h: \mathbb{U}_{\nu}^i \to \mathbb{Q}_{\nu}^i$ with a harmonic homeomorphism $h^i_\nu: \overline{\mathbb{U}^i_\nu}$ $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}^{i}}$ that coincides with h on $\partial \overrightarrow{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}^{i}}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$. Such mappings are $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\text{-smooth}$ diffeomorphisms $h^{i}_{\nu}\colon \mathbb{U}^{i}_{\nu}$ $\stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Q}^i_{\nu}$. Moreover, $h_{\nu}^{i} - h \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{o}}(\mathbb{U}_{\nu}^{i})$ and

(3.3)
$$
\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}^{i}}[h_{\nu}^{i}] \leq \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}^{i}}[h] & \text{for } 1, 2, ..., n \\ \mathcal{E}_{\partial \mathbb{U}_{\nu}^{i}}[h_{\nu}^{i}] = \mathcal{E}_{\partial \mathbb{U}_{\nu}^{i}}[h], & \text{because } h_{\nu}^{i} = h \text{ on } \partial \mathbb{U}_{\nu}^{i} \end{cases}
$$

We obtain a piecewise harmonic homeomorphism by gluing h^i_ν together along the common boundaries of \mathbb{U}_{ν}^{i} . Denote it by

$$
h_{\nu}^{n} \colon \overline{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}}
$$

$$
h_{\nu}^{n} \in h + \mathscr{A}_{\circ}(\mathbb{U}_{\nu})
$$

Precisely we define

$$
h_{\nu}^{n} = h + \sum_{i=1}^{n} [h_{\nu}^{i} - h]_{\circ}
$$

Here and in the sequel the notation $[\varphi] \circ$ for $\varphi \in \mathscr{A}_{\circ}(\mathbb{U})$ stands for zero extension of φ to the entire domain Ω . Obviously $[\varphi]_0 \in \mathscr{A}_0(\Omega)$. The above construction depends on the number n. For ν fixed we actually have a sequence $\{h_{\nu}^n\}_{n=1,2,...}$ that is bounded in $\mathscr{A}(\mathbb{U}_{\nu})$. However, we have uniform bounds independent of n ,

$$
||h^n_\nu||_{\mathscr{C}(\overline{\mathbb{U}_\nu})} \leqslant \operatorname{diam} \mathbb{Q}_\nu
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{U}_\nu}[h^n_\nu] \leqslant \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{U}_\nu}[h]
$$

The key observation is that

(3.4)
$$
\begin{cases} h_{\nu}^{n} - h \in \mathscr{A}_{\text{o}}(\mathbb{U}_{\nu}) \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} ||h_{\nu}^{n} - h||_{\mathscr{A}(\mathbb{U}_{\nu})} = 0 \end{cases}
$$

Indeed, for $z \in \mathbb{U}_{\nu}^{i}$ we have

$$
|h_{\nu}^{n}(z) - h(z)| \leq \operatorname{diam} \mathbb{Q}_{\nu}^{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \operatorname{diam} \mathbb{Q}_{\nu}
$$

Thus $h_{\nu}^n \Rightarrow h$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}}$ as $n \to \infty$. On the other hand the differential matrices Dh_{ν}^{n} are bounded in $\mathscr{L}^{2}(\mathbb{U}_{\nu}, \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2})$. Their weak limit exits and is exactly equal to Dh , because the mappings converge uniformly to h . Therefore,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}} |Dh_{\nu}^{n} - Dh|^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}} \left(|Dh_{\nu}^{n}|^{2} + |Dh|^{2} - 2\langle Dh_{\nu}^{n}, Dh \rangle \right)
$$

$$
\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}} \left(|Dh|^{2} - \langle Dh_{\nu}^{n}, Dh \rangle \right)
$$

$$
= 2 \int_{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}} \langle Dh, Dh - Dh_{\nu}^{n} \rangle \longrightarrow 0
$$

We can now determine the number $n = n_{\nu}$ of congruent dyadic squares in \mathbb{Q}_{ν} , simply requiring that

$$
\begin{cases} \operatorname{diam} \mathbb{Q}^i_{\nu} \leq \epsilon & \text{for every } i = 1, 2, \dots, n_{\nu} \\ \|Dh^n_{\nu} - Dh\|_{\mathscr{L}^2(\overline{\mathbb{U}_{\nu}})} \leqslant \epsilon \cdot 2^{-\nu} \end{cases}
$$

Fix such $n = n_{\nu}$ and abbreviate the notation for $h_{\nu}^{n_{\nu}}$ to h^{ν} . We obtain a homeomorphism

$$
h_1 := h + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} [h^{\nu} - h]_{\circ} \in h + \mathscr{A}_{\circ}(\Omega)
$$

where we recall that $[h^{\nu} - h]$ [°] stands for the zero extension of $h^{\nu} - h$ to the entire domain Ω . Clearly, h_1 is harmonic in each \mathbb{U}_{ν}^i , $\nu = 1, 2, \ldots$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_{\nu}$ and we have

$$
||h_1 - h||_{\mathscr{C}(\Omega)} \leq \sup\{\mathrm{diam}\,\mathbb{Q}^i_\nu : \nu = 1, 2, \dots, i = 1, \dots, n_\nu\} < \epsilon
$$

$$
(3.5) \quad \|h_1 - h\|_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} \le \epsilon + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \|Dh^{\nu} - Dh\|_{\mathscr{L}^2(\mathbb{U}_{\nu})} \le \epsilon + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \epsilon \cdot 2^{-\nu} = 2\epsilon
$$

For further considerations it will be convenient to number the squares \mathbb{Q}^i_{ν} and their preimages \mathbb{U}_{ν}^{i} using only one index. These sets will be respectively denoted by \mathbb{Q}^{α} and \mathbb{U}^{α} , $\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots$. For the record,

(3.6)
$$
\text{diam}\,\mathbb{Q}^{\alpha}\leqslant\epsilon,\qquad\alpha=1,2,\ldots
$$

Finite energy case. Summing up the energy inequalities for the mappings $h^i_\nu: \mathbb{U}^i_\nu \to \mathbb{Q}^i_\nu$ we see that the total energy of h_1 does not exceed the energy of h . Even more, since h was assumed to be not harmonic, there is at least one region \mathbb{U}^i_ν for which $h: \mathbb{U}^i_\nu \to \mathbb{Q}^i_\nu$ was not harmonic. Consequently, its harmonic replacement results in strictly smaller energy. Hence

(3.7)
$$
\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}[h_1] < \mathcal{E}_{\Omega}[h]
$$
, so let $\delta = ||Dh||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} - ||Dh_1||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} > 0$

Step 2. Denote by $\mathcal{F} = \{ \mathbb{Q}^{\alpha} : \alpha = 1, 2, \dots \}$ the family of all open squares $\mathbb{Q}^{\alpha} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}^{\alpha}} \subset \Omega^*$ that are build in Step 1 for the construction of the mapping $h_1: \Omega \to \Omega^*$. Let V be the set of vertices of these squares. Whenever two squares $\mathbb{Q}^{\alpha}, \mathbb{Q}^{\beta} \in \mathcal{F}, \alpha \neq \beta$, meet along their boundaries the intersection $I^{\alpha,\beta} = \partial \mathbb{Q}^{\alpha} \cap \partial \mathbb{Q}^{\beta}$ is either a point in V or a closed interval with endpoints in V. Denote by $\mathcal{J} \subset \{I^{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha \neq \beta, \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots \}$ the subfamily of all such intersections, excluding empty set and vertices. For each interval $I^{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{J}$ we shall construct a doubly convex lens-shaped region $\mathbb{L}^{\alpha,\beta}$ with $I^{\alpha,\beta}$ as its axis of symmetry in the following way. Let R be a number greater than the length of $I^{\alpha,\beta}$ to be chosen later. There exist exactly two open disks of radius R for which $I^{\alpha,\beta}$ is a chord. Let $\mathbb{L}_R^{\alpha,\beta}$ $R^{\alpha,\beta}$ be their intersection. This is a symmetric doubly convex lens of curvature $\frac{1}{R}$. Thus $\mathbb{L}_{R_\infty}^{\alpha,\beta}$ $R_{R_{\rho}}^{\alpha,\rho}$ is bounded by two circular arcs $\gamma^{\alpha,\beta} = \mathbb{Q}^{\alpha} \cap \partial \mathbb{L}_R^{\alpha,\beta}$ $\alpha, \beta \atop R$ and $\gamma^{\beta, \alpha} = \mathbb{Q}^{\beta} \cap \partial \mathbb{L}_R^{\alpha, \beta}$ $\frac{\alpha}{R}$. As the curvature of the lens approaches zero the area of $\mathbb{L}_{R}^{\alpha,\beta}$ $\frac{\alpha}{R}$ tends to 0. This allows us to choose R depending on α and β so that the lenses $\mathbb{L}^{\alpha,\beta} = \mathbb{L}^{\alpha,\beta}_R$ $\frac{\alpha}{R}$ have the following property.

(3.8)
$$
\int_{\mathbb{K}^{\alpha,\beta}} |Dh_1|^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{2^{\alpha+\beta}}, \quad \text{where } \mathbb{K}^{\alpha,\beta} = h_1^{-1}(\mathbb{L}_R^{\alpha,\beta})
$$

The lenses $\mathbb{L}^{\alpha,\beta}$ are disjoint because the opening angle of each lens is at most $\pi/3$ and their axes are either parallel or orthogonal. However, the closures of the lenses considered here may have a common point that lies in V. On each $\mathbb{K}^{\alpha,\beta}$ we replace h_1 by the harmonic extension of its restriction to $\partial \mathbb{K}^{\alpha,\beta}$. Thus we obtain a homeomorphism $h_2^{\alpha,\beta}$ $\frac{\alpha,\beta}{2}:\overline{\mathbb{K}^{\alpha,\beta}} \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} L^{\alpha,\beta}$ of class $h_1 + \mathscr{A}_{\text{o}}(\mathbb{K}^{\alpha,\beta})$. By Proposition [2.3](#page-4-0) the mappings $h_2^{\alpha,\beta}$ $_{2}^{\alpha,\beta}$: $\mathbb{K}^{\alpha,\beta} \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{L}^{\alpha,\beta}$ are diffeomorphisms. Finally, we define

$$
h_2 = h_1 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} [h_2^{\alpha,\beta} - h_1]_{\circ} \in h_1 + \mathscr{A}_{\circ}(\Omega) = h + \mathscr{A}_{\circ}(\Omega)
$$

and observe that, from [\(3.6\)](#page-6-0),

$$
||h_2 - h_1||_{\mathscr{C}(\Omega)} \leq \sup_{\alpha,\beta} \text{diam}\left(\mathbb{L}^{\alpha,\beta}\right) \leq \epsilon.
$$

Also, [\(3.8\)](#page-7-0) and Dirichlet's principle imply

$$
\int_{\Omega} |Dh_2 - Dh_1|^2 \leq \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{K}^{\alpha,\beta}} 2(|Dh_2|^2 + |Dh_1|^2) \leq 4 \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{K}^{\alpha,\beta}} |Dh_1|^2
$$

$$
\leq 4 \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon^2}{2^{\alpha+\beta}} = 4\epsilon^2
$$

Thus

 $\|h_2 - h_1\|_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} \leqslant \epsilon + 2\epsilon = 3\epsilon$

The boundary of $\mathbb{K}^{\alpha,\beta}$ consists of two \mathscr{C}^{∞} -smooth arcs $\Gamma^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\Gamma^{\beta,\alpha}$ which share common endpoints, called the apices of $\mathbb{K}^{\alpha,\beta}$. These are preimages of $\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\gamma^{\beta,\alpha}$ under the mapping h_1 , respectively. Outside of the apices, the homeomorphism h_2 : $\overline{\mathbb{K}^{\alpha,\beta}} \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} \overline{\mathbb{L}^{\alpha,\beta}}$ is C^{∞} smooth with positive Jacobian. The smoothness is a classical result of Kellogg; a harmonic function with \mathscr{C}^{∞} -smooth values on a smooth part of the boundary is \mathscr{C}^{∞} -smooth up to this part of the boundary $[16,$ Theorem 6.19. The positivity of the Jacobian on such part of the boundary follows from the convexity of its image, see [\[9,](#page-20-11) p. 116].

In conclusion, h_2 is locally bi-Lipschitz in $\Omega \setminus h^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. The exceptional set $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ is discrete because \mathcal{V} is.

Finite energy case. By (3.7) we have

(3.9)
$$
||Dh_2||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq ||Dh_1||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq ||Dh||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} - \delta
$$

Step 3. First we cover the set of vertices V by disks $\{\mathbb{D}_v : v \in V\}$ centered at v with radii small enough so that

(3.10)
$$
\dim \mathbb{D}_v \leqslant \epsilon,
$$

and $\{\mathfrak{3D}_v : v \in V\}$ is a disjoint collection of disks in Ω^* . Moreover, their preimages under h_2 must satisfy

(3.11)
$$
\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \int_{h_2^{-1}(3\mathbb{D}_\nu)} |Dh_2|^2 < \epsilon^2
$$

Denote by $\tilde{\Omega}^* = \Omega^* \setminus \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \overline{\mathbb{D}}_v$ and $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} h_2^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_v)$. Our focus for a while will be on one of the circular sides of a lens $\mathbb{L}^{\alpha,\beta}$, say

$$
\gamma^{\alpha,\beta} = \mathbb{Q}^{\alpha} \cap \partial \mathbb{L}^{\alpha,\beta} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{\alpha}
$$

We truncate it near the endpoints by setting $\tilde{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta} = \tilde{\Omega} \cap \gamma^{\alpha,\beta}$. Such truncated open arcs are mutually disjoint; even more, their closures are isolated continua in Ω^* . This means that there are disjoint neighborhoods of them. We are actually interested in a neighborhood of $\tilde{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}$ of the shape of a thin *concavo-convex lens* that we shall denote by $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\alpha,\beta}$. By definition, $\tilde{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta} \subset \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\alpha,\beta} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{\alpha}$. The construction of such lens goes as follows. Let a and b denote the endpoints of $\tilde{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}$, we assemble two circular arcs $\tilde{\gamma}_+^{\alpha,\beta}$ + and $\tilde{\gamma}_{-}^{\alpha,\beta}$ with endpoints at a and b to form together with their endpoints a concavo-convex Jordan curve. This Jordan curve constitutes the boundary of a circular lens $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\alpha,\beta}$. The term concavo-convex lens refers to the configuration in which $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\alpha,\beta}$ lies in the concave side of the arc $\tilde{\gamma}_{-}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and convex side of $\tilde{\gamma}_{+}^{\alpha,\beta}$. It is clear that such lenses can be made arbitrarily thin so that $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\alpha,\beta} \subset \tilde{\Omega}^*$ and the closures of $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\alpha,\beta}$ will still be isolated continua in Ω^* . From now on we fix the family $\{\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha \neq \beta\}$ of such concavo-convex lenses associated with the arcs $\tilde{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}$. We then look at their preimages $\mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta} = h_2^{-1}(\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\alpha,\beta})$ and the C[∞]-smooth arcs $\Upsilon^{\alpha,\beta} = h_2^{-1}(\tilde{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta})$. The endpoints of $\Upsilon^{\alpha,\beta}$ lie in $\partial \mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta}$. Moreover, $\Upsilon^{\alpha,\beta}$ splits $\mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta}$ into two disjoint subdomains $\mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta}_+$ and $\mathbb{U}_{-}^{\alpha,\beta}$ such that $\mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta} \setminus \Upsilon^{\alpha,\beta} = \mathbb{U}_{+}^{\alpha,\beta} \cup \mathbb{U}_{-}^{\alpha,\beta}$. Here we have a homeomorphism $h_2: \mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta} \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\alpha,\beta}$ which is \mathscr{C}^{∞} -diffeomorphism on $\overline{\mathbb{U}}^{\alpha,\beta}_+$ and \mathscr{C}^{∞} diffeomorphism on $\overline{\mathbb{U}}_{-}^{\alpha,\beta}$. Therefore, for some positive number $M_{\alpha,\beta}$, we have pointwise inequlities $|Dh_2| \leq M_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\det Dh_2 \geq \frac{1}{M_\alpha}$ $\frac{1}{M_{\alpha,\beta}}$ in both $\overline{\mathbb{U}}_{+}^{\alpha,\beta}$ + and $\overline{\mathbb{U}}_{-}^{\alpha,\beta}$. Having established such a deformation of lenses and their preimages under h_2 , we apply Corollary [5.4.](#page-19-0) We infer that there is also a constant $M'_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$ with the following property: to every neighborhood of $\Upsilon^{\alpha,\beta}$, say an open connected set $\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta} \subset \mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta}$ that contains $\Upsilon^{\alpha,\beta}$, there corresponds a \mathscr{C}^{∞} -diffeomorphism, denoted by $h_3: \mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^{\alpha,\beta}$, such that

(3.12)
$$
\begin{cases} h_3(z) = h_2(z) & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta} \setminus \mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta} \\ |Dh_3| \leqslant M'_{\alpha,\beta} & \text{and} \quad \det Dh_3 \geqslant \frac{1}{M'_{\alpha,\beta}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta} \end{cases}
$$

We emphasize that $M'_{\alpha,\beta}$ is independent of the neighborhood $\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta}$. We choose and fix $\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta}$ thin enough to satisfy

- $\bullet\ \overline{\mathbb{U}}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta}\subset\mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta}\cup\overline{\Upsilon}^{\alpha,\beta}$
- $\bullet \, |\mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta}_{\circ}| \leqslant [M_{\alpha,\beta}+M'_{\alpha,\beta}]^{-2}\epsilon^2\,2^{-\alpha-\beta}$
- $\sup_{\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta}}|Dh_2|\leqslant M_{\alpha,\beta}$
- in the finite energy case, we also assume that $|\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta}| \leqslant [M'_{\alpha,\beta}]^{-2} \delta^2 \, 4^{-\alpha-\beta-1}$

Recall that δ was defined by [\(3.7\)](#page-7-1) and later appeared in [\(3.9\)](#page-8-0). This is certainly possible; for instance, take $\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta}$ to be the preimage under h_2 of a sufficiently thin concavo-convex lens containing $\tilde{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}$. We call $h_3: \mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta} \longrightarrow$ $\mathbb{L}^{\alpha,\beta}$ a smoothing of $h_2: \mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^{\alpha,\beta}$ associated with a given arc $\Upsilon^{\alpha,\beta} =$ $h_2^{-1}(\tilde{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta})$. We now define a homeomorphism $h_3: \Omega \longrightarrow^{\text{onto}} \Omega^*$ by the rule

$$
h_3 = \begin{cases} \text{smoothing of } h_2 & \text{in } \mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta} \\ h_2 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha,\beta} \mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta} \end{cases}
$$

It belongs to $h_2 + \mathscr{A}_\circ(\Omega)$. Obviously h_3 is a \mathscr{C}^∞ -diffeomorphism in $\tilde{\Omega}$. We have for every $z \in \Omega$

$$
|h_3(z) - h_2(z)| \leq \begin{cases} \text{diam } \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\alpha,\beta} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{U}^{\alpha,\beta} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

$$
\leq \text{diam } \mathbb{Q}^{\alpha} \leq \epsilon
$$

see [\(3.6\)](#page-6-0). Hence $||h_3 - h_2||_{\mathscr{C}(\Omega)} \le \epsilon$. As regards the energy of $h_3 - h_2$ we find that

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}[h_3 - h_2] = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta}} |Dh_3 - Dh_2|^2
$$

\n
$$
\leqslant \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta}| \sup_{\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta}} (|Dh_3| + |Dh_2|)^2
$$

\n
$$
\leqslant \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta}| [M'_{\alpha,\beta} + M_{\alpha,\beta}]^2 \leqslant \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon^2}{2^{\alpha+\beta}} \leqslant \epsilon^2
$$

These estimates sum up to

$$
||h_3 - h_2||_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} \leqslant \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon
$$

Let us record for subsequent use the following estimate, obtained from [\(3.11\)](#page-8-1) and [\(3.13\)](#page-10-0).

$$
\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \int_{h_3^{-1}(3\mathbb{D}_\nu)} |Dh_3|^2 \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\int_{h_3^{-1}(3\mathbb{D}_\nu) \setminus h_2^{-1}(3\mathbb{D}_\nu)} |Dh_3|^2 + \int_{h_2^{-1}(3\mathbb{D}_\nu)} |Dh_3|^2 \right)
$$

\$\leqslant \int_{\{h_3 \neq h_2\}} |Dh_3|^2 + 2 \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\int_{h_2^{-1}(3\mathbb{D}_v)} |Dh_3 - Dh_2|^2 + \int_{h_2^{-1}(3\mathbb{D}_\nu)} |Dh_2|^2 \right) \$
(3.14) \$\$\leqslant \sum_{\alpha,\beta} (M'_{\alpha,\beta})^2 |\mathbb{U}_{\alpha}^{\alpha,\beta}| + 2\epsilon^2 + 2\epsilon^2 \leqslant 5\epsilon^2\$

Finite energy case. For the energy of h_3 , we observe that

$$
||Dh_3||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} \le ||Dh_3||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega \setminus \cup \mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta})} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} ||Dh_3||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta})}
$$

\n
$$
= ||Dh_2||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega \setminus \cup \mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta})} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} ||Dh_3||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta})}
$$

\n
$$
\le ||Dh_2||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta}|^{1/2} \sup_{\mathbb{U}_{\circ}^{\alpha,\beta}} |Dh_3|
$$

\n
$$
\le ||Dh||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} - \delta + \frac{\delta}{2}
$$

Thus

(3.15)
$$
||Dh_3||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} \le ||Dh||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} - \frac{\delta}{2}
$$

Step 4. We have already upgraded the mapping h to a homeomorphism $h_3: \Omega \to \Omega^*$ such that $h_3 \in h + \mathscr{A}_\circ(\Omega)$ and

$$
(3.16) \quad \|h_3 - h\|_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} \le \|h_3 - h_2\|_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} + \|h_2 - h_1\|_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} + \|h_1 - h\|_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)}
$$

$$
\le 2\epsilon + 3\epsilon + 2\epsilon = 7\epsilon
$$

Moreover, h_3 is a \mathscr{C}^{∞} -diffeomorphism on $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{V}} h_2^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_v)$. We now define a homeomorphism $h_4: \Omega \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} \Omega^*$ by performing harmonic replacement of h_3 on each set $h_3^{-1}(2\mathbb{D}_v)$. This gives us a \mathscr{C}^{∞} -diffeomorphism $h_4: h_3^{-1}(2\overline{\mathbb{D}}_v) \to$ $2\overline{\mathbb{D}}_v$, see Step 2 for details. For each $z \in \Omega$

$$
|h_4(z) - h_3(z)| \leqslant \begin{cases} 2 \operatorname{diam} \mathbb{D}_v & \text{if } z \in h_3^{-1}(2\mathbb{D}_v) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \leqslant 2\epsilon
$$

Hence $||h_4 - h_3||_{\mathscr{C}(\Omega)} \leq 2\epsilon$. Using [\(3.14\)](#page-10-1) we estimate the energy as follows.

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}[h_4 - h_3] = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{E}_{h_3^{-1}(2\mathbb{D}_v)}[h_4 - h_3]
$$

\$\leqslant 2 \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\mathcal{E}_{h_3^{-1}(2\mathbb{D}_v)}[h_4] + \mathcal{E}_{h_3^{-1}(2\mathbb{D}_v)}[h_3] \right)\$
\$\leqslant 4 \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{E}_{h_3^{-1}(2\mathbb{D}_v)}[h_3] \leqslant 20\epsilon^2\$

Thus, by [\(3.14\)](#page-10-1)

(3.17)
$$
||h_4 - h_3||_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} \leq \epsilon + \sqrt{20}\epsilon \leq 6\epsilon
$$

Finite energy case. By virtue of Dirichlet's principle and [\(3.15\)](#page-10-2) we have

$$
(3.18) \t\t ||Dh_4||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq ||Dh_3||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq ||Dh||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} - \frac{\delta}{2}
$$

Step 5. The final step consists of smoothing h_4 in a neighborhood of each smooth Jordan curves $C_v = \partial h_3^{-1}(2\mathbb{D}_v)$. We proceed in much the same way as in Step 3, but we appeal to Corollary [5.5](#page-19-1) instead of Corollary [5.4.](#page-19-0) By smoothing h_4 in a sufficiently thin neighborhood of each C_v we obtain a \mathscr{C}^{∞} -diffeomorphism $h_5: \Omega \longrightarrow^{\text{onto}} \Omega^*, h_5 \in h_4 + \mathscr{A}_0(\Omega)$ such that

$$
(3.19) \t\t\t ||h_5 - h_4||_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} \leq \epsilon
$$

We now recapitulate the estimates [\(3.16\)](#page-11-0), [\(3.17\)](#page-11-1) and [\(3.19\)](#page-11-2) to obtain a \mathscr{C}^{∞} diffeomorphism in Ω

$$
H:=h_5\in h+\mathscr{A}(\Omega)
$$

such that

$$
||H - h||_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} \le ||h_5 - h_4||_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} + ||h_4 - h_3||_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)} + ||h_3 - h||_{\mathscr{A}(\Omega)}
$$

$$
\le \epsilon + 6\epsilon + 7\epsilon = 14\epsilon
$$

which is as strong as (ii) in Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0)

Finite energy case. To obtain the desired energy estimate $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}[h_5] \leq \mathcal{E}_{\Omega}[h]$, we need to sharpen the energy part in [\(3.19\)](#page-11-2). By narrowing further the neighborhoods of C_v we can be make the energy $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}[h_5 - h_4]$ as small as we wish; for example to obtain

$$
||Dh_5 - Dh_4||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} < \frac{\delta}{2}
$$

This is enough to conclude that

$$
||DH||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq ||Dh||_{\mathscr{L}^2(\Omega)}
$$

because of (3.18) .

4. Hopf differentials, Theorem [1.3](#page-2-1)

A quadratic differential on a domain Ω in the complex plane C takes the form $Q = F(z) dz \otimes dz$, where F is a complex function on Ω . Given a conformal change of the variable z, $z = \varphi(\xi)$, where $\varphi: \Omega' \to \Omega$, the pull back

$$
\varphi^{\sharp}(Q) = F(\varphi(\xi)) d\varphi \otimes d\varphi = F(\varphi(\xi)) \dot{\varphi}^{2}(\xi) d\xi \otimes d\xi
$$

defines a quadratic differential on Ω' . It is plain that for a complex harmonic function $h: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ the associated Hopf differential

$$
Q_h = h_z \overline{h_{\bar{z}}} \, dz \otimes dz
$$

is holomorphic, meaning that

(4.1)
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left(h_z \overline{h_{\bar{z}}} \right) = 0
$$

Conversely, if a Hopf differential $Q_h = h_z \overline{h_{\bar{z}}} dz \otimes dz$ is holomorphic for some \mathscr{C}^1 -mapping h, then h is harmonic at the points where the Jacobian determinant $J(z, h) := \det Dh = |h_z|^2 - |h_{\bar{z}}|^2 \neq 0$, see [\[10,](#page-20-10) 10.5] and our Remark [4.3.](#page-16-0) Here the assumption that $J(z, h) \neq 0$ is critical. Let us illustrate it by the following.

Example 4.1. Consider a mapping $h \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}_{\text{o}})$ defined on the punctured plane $\mathbb{C}_{\circ} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ by the rule

(4.2)
$$
h(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{|z|} & \text{for } 0 < |z| \le 1\\ \frac{1}{2} (z + \frac{1}{z}) & \text{for } 1 \le |z| < \infty \end{cases}
$$

Direct computation shows that

$$
h_z(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}|z|^{-1} & \text{for } 0 < |z| \le 1\\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } 1 \le |z| < \infty \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
h_{\bar{z}}(z) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}|z|\bar{z}^{-2} & \text{for } 0 < |z| \leq 1\\ -\frac{1}{2}\bar{z}^{-2} & \text{for } 1 \leq |z| < \infty \end{cases}
$$

Thus

(4.3)
$$
Q_h = -\frac{\mathrm{d}z \otimes \mathrm{d}z}{4z^2} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}_\circ
$$

It may be worth mentioning that the mapping h in (4.2) is the unique (up to rotation of z) minimizer of the Dirichlet energy

$$
\mathcal{E}[H]=\int_{\mathbb{A}}|DH|^2
$$

over the annulus $A = A(r, R) = \{z : r < |z| < R\}, 0 < r < 1 < R$, subject to all weak limits of homeomorphisms $H: \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow^{\text{onto}} A(1, R_*)$, where $R_* = \frac{1}{2} (R + \frac{1}{R})$, see [\[1\]](#page-20-12). Note that the Hopf differential of [\(4.3\)](#page-12-2) is real along the boundary circles of A. The concentric circles are horizontal trajectories of Q_h . In fact this is a general property of minimizers [\[21,](#page-21-8) Lemma 1.2.5]. The general pattern is that with the loss of injectivity comes the loss of the Lagrange-Euler equation for the extremal mapping.

Properties of the function h with holomorphic Hopf differential $Q =$ $h_z\overline{h_{\overline{z}}}$ d $z \otimes dz$ are of interest in the studies of harmonic mappings [\[11,](#page-20-8) [12,](#page-20-9) $21, 30, 31$ $21, 30, 31$ $21, 30, 31$, minimal surfaces $[8, 34]$ $[8, 34]$ and Teichmüller theory $[15]$. In this section we prove Theorem [1.3](#page-2-1) which imposes fairly minimal assumptions that imply harmonicity of $\mathscr{W}^{1,2}$ -solution to the equation [\(4.1\)](#page-12-3). Some elements of the proof go back to [\[28,](#page-21-17) [29\]](#page-21-18).

Proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-1) As a consequence of the Stoilow factorization theorem $[1, p. 56]$ the branch set of h is discrete, hence removable for continuous harmonic functions. Thus we assume that $h: \Omega \longrightarrow^{\text{onto}} \Omega^*$ is a homeomorphism of Sobolev class $\mathscr{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega,\Omega^*)$ such that

(4.4)
$$
h_z \overline{h_{\overline{z}}}=F(z) \text{ is holomorphic in } \Omega
$$

By virtue of Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-0) there exists a sequence of diffeomorphisms h^j : $\Omega \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow}$ Ω^* converging c-uniformly and strongly in $\mathscr{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega,\Omega^*)$ to h. Denote by

(4.5)
$$
h_z^j h_{\bar{z}}^j =: F^j \in \mathscr{L}_{loc}^1(\Omega)
$$

Thus $F^j \to F$ strongly in $\mathcal{L}^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. Let us first dispose of an easy case. **Case 0.** The homogeneous equation $F \equiv 0$. Since h^j are diffeomorphisms the Jacobian determinant $J(z, h^j) = |h_z^j|^2 - |h_{\bar{z}}^j|^2$ is either positive everywhere in Ω or negative everywhere in Ω . Let us settle the case when $J(z, h^j) > 0$ for infinitely many indices $j = 1, 2, \ldots$. For such j we have $|h_z^j| > |h_{\bar{z}}^j|$, which yields $|h_{\bar{z}}^j|^2 \leq h_z^j h_{\bar{z}}^j|$. Passing to the \mathscr{L}^1 -limit we obtain

$$
|h_{\bar{z}}|^2 \leqslant |h_z h_{\bar{z}}| = |F(z)| \equiv 0.
$$

Thus h is holomorphic, by Weyl's lemma. Similarly, in case $J(z, h^j) < 0$ for infinitely many indices $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, we find that h is antiholomorphic.

Remark 4.2. We observe, based on the above arguments, that for this homogeneous equation $h_z \overline{h_{\bar{z}}}\equiv 0$ every solution $h \in \mathscr{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ obtained as the weak $\mathscr{W}^{1,2}$ -limit of homeomorphisms is either holomorphic or antiholomorphic. The situation is dramatically different if $h_z\overline{h_{\overline{z}}}\neq 0$; some topological assumption on h are necessary, as illustrated in Example [4.1.](#page-12-0)

Case 1. Nonhomogeneous equation $F \not\equiv 0$. The function F, being holomorphic, may vanish only at isolated points. Since isolated points are removable for bounded harmonic functions, it suffices to consider the set where $F \neq 0$. Proceeding further in this direction, we may and do assume that $F(z) \equiv 1$ (by a conformal change of the z-variable) and h is a $\mathcal{W}^{1,2}$ homeomorphism in the closure of the unit square $\mathbb{Q} = \{x + iy : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ $1, 0 < y < 1$. The problem now reduces to establishing that the equation

(4.6) hzhz¯ ≡ 1

implies $\Delta h = 0$. This will be proved indirectly by means of the energyminimizing property

$$
(4.7) \t\t \t\t \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[h] \leqslant \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[H]
$$

where $H: \mathbb{Q} \to h(\mathbb{Q})$ is any homeomorphism in $h + \mathscr{A}_{\text{O}}(\mathbb{Q})$; in particular, $H = h$ on $\partial \mathbb{Q}$. Indeed, if h were not harmonic, we would be able to decrease its energy by harmonic replacement (Propositions [2.1](#page-3-1) and [2.3\)](#page-4-0), contradicting [\(4.7\)](#page-14-0).

4.1. Proof of the inequality [\(4.7\)](#page-14-0). With the aid of the approximation theorem we need only prove [\(4.7\)](#page-14-0) for mappings $H \in h + \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Q})$ that are diffeomorphisms on Q. From now on we assume that this is the case. Denote $\mathbb{Q}^* = h(\mathbb{Q}) = H(\mathbb{Q})$. We consider a sequence $h^j \in h + \mathscr{A}_o(\mathbb{Q})$ of diffeomorphisms h^j : $\mathbb{Q} \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Q}^*$ converging in $\mathscr{A}(\mathbb{Q})$ to h. Moreover we may also assume that $Dh^j \to Dh$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb Q$ by passing to a subsequence if necessary. Now the sequence χ^j : $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of self-homeomorphisms of the closed unit disk given by $\chi^j = H^{-1} \circ h^j$, where $\chi^j = id$ on $\partial \mathbb{Q}$, is converging uniformly on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ to $\chi = H^{-1} \circ h$. It is important to observe that $\chi \in \mathscr{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{Q})$ and χ^j converges to χ in $\mathscr{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{Q}')$ on any compactly contained subdomain $\mathbb{Q}' \in \mathbb{Q}$. Since h^j and $(\chi^j)^{-1}$ are diffeomorphisms on \mathbb{Q}' and $\chi^j(\mathbb{Q}')$, respectively, the chain rule can be applied to the composition $H = h^j \circ (\chi^j)^{-1}$. For $w \in \chi^j(\mathbb{Q}')$ we have

$$
\frac{\partial H(w)}{\partial w} = h_z^j(z) \frac{\partial (\chi^j)^{-1}}{\partial w} + h_{\bar{z}}^j(z) \frac{\partial (\chi^j)^{-1}}{\partial \bar{w}}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial H(w)}{\partial \bar{w}} = h_z^j(z) \frac{\partial (\chi^j)^{-1}}{\partial \bar{w}} + h_{\bar{z}}^j(z) \frac{\partial (\chi^j)^{-1}}{\partial w}
$$

where $z = (\chi^j)^{-1}(w)$.

The partial derivatives of $(\chi^j)^{-1}$ at w can be expressed in terms of $\chi^j_z(z)$ and $\chi_{\bar{z}}^{j}(z)$ by the rules

$$
\frac{\partial (\chi^j)^{-1}}{\partial w} = \frac{\chi_z^j(z)}{J(z, \chi^j)}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial (\chi^j)^{-1}}{\partial \bar{w}} = -\frac{\chi_z^j(z)}{J(z, \chi^j)}
$$

where the Jacobian determinant $J(z, \chi^j)$ is strictly positive. This yields

$$
\frac{\partial H}{\partial w} = \frac{h_z^j \overline{\chi_z^j} - h_{\overline{z}}^j \overline{\chi_{\overline{z}}^j}}{J(z, \chi^j)}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial H}{\partial \overline{w}} = \frac{h_{\overline{z}}^j \chi_z^j - h_{\overline{z}}^j \chi_{\overline{z}}^j}{J(z, \chi^j)}
$$

We compute the energy integral of H over the set $\chi^{j}(\mathbb{Q}')$ by substitution $w = \chi^{j}(z),$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\chi^j(\mathbb{Q}')}[H] = 2 \int_{\chi^j(\mathbb{Q}')} \left(|H_w|^2 + |H_{\bar{w}}|^2 \right) \, \mathrm{d}w
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \int_{\mathbb{Q}'} \frac{|h_z^j \overline{\chi_z^j} - h_z^j \overline{\chi_z^j}|^2 + |h_z^j \chi_z^j - h_z^j \chi_z^j|^2}{|\chi_z^j|^2 - |\chi_z^j|^2} \, \mathrm{d}z
$$

On the other hand, the energy of h^j over the set \mathbb{Q}' is

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}'}[h^j] = 2 \int_{\mathbb{Q}'} \left(|h_z^j|^2 + |h_{\bar{z}}^j|^2 \right) \,\mathrm{d}z
$$

Subtract these two integrals to obtain

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[H] - \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}'}[h^{j}] \geq \mathcal{E}_{\chi^{j}(\mathbb{Q}')}[H] - \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}'}[h^{j}]
$$
\n
$$
= 4 \int_{\mathbb{Q}'} \frac{\left(|h_{z}^{j}|^{2} + |h_{\bar{z}}^{j}|^{2}\right) \cdot |\chi_{\bar{z}}^{j}|^{2} - 2 \operatorname{Re}\left[h_{z}^{j} \overline{h_{z}^{j}} \chi_{z}^{j} \chi_{\bar{z}}^{j}\right]}{|\chi_{z}^{j}|^{2} - |\chi_{\bar{z}}^{j}|^{2}} d\chi
$$
\n
$$
\geq 4 \int_{\mathbb{Q}'} \frac{2|h_{z}^{j}h_{\bar{z}}^{j}||\chi_{\bar{z}}^{j}|^{2} - 2 \operatorname{Re}\left[h_{z}^{j} \overline{h_{z}^{j}} \chi_{z}^{j} \chi_{\bar{z}}^{j}\right]}{|\chi_{z}^{j}|^{2} - |\chi_{\bar{z}}^{j}|^{2}} d\chi
$$
\n
$$
= 4 \int_{\mathbb{Q}'} \left[\frac{|\chi_{z}^{j} - \sigma^{j}(z)\chi_{\bar{z}}^{j}|^{2}}{|\chi_{z}^{j}|^{2} - |\chi_{\bar{z}}^{j}|^{2}} - 1\right] |h_{z}^{j}h_{\bar{z}}^{j}| d\chi
$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$
\sigma^{j} = \sigma^{j}(z) = \begin{cases} h_z^{j} \overline{h_z^{j}} |h_z^{j} h_{\overline{z}}^{j}|^{-1} & \text{if } h_z^{j} h_{\overline{z}}^{j} \neq 0\\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Note that $|\sigma^j| = 1$ and $\sigma^j \to 1$ almost everywhere.

Upon using Hölder's inequality we continue the chain (4.8) as follows.

(4.9)
$$
\geq 4 \frac{\left[\int_{\mathbb{Q}'} \left| \chi_z^j - \sigma^j \chi_z^j \right| \sqrt{|h_z^j h_z^j|} \,dz\right]^2}{\int_{\mathbb{Q}'} J(z, h^j) \,dz} - 4 \int_{\mathbb{Q}'} |h_z^j h_z^j|.
$$

The denominator in [\(4.9\)](#page-15-1) is at most 1 because

$$
\int_{\mathbb{Q}'} J(z, h^j) dz = |\chi^j(\mathbb{Q}')| \leq |\mathbb{Q}| = 1.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[H] - \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}'}[h^j] \geq 4 \left[\int_{\mathbb{Q}'} \left| \chi^j_z - \sigma^j \chi^j_{\overline{z}} \right| \sqrt{|h^j_z h^j_{\overline{z}}|} \, \mathrm{d}z \right]^2 - 4 \int_{\mathbb{Q}'} |h^j_z h^j_{\overline{z}}| \, \mathrm{d}z.
$$

It is at this point that we can pass to the limit as $j \to \infty$, to obtain

(4.10)
$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[H] - \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}'}[h] \ge 4 \left[\int_{\mathbb{Q}'} |\chi_z - \chi_{\bar{z}}| \,\mathrm{d}z \right]^2 - 4|\mathbb{Q}'|.
$$

Since \mathbb{Q}' was an arbitrary compactly contained subdomain of \mathbb{Q} , the estimate (4.10) remains valid with \mathbb{Q}' replaced by \mathbb{Q} .

(4.11)
$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[H] - \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[h] \ge 4 \left[\int_{\mathbb{Q}} \left| \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial y} \right| dx dy \right]^2 - 4
$$

$$
\ge 4 \int_0^1 \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \chi(x, y)}{\partial y} dy \right| dx - 4
$$

$$
= 4 \int_0^1 |\chi(x, 1) - \chi(x, 0)| dx - 4 = 4 - 4 = 0
$$

as desired. \Box

Remark 4.3. When specialized to the case $h \in \mathscr{C}^1$, Theorem [1.3](#page-2-1) shows that h is harmonic outside of the zero set of its Jacobian.

5. Auxiliary smoothing results

Here we present some results concerning smoothing of piecewise differentiable planar homeomorphisms. They can be found in [\[25\]](#page-21-3) in greater generality, but since we require quantitative control of derivatives, a self-contained proof is in order. Here it is more convenient to use the operator norm of a matrix, denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. Note that $\|A\| \leq |A| \leq 2\|A\|$ for 2×2 -matrices.

Proposition 5.1. Let $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a domain containing an open segment I with endpoints on the boundary $\partial\mathbb{U}$ which splits \mathbb{U} into two subdomains \mathbb{U}_1 and \mathbb{U}_2 such that $\mathbb{U} \setminus I = \mathbb{U}_1 \cup \mathbb{U}_2$. Suppose that $f: \overline{\mathbb{U}} \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} \overline{\mathbb{U}^*} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a homeomorphism with the following properties:

- (i) For $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ the restriction of f to $\overline{\mathbb{U}_j}$ is \mathscr{C}^{∞} -smooth, equals the identity on I;
- (ii) There is a constant $M > 0$ such that for $j = 1, 2$ the restriction of f to $\overline{\mathbb{U}_j}$ satisfies $||Df|| \leq M$ and $\det Df \geq M^{-1}$.

Then for any open set \mathbb{U}_{\circ} with $I \subset \mathbb{U}_{\circ} \subset \mathbb{U}$ there is a \mathscr{C}^{∞} -diffeomorphism $g: \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{U}^*$ such that

- g agrees with f on $\mathbb{U} \setminus \mathbb{U}$ (and also on I);
- $||Dg|| \leq 20M$ and $\det Dg \geq (20M)^{-1}$ on U.

Proof. Without loss of generality $I \subset \mathbb{R} = \{(x, y): y = 0\}$. We write f in components as (u, v) where u and v are functions of x and y. Let us introduce a notation; given any \mathscr{C}^{∞} -smooth function $\beta \colon \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$, denote

 $V(\beta) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |y| < \beta(x)\}.$ We can and do choose β so that $I \subset I$ $V(\beta) \subset \mathbb{U}_{\infty}$, and further scale it down until the following holds.

(5.1)
$$
|\beta'(x)| \leq \frac{1}{40M} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R};
$$

$$
|v_x| \leq \frac{1}{50M^2} \quad \text{in } V(\beta) \setminus I, \quad \text{because } v(x,0) = 0;
$$

$$
|u_x - 1| \leq \frac{1}{10} \quad \text{in } V(\beta) \setminus I, \quad \text{because } u(x,0) = x.
$$

As a consequence of [\(ii\)](#page-16-2) and (5.1) ,

(5.2)
$$
v_y \ge \frac{M^{-1} - |u_y v_x|}{u_x} \ge \frac{1}{2M}.
$$

Since v is also M-Lipschitz by [\(ii\)](#page-16-2), the following double inequality holds in $V(\beta) \setminus I$.

(5.3)
$$
\frac{1}{2M} \leqslant \frac{v}{y} \leqslant M.
$$

Let us fix be a nondecreasing \mathscr{C}^{∞} function $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 1/3$. Let $\alpha(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 2/3$. Moreover, $\alpha'(t) \leq 4$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha(\infty) = 1$, by convention. Now we introduce a modification of u on U by setting

$$
\tilde{u} := \alpha(t)u + (1 - \alpha(t))x \quad \text{where } t = \begin{cases} \frac{|y|}{\beta(x)} & \text{if } \beta(x) \neq 0\\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

.

Note that $\tilde{u} = u$ outside of $V(\beta)$. In $V(\beta) \setminus I$ we compute the derivatives as follows.

(5.4)

$$
\tilde{u}_x = -t^2 \alpha'(t) \beta'(x) \frac{u-x}{|y|} + \alpha(t) u_x + 1 - \alpha(t)
$$

$$
\tilde{u}_y = t \alpha'(t) \frac{u-x}{y} + \alpha(t) u_y
$$

Since u is M-Lipschitz by [\(ii\)](#page-16-2), we have $|u - x| \le M|y|$. From this, [\(5.1\)](#page-17-0) and [\(5.4\)](#page-17-1) we obtain

(5.5)
$$
\frac{8}{10} \leq \tilde{u}_x \leq \frac{12}{10}, \quad \text{and} \quad |\tilde{u}_y| \leq 5M,
$$

which combined with [\(5.2\)](#page-17-2) yields

(5.6)
$$
\tilde{u}_x v_y - \tilde{u}_y v_x \ge \frac{8}{10} \frac{1}{2M} - \frac{5M}{50M^2} = \frac{3}{10M}.
$$

Next we modify v on U . Specifically,

$$
\tilde{v} := \alpha(s)v + (1 - \alpha(s))\frac{y}{2M} \quad \text{where } s = \begin{cases} \frac{3|y|}{\beta(x)} & \text{if } \beta(x) \neq 0\\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

Note that $\tilde{v} = v$ outside of $V(\beta/3)$, and on the set $V(\beta/3)$ we already have $\tilde{u} \equiv x.$

.

Computations similar to [\(5.4\)](#page-17-1) yield (on the set $V(\beta/3) \setminus I$)

(5.7)
$$
\tilde{v}_x = -\frac{1}{3}\alpha'(s)s^2 \frac{v-y}{|y|} + \alpha(s)v_x;
$$

$$
\tilde{v}_y = \frac{s\alpha'(s)}{y}\left(v - \frac{y}{2M}\right) + \alpha(s)v_y + \frac{1-\alpha(s)}{2M}
$$

Straightforward estimates based on [\(5.1\)](#page-17-0), [\(5.2\)](#page-17-2) and [\(5.3\)](#page-17-3) comply

(5.8)
$$
|\tilde{v}_x| \leqslant \frac{4M}{3} + \frac{1}{50M^2} < \frac{3M}{2},
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2M} \leqslant \tilde{v}_y \leqslant 5M.
$$

It remains to check that the mapping $g := (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$, which agrees with f outside of $V(\beta)$, satisfies all the requirements. As regards \mathscr{C}^{∞} -smoothness we need only check it on $V(\beta/9)$. But in this neighborhood of I we have a linear mapping, $g(x,y) = \left(x, \frac{y}{2\lambda}\right)$ $\frac{y}{2M}$, so \mathscr{C}^{∞} -smooth. By virtue of [\(5.5\)](#page-17-4) and [\(5.8\)](#page-18-0) we have $||Dg|| \le 20M$. The desired lower bound for det Dg follows from (5.6) and (5.8) . Consequently, g is a local diffeomorphism, and since it agrees with f on $\partial V(\beta)$, it is in fact a diffeomorphism, by a topological result: a local homeomorphism which shares boundary values with a homeomorphism is injective [\[25,](#page-21-3) Lemma 8.2].

We also need a polar version of Proposition [5.1.](#page-16-3)

Corollary 5.2. Let $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a domain containing a circle \mathbb{T} . Suppose that $f: \mathbb{U} \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{U}^* \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a homeomorphism with the following properties:

- (i) The restriction of f to $\mathbb T$ is the identity mapping;
- (ii) There is a constant $M > 0$ such that the restriction of f to either component of $\mathbb{U}\backslash \mathbb{T}$ is \mathscr{C}^{∞} -smooth with $||Df|| \leqslant M$ and $\det Df \geqslant M^{-1}$.

Then for any open set W with $\mathbb{T} \subset W \subset \mathbb{U}$ there is a \mathscr{C}^{∞} -diffeomorphism $g: \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{U}^*$ such that

- g agrees with f on $\mathbb{U} \setminus W$ and on \mathbb{T} ;
- $||Dg|| \leqslant 80M$ and $\det Dg \geqslant (80M)^{-1}$ on U.

Proof. It is convenient to identify \mathbb{R}^2 with \mathbb{C} . Without loss of generality $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Let $\psi(\zeta) = \exp(i\zeta)$. The mapping $F = \psi^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi$ is well-defined in some open horizontal strip $S_h = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\text{Im } z| < \epsilon\}$ which we choose thin enough so that $\psi(S_{\epsilon}) \subset W$ and $|\psi'|^2 < e^{2\epsilon} \leq 2$. Note that F is 2π -periodic and satisfies

$$
||DF|| \le 2M
$$
 and $\det DF \ge (2M)^{-1}$.

The proof of Proposition [5.1](#page-16-3) applies to F with no changes other than one simplification: $\beta > 0$ is now a small positive constant rather than a function. Thus we obtain a diffeomorphism G which agrees with F on $\mathbb{R} \cup (S \setminus V(\beta))$ and satisfies $||DG|| \leq 40$ and det $DG \geq (40M)^{-1}$. Since F was 2π -periodic, so is G. Thus, $g := \psi \circ G \circ \psi^{-1}$ is the desired diffeomorphism. \Box

Our applications require slightly more general versions of Proposition [5.1](#page-16-3) and Corollary [5.2,](#page-18-1) where the separating curve is allowed to have other shapes and f is not required to agree with the identity on the curve.

Definition 5.3. A parametric curve $\Gamma: (0,1) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is regular if Γ extends to a bigger interval $(a, b) \supset [0, 1]$ so that the extended mapping is a \mathscr{C}^{∞} diffeomorphism onto its image.

Note that a regular curve Γ has well-defined endpoints $\Gamma(0)$ and $\Gamma(1)$. Also, Γ extends to an injective \mathscr{C}^{∞} -mapping $\Phi: (0,1) \times (-1,1) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $||D\Phi||$ and $||(D\Phi)^{-1}||$ are bounded. This follows from the existence of a tubular neighborhood of the image of Γ [\[23,](#page-21-19) Theorem 4.26].

Corollaries [5.4](#page-19-0) and [5.5,](#page-19-1) given below, generalize Proposition [5.1](#page-16-3) and Corollary [5.2](#page-18-1) respectively.

Corollary 5.4. Let $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a domain containing the image of a regular arc Γ with endpoints on the boundary ∂U which divides U into two subdomains \mathbb{U}_1 and \mathbb{U}_2 such that $\mathbb{U} \setminus \Gamma = \mathbb{U}_1 \cup \mathbb{U}_2$. Suppose $f : \overline{\mathbb{U}} \to \overline{\mathbb{U}^*} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a homeomorphism such that $f \circ \Gamma$ is also regular and the restriction of f to each $\overline{\mathbb{U}_i}$ is \mathscr{C}^{∞} -smooth and satisfies

$$
|Df(z)| \le M, \quad \det Df(z) \ge \frac{1}{M} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{U}_i
$$

where M is a positive constant. Then there is a constant $M' > 0$ such that to every open set $\mathbb{U}' \subset \mathbb{U}$ with $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{U}'$ there corresponds a \mathscr{C}^{∞} -diffeomorphism $g: \mathbb{U} \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{U}^*$ with the following properties

- $g(z) = f(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \mathbb{U}'$ (and also on Γ)
- $|Dg(z)| \leq M'$ and $\det Dg(z) \geq \frac{1}{M'}$ on U.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{Q} = (0, 1) \times (-1, 1)$. Let Φ and Ψ be the extensions of Γ and $f \circ \Gamma$ to $\mathbb Q$ as in Definition [5.3.](#page-19-2) There is a domain $\widetilde{\mathbb U}$ such that $(0, 1) \times \{0\} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb U} \subset \mathbb Q$, $\Phi(\mathbb{U}) \in \mathbb{U}'$, and the composition $F := \Psi^{-1} \circ f \circ \Phi$ is defined in \mathbb{U} . Note that $F = id$ on $(0, 1) \times \{0\}$. We apply Proposition [5.1](#page-16-3) (with \overline{U} in place of \overline{U} and with F in place of f) and obtain a \mathscr{C}^{∞} -diffeomorphism $G: \widetilde{\mathbb{U}} \to F(\widetilde{\mathbb{U}})$. Finally, replace F within $\tilde{\mathbb{U}}$ with the diffeomorphism $g = \Psi \circ G \circ \Phi^{-1}$ \Box

Corollary 5.5. Let $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a domain containing the image of a \mathscr{C}^{∞} . smooth Jordan curve Γ which divides $\mathbb U$ into two subdomains $\mathbb U_1$ and $\mathbb U_2$ such that $\mathbb{U} \setminus \Gamma = \mathbb{U}_1 \cup \mathbb{U}_2$. Suppose $f: \overline{\mathbb{U}} \to \overline{\mathbb{U}^*} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a homeomorphism such that the restriction of f to each $\overline{\mathbb{U}_i}$ is \mathscr{C}^{∞} -smooth and satisfies

$$
|Df(z)| \le M, \quad \det Df(z) \ge \frac{1}{M} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{U}_i
$$

where M is a positive constant. Then there is a constant $M' > 0$ such that to every open set $\mathbb{U}' \subset \mathbb{U}$ with $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{U}'$ there corresponds a \mathscr{C}^{∞} -diffeomorphism $g: \mathbb{U} \stackrel{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{U}^*$ with the following properties

• $g(z) = f(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \mathbb{U}'$ (and also on Γ)

• $|Dg(z)| \leq M'$ and $\det Dg(z) \geq \frac{1}{M'}$ on U.

Proof. The proof of Corollary [5.4](#page-19-0) is easily adapted to this case. \Box

6. Concluding remarks

One may wonder whether the proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) can be extended to the spaces $\mathscr{W}^{1,p}$, $1 < p < \infty$, by means of the *p*-harmonic replacement in place of Proposition [2.3.](#page-4-0) Indeed, p-harmonic mappings are $\mathscr{C}^{1,\alpha}$ -smooth [\[36\]](#page-21-20). However, the injectivity of p-harmonic replacement of a homeomorphism is unclear.

Question 6.1. Is there a version of the Radó-Kneser-Choquet theorem for p -harmonic mappings? That is, does the p -harmonic extension of a homeomorphism onto a convex Jordan curve enjoy the injectivity property?

An attempt to extend Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) to higher dimensions faces another obstacle: the Radó-Kneser-Choquet theorem fails in dimensions $n \geqslant 3$ as was proved by Laugesen [\[22\]](#page-21-21).

REFERENCES

- 1. K. Astala, T. Iwaniec, and G. Martin, Elliptic partial differential equations and quasiconformal mappings in the plane, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- 2. J. M. Ball, Global invertibility of Sobolev functions and the interpenetration of matter, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 88 (1981), 315–328.
- 3. J. M. Ball, Discontinuous equilibrium solutions and cavitation in nonlinear elasticity, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 306 (1982) 557–611.
- 4. J. M. Ball, Singularities and computation of minimizers for variational problems, Foundations of computational mathematics (Oxford, 1999), 1–20, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 284, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- 5. P. Bauman, D. Phillips, and N. Owen, Maximum principles and a priori estimates for an incompressible material in nonlinear elasticity, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 17 (1992), no. 7-8, 1185–1212.
- 6. J. C. Bellido and C. Mora-Corral, Approximation of Hölder continuous homeomorphisms by piecewise affine homeomorphisms, Houston J. Math., to appear.
- 7. S. Conti and C. De Lellis, Some remarks on the theory of elasticity for compressible Neohookean materials, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 2 (2003) 521–549.
- 8. U. Dierkes, S. Hildebrandt, A. Küster, and O. Wohlrab, *Minimal surfaces. I. Boundary* value problems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- 9. P. Duren, Harmonic mappings in the plane, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 156. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- 10. J. Eells and L. Lemaire, A report on harmonic maps, Bull. London Math. Soc. 10 (1978), no. 1, 1–68.
- 11. J. Eells and L. Lemaire, Selected topics in harmonic maps, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 50. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1983.
- 12. J. Eells and L. Lemaire, Another report on harmonic maps, Bull. London Math. Soc. 20 (1988), no. 5, 385–524.
- 13. L. C. Evans, Quasiconvexity and partial regularity in the calculus of variations, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 95 (1986), no. 3, 227–252.
- 14. I. Fonseca and W. Gangbo, Local invertibility of Sobolev functions, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 26 (1995), no. 2, 280–304.

- 15. L. Gardiner and N. Lakic, *Quasiconformal Teichmüller theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- 16. D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- 17. F. Hélein, Homéomorphismes quasi conformes entre surfaces riemanniennes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. 307 (1988), no. 13, 725–730.
- 18. S. Hencl and P. Koskela, Regularity of the inverse of a planar Sobolev homeomorphism, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 180 (2006), no. 1, 75–95.
- 19. H. Hopf, Differential geometry in the large, Notes taken by Peter Lax and John Gray. With a preface by S. S. Chern. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1000. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- 20. J. Jost, A note on harmonic maps between surfaces, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 2 (1985), no. 6, 397–405.
- 21. J. Jost, Two-dimensional geometric variational problems, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1991.
- 22. R. S. Laugesen, Injectivity can fail for higher-dimensional harmonic extensions Complex Variables Theory Appl. 28 (1996), no. 4, 357–369.
- 23. S. Montiel and A. Ros, Curves and surfaces, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- 24. C. Mora-Corral, Approximation by piecewise affine homeomorphisms of Sobolev homeomorphisms that are smooth outside a point, Houston J. Math. 35 (2009), no. 2, 515–539.
- 25. J. Munkres, Obstructions to the smoothing of piecewise-differentiable homeomorphisms, Ann. of Math. (2) 72 (1960), 521–554.
- 26. S. Müller, S. J. Spector, and Q. Tang, *Invertibility and a topological property of Sobolev* maps, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **27** (1996), no. 4, 959-976.
- 27. Ch. Pommerenke, Boundary behaviour of conformal maps, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- 28. E. Reich and K. Strebel, On quasiconformal mappings which keep the boundary points fixed, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (1969), 211–222.
- 29. E. Reich and H. R. Walczak, On the behavior of quasiconformal mappings at a point, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1965), 338–351.
- 30. R. Schoen, Analytic aspects of the harmonic map problem, in "Seminar on nonlinear partial differential equations" (Berkeley, Calif., 1983), 321–358, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 2, Springer, New York, 1984.
- 31. H. C. J. Sealey, Harmonic diffeomorphisms of surfaces, in "Harmonic Maps", Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. 949, 140–145, Springer, New York, 1982.
- 32. G. A. Seregin and T. N. Shilkin, Some remarks on the mollification of piecewise-linear homeomorphisms. J. Math. Sci. (New York) 87 (1997), no. 2, 3428-3433.
- 33. J. Sivaloganathan and S. J. Spector, Necessary conditions for a minimum at a radial cavitating singularity in nonlinear elasticity, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 25 (2008), no. 1, 201–213.
- 34. M. Struwe, Plateau's problem and the calculus of variations, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988.
- 35. V. Sverák, Regularity properties of deformations with finite energy, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 100 (1988), no. 2, 105–127.
- 36. N. N. Ural'ceva, Degenerate quasilinear elliptic systems, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 7 (1968) 184–222.

Department of Mathematics, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244, USA and Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki, Fin-LAND

 $E\text{-}mail\;address: \texttt{tivariate}$ edu

Department of Mathematics, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244, USA E-mail address: lvkovale@syr.edu

Department of Mathematics, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244, USA E-mail address: jkonnine@syr.edu