

2-1-2011

An Essay on the Interpolation Theorem of Józef Marcinkiewicz - Polish Patriot

Tadeusz Iwaniec

Syracuse University and University of Helsinki

Follow this and additional works at: <https://surface.syr.edu/mat>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Iwaniec, Tadeusz, "An Essay on the Interpolation Theorem of Józef Marcinkiewicz - Polish Patriot" (2011). *Mathematics Faculty Scholarship*. 82.

<https://surface.syr.edu/mat/82>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics at SURFACE. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics Faculty Scholarship by an authorized administrator of SURFACE. For more information, please contact surface@syr.edu.

AN ESSAY ON THE INTERPOLATION THEOREM OF
JÓZEF MARCINKIEWICZ - POLISH PATRIOT

TADEUSZ IWANIEC

*In memory of Polish mathematicians
murdered by the Soviets and the Nazis*

Prologue

"You will have to name it," Pierre said to his young wife, in the same tone as if it were a question of choosing a name for little Irène.

The one-time Mlle Sklodovska reflected in silence for a moment. Then, her heart turning toward her own country which had been erased from the map of the world, she wondered vaguely if the scientific event would be published in Russia, Germany and Austria- the oppressor countries-and answered timidly:

"Could we call it 'polonium' ? "

In the Proceedings of the Academy of Science for July 1898 we read: " If the existence of this new metal is confirmed we propose to call it *polonium*, from the name of the original country of one of us."

-from the book MADAME CURIE
A Biography by Ève Curie.
The Literary Guild of America,
INC. New York 1937 (page 161)

In May, 1921, President Harding presented Maria Skłodowska with one gram of radium. Later, in 1929, she donated a second gram of radium to help build The Radium Institute in Warsaw (1932).

Date: February 2, 2011.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35J60; Secondary 41A05, 47B38.

Key words and phrases. Marcinkiewicz, Nonlinear Interpolation, p -Laplacian .

The author was supported by the NSF grant DMS-0800416 and the Academy of Finland project 1128331.

Maria Skłodowska's life, regardless of her fame, has immensely inspired fellow generations of scientists in Poland and abroad. Józef Marcinkiewicz was about two years old when she was awarded her second Nobel Prize (in chemistry, December 10, 1911). This year we will celebrate the one hundredth anniversary of this event.

In 1939, following their secret protocol (signed by Molotov and Ribbentrop in August 23, 1939), Adolf Hitler (on September 1) and Joseph Stalin (on September 17) attacked Poland hoping to erase it from the map of the world again. Józef Marcinkiewicz, like Maria Skłodowska forty years before, stood up for his beloved country. In August 1939, when the Second World War was imminent, he came back from London to Wilno (Vilnius). He put on Polish military uniform to say no to the Nazis and the Bolsheviks.

"As a patriot and son of my homeland I would never attempt to refuse the service to the country in such difficult time as war".

- fragment of a letter of Józef Marcinkiewicz to his adviser Antoni Zygmund, see [26]

Marcinkiewicz, along with 22 thousand Polish army officers, police members, land owners -great patriots who dared to exhibit a love and pride of independent Poland, were executed by NKVD murderers. By the order of J. Stalin, they were shot in the back of the head and buried secretly in mass graves of gloomy forested sites near Starobielsk, Ostashkovo and the most documented Katyń.

KATYŃ CAROL

*Someday maybe a great musician will rise up,
will transform speechless rows of gravestones into a keyboard,
a great Polish song writer will compose a frightening ballad
with blood and tears.*

[...]

*And there will emerge untold stories,
strange hearts, bodies bathed in light...
And the Truth again will embody
The Spirit
with living words-of the sand of Katyń*

- *Kazimiera Iłakowiczówna*
(translated by the author of this article)

”...his early death may be seen as a great blow to Polish Mathematics, and probably its heaviest individual loss during the Second World War”.

- remark by Professor Antoni Zygmund
about his pupil Józef Marcinkiewicz [11, 28, 39]

Good scientific communities, like families, honor the memory of their eminent members, great patriots and martyrs. I devote my essay to the memory of Józef Marcinkiewicz and all Polish mathematicians whose glorious scientific careers had come to a cruel end during Nazi-Soviet occupation. Józef Marcinkiewicz, Stanisław Saks and Juliusz Paweł Schauder were inspiration to me. I am mindful of them not only as mathematicians.

Stanisław Saks (1897-1942) was born to a Polish-Jewish family. He joined the Polish underground, was arrested and executed in November 23, 1942, by the German Gestapo in Warsaw.

Words written on the wall of a cell by an anonymous prisoner of the Gestapo in Aleja Szucha in Warsaw can be translated as saying:

*Speaking of Poland is easy
working for it is harder
dying is harder still
but suffering is the hardest.*

Juliusz Paweł Schauder (1899 -1943), a Polish mathematician of Jewish origin who was shot in Lwów by the Gestapo in September 1943. Immediately after that, his wife Emilia and her daughter Ewa were hiding in the sewers. They eventually surrendered to the Gestapo. Transported to the concentration camp in Lublin, Emilia died, her daughter Ewa survived the camp.

In August 1944 the staff of The Radium Institute in Warsaw suffered the same fate as the victims of the Katyń massacre, they were executed by a shot in the back of the head. After the Second World War The Institute was named ”Maria Skłodowska-Curie Institute of Oncology”.

Acknowledgement. I feel highly honored by the invitation to speak at the **Józef Marcinkiewicz Centenary Conference** in Poznań, the city of the poet Kazimiera Iłakowiczówna, and the city where Marcinkiewicz got his last, unrealized, offer to work (August 1939).

Heart-felt thanks to the organizers.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 4 |
| 2. A Motivation from Hodge Theory | 6 |
| 2.1. The linear Hodge theory | 6 |
| 2.2. The \mathcal{L}^p - projection | 7 |
| 3. Setting the Stage | 8 |
| 3.1. The \mathcal{L}^2 -projections | 8 |
| 3.2. The Π_+^p and Π_-^q projections | 10 |
| 3.3. The most general setting | 11 |
| 3.4. The natural domain of definition | 11 |
| 4. Beyond the Natural Domain of Definition | 13 |
| 4.1. Acceptable solutions | 14 |
| 4.2. A nonlinear counterpart of the Riesz Transforms | 15 |
| 5. Marcinkiewicz Interpolation in a Nonlinear Context | 15 |
| 5.1. The main result | 15 |
| 5.2. Marcinkiewicz decomposition | 16 |
| 6. The Interpolation Estimate, proof of Theorem 5.2 | 19 |
| References | 20 |

1. INTRODUCTION

The total record of accomplishments of Marcinkiewicz in his short life, his talent, perceptions rich in concepts, and technical novelties, go far beyond my ability to give full play within the confines of one article. The importance of Marcinkiewicz's short paper [27], see also [38], is reflected in the myriad applications and generalizations [2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 17, 36, 38] ; which earns the right to be called

Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theory

Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem came after the celebrated convexity theorem of M. Riesz [32] and his student G.O. Thorin [37] . These fundamental works by M. Riesz, G.O. Thorin and J. Marcinkiewicz deal with estimates of the \mathcal{L}^p -norms of an operator knowing its behavior at the end-points of the interval of the exponents p , where the operator is still defined. There are, however, some subtle differences between the Riesz-Thorin and the Marcinkiewicz ideas. Marcinkiewicz approach can be adapted to nonlinear operators, this is what we are going to demonstrate in the present paper. On the other hand, the very elegant idea of complex interpolation by G.O. Thorin [37] has found numerous applications, especially when dealing with sharp inequalities for singular integrals. The interested reader may wish to take a note of the interpolation lemmas in [1].

In the present paper I will try to elucidate some new advances of Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem which arise from a study of the nonlinear p -harmonic

type PDEs, [12, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20]. The principal result in this paper can be described as follows:

Let (\mathbb{X}, dx) be σ -finite measure space, $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ the space of square integrable functions valued in a finite dimensional inner product space \mathbb{V} , and $\mathcal{L}_+^2(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ a closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$. We study the orthogonal projection

$$\Pi; \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_+^2(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$$

The standing assumption about the subspace $\mathcal{L}_+^2(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ is that Π extends as a continuous linear operator,

$$\Pi; \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_+^s(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$$

to some range of exponents $s \in (s_1, s_\infty)$, where $1 \leq s_1 < 2 < s_\infty \leq \infty$. For example, the \mathcal{L}^2 -projection of vector fields in \mathbb{R}^n onto gradient fields is represented by the Riesz transforms, so $s_1 = 1$ and $s_\infty = \infty$.

Now, choose and fix $p \in (s_1, s_\infty)$. The \mathcal{L}^p -projection of $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ onto the space $\mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ is defined to be a function $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ that is the closest, in \mathcal{L}^p -distance, to \mathfrak{f} . This gives rise to a nonlinear continuous operator

$$\mathfrak{R}_p; \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$$

This operator can be viewed as p -harmonic variant of the Riesz transforms. The space $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ is the natural domain of definition of \mathfrak{R}_p . However, we are interested in the action of \mathfrak{R}_p on spaces different from $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$; namely,

$$\mathfrak{R}_p; \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_+^s(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}), \quad \text{with some exponents } s \neq p$$

Theorem 1.1 (Interpolation of the \mathcal{L}^p -projections). *Suppose*

$$\mathfrak{R}_p; \mathcal{L}^{r_i}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{weak}}^{r_i}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}), \quad i \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \text{where } s_1 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq s_\infty$$

This means that for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$ there is a constant C_i such that

$$\text{meas} \{x \in \mathbb{X}; |\mathfrak{R}_p \mathfrak{f}(x)| > t\} \leq C_i t^{-r_i} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathfrak{f}(x)|^{r_i} dx, \quad \text{for all } t > 0$$

whenever $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \cap \mathcal{L}^{r_i}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$.

Then for every $r \in (r_1, r_2)$ there exists a constant C_r such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathfrak{R}_p \mathfrak{f}(x)|^r dx \leq C_r \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathfrak{f}(x)|^r dx$$

whenever $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \cap \mathcal{L}^r(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$.

The proof is immediate from a more general result that we included in Theorem 5.2, see Section 5 and Section 6.

2. A MOTIVATION FROM HODGE THEORY

The Hodge decomposition of differential forms provokes a nonlinear setting of Marcinkiewicz Interpolation.

2.1. The linear Hodge theory. Let \mathbb{X} be an oriented Riemannian n -dimensional smooth manifold (with or without boundary) [15, 22, 25, 30, 35]. To every point $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq n$ there corresponds a linear space Λ_x^ℓ of ℓ -covectors. This is an $\binom{n}{\ell}$ - dimensional inner product space:

$$\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle dx = \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle_x dx = \alpha \wedge * \beta$$

where dx stands for the unit n -covector induced by the metric and orientation of the manifold, and $*$; $\Lambda_x^\ell \rightarrow \Lambda_x^{n-\ell}$ is the Hodge-star duality operator. The volume element dx gives rise to a measure on \mathbb{X} .

Let $\Lambda^\ell = \Lambda^\ell(\mathbb{X}) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \Lambda_x^\ell$ denote the bundle of ℓ -covectors over \mathbb{X} . One might consider various classes of sections of this bundle; that is, differential forms. The class of smooth ℓ -forms will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell)$. There are two underlying differential operators acting on forms: the exterior differential and its formal adjoint, called Hodge codifferential

$$d; \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^{\ell-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell), \quad d^*; \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^{\ell+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell)$$

The Hodge theory asserts that every differential ℓ -form $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell)$ can be written as

$$\omega = du + d^*v + h, \quad u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^{\ell-1}), \quad v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^{\ell+1}), \quad h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell)$$

The exact component du , the coexact component d^*v , and the harmonic field h , $dh = d^*h = 0$, are determined uniquely once we impose suitable boundary conditions on u, v, h (no conditions are required for compact manifolds without boundary), see [15, 22, 25, 30]. Under such boundary conditions these components are mutually orthogonal in the space

$$\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell) = \{ \omega; \|\omega\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \omega \wedge * \omega = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \langle \omega(x) | \omega(x) \rangle dx < \infty \}$$

In fact this is the space where the Hodge decomposition theory is born. Let us look briefly at the following two closed subspaces of $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell)$; those that consist of the exact and coclosed forms, respectively:

$$\mathcal{L}_+^2(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell) = \mathcal{L}^2 - \text{closure of the forms } du, \text{ with } u \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^{\ell-1})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_-^2(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell) = \mathcal{L}^2 - \text{closure of the forms } \beta \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell) \text{ such that } d^*\beta = 0$$

Thus we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell) = \mathcal{L}_+^2(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell) \oplus \mathcal{L}_-^2(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell)$$

Let

$$\mathbf{E}; \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_+^2(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{C}; \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_-^2(\mathbb{X}, \Lambda^\ell)$$

denote the orthogonal projections. These operators are locally represented by singular integrals (Riesz Transforms) and as such keep acting as continuous operators on every \mathcal{L}^s -space, with $1 < s < \infty$, [22, 25, 30, 35]

$$\mathbf{E} ; \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_+^s(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{C} ; \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_-^s(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell)$$

Let us record the following generalization of the orthogonality of exact and coclosed forms

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} \langle \alpha(x) | \beta(x) \rangle dx = 0, \quad \text{whenever } \alpha \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \in \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell)$$

Hereafter p and q are Hölder conjugate exponents; that is, real numbers in the interval $(1, \infty)$ that satisfy the Hölder relation $p + q = p \cdot q$.

These projection operators also act on $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell)$ with values, respectively, in exact and coclosed forms of the Marcinkiewicz class $\mathcal{L}_{\text{weak}}^1(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell)$.

2.2. The \mathcal{L}^p - projection. The quintessential problem is to find an exact differential form $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell)$, $p \neq 2$, which is the nearest possible in the \mathcal{L}^p -distance to a given form $\omega \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell)$. In the pursuit of the solution we minimize the p -harmonic energy integral

$$\min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell)} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\omega(x) - \gamma(x)|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\omega(x) - \alpha(x)|^p dx$$

Such form $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell)$ solves the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$(2.1) \quad d^* |\omega - \alpha|^{p-2} (\omega - \alpha) = 0,$$

Equivalently,

$$(2.2) \quad |\omega - \alpha|^{p-2} (\omega - \alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta \in \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell)$$

Here, we are dealing with Hölder conjugate exponents, $p + q = p \cdot q$, and the Hodge codifferential $d^* ; \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^{\ell-1})$ acting in the sense of distributions. Now, by the very definition, the \mathcal{L}^p -projection onto exact forms is a nonlinear operator which takes ω into α ,

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{E}^p ; \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell), \quad \mathbf{E}^p \omega = \alpha$$

It is plain that the operator \mathbf{E}^p is bounded,

$$(2.4) \quad \|\mathbf{E}^p \omega\|_p \leq \|\omega\|_p$$

But it is less obvious, because of nonlinearity, that \mathbf{E}^p is also continuous; precisely, we have:

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{E}^p \omega_1 - \mathbf{E}^p \omega_2|^p \ll \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} |\omega_1 - \omega_2|^p \right)^\theta \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} |\omega_1|^p + |\omega_2|^p \right)^{1-\theta}$$

for some exponent $0 < \theta = \theta(p) \leq 1$. Throughout this text we shall make use of the symbol \ll to indicate that the inequality holds with some positive constant, so-called *implied constant*, in front of the expression after this symbol. The implied constants will vary from line to line; their detailed dependence on the exponents and other parameters can easily be perceived

from the computation. The implied constants will never depend on the functions of concern.

While the space $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \wedge^\ell)$ is considered the natural domain of definition of the operator \mathbf{E}^p we shall depart from this space and move into the realm of exponents different from p . A wider and unifying framework will be set up for such operators to capture the essence of Marcinkiewicz interpolation.

3. SETTING THE STAGE

From now on (\mathbb{X}, dx) will be an arbitrary σ -finite measure space and \mathbb{V} a finite dimensional vector space equipped with an inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{V}}$ and the induced norm $|\cdot| = |\cdot|_{\mathbb{V}} = \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle^{1/2}$. We shall discuss \mathcal{L}^s -spaces, $1 \leq s < \infty$, of dx -measurable functions on \mathbb{X} valued in \mathbb{V} ,

$$\mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) = \left\{ \omega; \|\omega\|_s = \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} |\omega(x)|_{\mathbb{V}}^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} < \infty \right\}$$

Remark 3.1. The observant reader may wish to note that our subsequent considerations remain valid for functions in \mathbb{X} whose values $\omega(x)$ lie in a given inner product space \mathbb{V}_x assigned to each point $x \in \mathbb{X}$, like differential forms on Riemannian manifolds. Rigorous treatment of this setting, however, would require introduction of relevant vector bundles over \mathbb{X} , so that ω would become a measurable section. For the sake of readability, we do not enter this territory; instead, we confine ourselves to trivial bundle $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{V}$.

3.1. The \mathcal{L}^2 -projections. Let us choose and fix a closed subspace $\mathcal{L}_+^2(\mathbb{X}) = \mathcal{L}_+^2(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \subset \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ and its orthogonal complement $\mathcal{L}_-^2(\mathbb{X}) = \mathcal{L}_-^2(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \subset \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$. Thus, we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}) = \mathcal{L}_+^2(\mathbb{X}) \oplus \mathcal{L}_-^2(\mathbb{X})$$

and the induced \mathcal{L}^2 -projections

$$\Pi_+; \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_+^2(\mathbb{X}), \quad \Pi_-; \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_-^2(\mathbb{X}), \quad \mathbf{I} = \Pi_+ + \Pi_-$$

In general these linear operators may, or may not, extend to any of \mathcal{L}^s -spaces with $s \neq 2$. Moreover, if they do extend, the range of such exponents depends on the decomposition (3.1). Let us take for granted an assumption that

$$(3.2) \quad \Pi_+; \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{into}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{weak}}^q(\mathbb{X}), \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq q < 2$$

meaning that for every $t > 0$ it holds

$$(3.3) \quad \text{meas}\{x; |\Pi_+ \omega(x)| > t\} \ll \frac{1}{t^q} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\omega|^q, \quad \text{whenever } \omega \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{X})$$

where the implied constant depends neither on t nor on the function ω . Of course the same is true for the operator Π_- . By virtue of Marcinkiewicz

Interpolation Theorem these two projections extend as continuous operators:

$$(3.4) \quad \Pi_+ ; \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_+^s(\mathbb{X}), \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{q} < s \leq 2$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \Pi_- ; \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_-^s(\mathbb{X}), \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{q} < s \leq 2$$

where the spaces $\mathcal{L}_+^s(\mathbb{X})$ and $\mathcal{L}_-^s(\mathbb{X})$ are the closures of $\mathcal{L}_+^2(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X})$ and $\mathcal{L}_-^2(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X})$ in the norm of $\mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X})$, respectively. It is rather intriguing that a direct application of Marcinkiewicz interpolation does not guarantee a uniform bound of the norms of Π_\pm as s approaches 2, though such uniform bounds are evident from the Riesz-Thorin convexity theorem. Nevertheless, since the operators Π_\pm are selfadjoint in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$, we may appeal to Hölder's duality to infer that

$$(3.6) \quad \Pi_+ ; \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_+^s(\mathbb{X}), \quad \text{for all } 2 \leq s < \mathfrak{p}$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \Pi_- ; \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_-^s(\mathbb{X}), \quad \text{for all } 2 \leq s < \mathfrak{p}$$

where $1 \leq \mathfrak{q} < 2 < \mathfrak{p} \leq \infty$ is a Hölder conjugate pair; that is, $\mathfrak{q} + \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cdot \mathfrak{p}$.

Now, a uniform bound of the s -norms with $s \approx 2$ follows from the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem as well. Let us summarize the above findings as

$$(3.8) \quad \Pi_\pm ; \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_\pm^s(\mathbb{X}), \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{q} < s < \mathfrak{p}$$

The spaces $\mathcal{L}_+^s(\mathbb{X})$ and $\mathcal{L}_-^s(\mathbb{X})$ can also be characterized through the following properties

$$\mathcal{L}_+^s(\mathbb{X}) = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}); \Pi_+ \alpha = \alpha \}, \quad \mathcal{L}_-^s(\mathbb{X}) = \{ \beta \in \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}); \Pi_- \beta = \beta \}$$

From now on we reserve the letters p, q for a pair of Hölder conjugate exponents in the range

$$\mathfrak{q} < q \leq p < \mathfrak{p}, \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

Let us conclude this subsection by recording the (p, q) - *orthogonality* equation, reminiscent of the familiar div-curl product equation [9, 21, 22, 24, 31]

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{X}} \langle \alpha(x) | \beta(x) \rangle dx = 0, \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}) \text{ and } \beta \in \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X})$$

This shows that the dual space to $L_+^p(\mathbb{X})$ is $L_+^q(\mathbb{X})$, and the dual space to $L_-^p(\mathbb{X})$ is $L_-^q(\mathbb{X})$. In symbols, we have

$$L_+^p(\mathbb{X})^\star = L_+^q(\mathbb{X}), \quad L_+^q(\mathbb{X})^\star = L_+^p(\mathbb{X})$$

$$L_-^p(\mathbb{X})^\star = L_-^q(\mathbb{X}), \quad L_-^q(\mathbb{X})^\star = L_-^p(\mathbb{X})$$

Thus all the above are reflexive Banach spaces.

3.2. The Π_+^p and Π_-^q projections. To every $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ there corresponds exactly one $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ which is the nearest to \mathbf{a} in the sense of \mathcal{L}^p -distance.

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{a}(x) - \alpha(x)|^p dx = \min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{a}(x) - \gamma(x)|^p dx$$

The variational equation for α takes the form

$$(3.10) \quad |\mathbf{a} - \alpha|^{p-2}(\mathbf{a} - \alpha) \in \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$$

In this way we have defined a nonlinear operator

$$\Pi_+^p ; \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}), \quad \text{by the rule : } \Pi_+^p \mathbf{a} = \alpha.$$

Similarly, to every $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ there corresponds exactly one $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ which is the \mathcal{L}^q -nearest to \mathbf{b} . The analogous variational equation for β takes the form

$$(3.11) \quad |\mathbf{b} - \beta|^{q-2}(\mathbf{b} - \beta) \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$$

and defines a nonlinear operator

$$\Pi_-^q ; \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}), \quad \text{by the rule : } \Pi_-^q \mathbf{b} = \beta.$$

Let us weld together the above variational equations by introducing so-called (p, q) -system

Lemma 3.2. *Given a pair $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \times \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ there exists exactly one pair $\phi = (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \times \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ such that*

$$(3.12) \quad |\mathbf{a} - \alpha|^{p-2}(\mathbf{a} - \alpha) = \mathbf{b} - \beta \in \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$$

or, equivalently

$$(3.13) \quad |\mathbf{b} - \beta|^{q-2}(\mathbf{b} - \beta) = \mathbf{a} - \alpha \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$$

Proof. One finds, uniquely, $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ by solving the following strictly convex variational problem: given a pair $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \times \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ find $\phi = (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \times \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ such that

$$\min_{\alpha' \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{a} - \alpha'|^p - p \langle \mathbf{b} | \alpha' \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{a} - \alpha|^p - p \langle \mathbf{b} | \alpha \rangle$$

or, equivalently,

$$\min_{\beta' \in \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{b} - \beta'|^q - q \langle \mathbf{a} | \beta' \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{b} - \beta|^q - q \langle \mathbf{a} | \beta \rangle$$

□

The reader may wish to keep an eye on a duality between these two variational problems; precisely, the solution of one of them yields the solution of the other via the equations (3.12) and (3.13). In fact they can be given one aesthetically pleasing symmetric form,

$$(3.14) \quad (\mathbf{a} - \alpha)^p = (\mathbf{b} - \beta)^q \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}),$$

Here we have introduced the notation $\mathbf{v}^s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\mathbf{v}|^{s-1}\mathbf{v}$ for the s -power of a vector \mathbf{v} in a normed space.

It is worthwhile to put the equation (3.14) in even more general framework.

3.3. The most general setting. Let $\mathfrak{A} : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ be a given function satisfying the following requirements:

- *Carathéodory regularity:*

The function $x \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{v})$ is measurable for every $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$

The function $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{v})$ is continuous for almost every $x \in \mathbb{X}$

- *Homogeneity:*

$$\mathfrak{A}(x, \lambda \mathbf{v}) = \lambda^{p-1} \cdot \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{v}), \quad \text{for } \lambda \geq 0$$

- *Lipschitz condition:*

$$|\mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{v}_1) - \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{v}_2)| \ll (|\mathbf{v}_1| + |\mathbf{v}_2|)^{p-2} |\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2|$$

- *Monotonicity condition:*

$$\langle \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{v}_1) - \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{v}_2) | \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle \gg (|\mathbf{v}_1| + |\mathbf{v}_2|)^{p-2} |\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2|^2$$

Remark 3.3. In the above inequalities the implied constants are independent of $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbb{V}$. The Carathéodory regularity makes certain that the function $x \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{v}(x))$ is measurable whenever $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(x)$ is measurable, by Scorza-Dragnoni Theorem.

It is clear that for x fixed the mapping $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{v})$ is invertible. Let its inverse be denoted by $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(x, \mathbf{v})$; that is, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{X}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{B}(x, *) \circ \mathfrak{A}(x, *) = \mathfrak{A}(x, *) \circ \mathfrak{B}(x, *) = \mathbf{I} : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$$

3.4. The natural domain of definition. The problem of solving the most general equation in its natural domain of definition reads as follows.

Problem 1. Given a pair $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \times \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ solve the following equation

$$(3.15) \quad \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{a} + \alpha) = \mathbf{b} + \beta, \quad \text{equivalently} \quad \mathfrak{B}(x, \mathbf{b} + \beta) = \mathbf{a} + \alpha$$

for $\phi = (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \times \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$.

A duality between the two equations at (3.15) is emphasized by the fact that the inverse mapping $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(x, \mathbf{v})$ satisfies the same requirements as $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{v})$, but with the Hölder conjugate exponent q in place of p . The key to the existence and uniqueness of the solutions lies in the (p, q) -orthogonality relation (3.9) between the unknown functions α and β .

Theorem 3.4. *The equation (3.15) has unique solution. Moreover*

$$(3.16) \quad \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\alpha|^p + |\beta|^q \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{a}|^p + |\mathbf{b}|^q$$

Proof. Since in general the equation (3.15) is not arising from a minimization of a variational integral, the existence of the solutions cannot be established by a variational method. The Minty-Browder theory of monotone operators [4], [29] will come to the rescue. Fix a function $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X})$ and consider a map \mathbf{T} from the reflexive Banach space $\mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X})$ into its dual $\mathcal{L}_+^q(\mathbb{X})$, defined by the rule

$$\mathbf{T}\alpha = \Pi_+^q \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{a} + \alpha) \in \mathcal{L}_+^q(\mathbb{X}), \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}).$$

A routine application of the requirements for \mathfrak{A} shows that this map is:

- *continuous*,
- *strictly monotone*; that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} \langle \mathbf{T}\alpha_1 - \mathbf{T}\alpha_2 | \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \rangle > 0, \quad \text{whenever } \alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X})$$

- *and coercive*; that is,

$$\lim_{\|\alpha\|_p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{X}} \langle \mathbf{T}\alpha | \alpha \rangle}{\|\alpha\|_p} = \infty$$

The Minty-Browder theory asserts that \mathbf{T} is bijective. Let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{X})$ be given, so we are given an element $\Pi_+^q \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}_+^q(\mathbb{X})$. There is exactly one $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X})$ such that $\Pi_+^q \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{a} + \alpha) = \Pi_+^q \mathbf{b}$, meaning that $\mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{a} + \alpha) = \mathbf{b} + \beta$, where $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X})$.

A key step in obtaining estimate (3.16) is the (p, q) -orthogonality of $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X})$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X})$. Using the properties imposed on \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} a computation runs as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{a} + \alpha|^p &\ll \langle \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{a} + \alpha) | \mathbf{a} + \alpha \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{a} + \alpha) | \mathbf{a} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{b} + \beta | \alpha \rangle \\ &\ll |\mathbf{a} + \alpha|^{p-1} |\mathbf{a}| + \langle \mathbf{a} + \alpha | \mathbf{b} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{a} | \mathbf{b} \rangle + \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$|\mathbf{a} + \alpha|^p \ll |\mathbf{a}|^p + |\mathbf{b}|^q + \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle$$

On the other hand, in view of (3.15) it follows that

$$|\mathbf{b} + \beta|^q \ll |\mathbf{a} + \alpha|^p \ll |\mathbf{a}|^p + |\mathbf{b}|^q + \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle$$

Summing these two inequalities, we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad |\mathbf{a} + \alpha|^p + |\mathbf{b} + \beta|^q \ll |\mathbf{a}|^p + |\mathbf{b}|^q + \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle$$

We arrive at the following point-wise estimate,

$$(3.18) \quad |\alpha|^p + |\beta|^q \ll |\mathbf{a}|^p + |\mathbf{b}|^q + \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle$$

which, upon integration, gives the desired estimate (3.16). \square

4. BEYOND THE NATURAL DOMAIN OF DEFINITION

It makes sense to consider the equation (3.15) in which the given pair $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ lies in $\mathcal{L}^{\lambda p}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \times \mathcal{L}^{\lambda q}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$, so the proper space in which to seek the solution $\phi = (\alpha, \beta)$ is $\mathcal{L}_+^{\lambda p}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \times \mathcal{L}_-^{\lambda q}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$, where λ is close enough to 1 so that $\lambda q \geq 1$ and $\lambda p \geq 1$. Taking into an account the projection operators Π_{\pm} ; $\mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\pm}^s(\mathbb{X})$, $\mathfrak{q} < s < \mathfrak{p}$, we shall restrict ourselves to the parameters λ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \mathfrak{q} < \lambda q \leq \lambda p < \mathfrak{p}$$

The estimates are similar to those in the proof of Theorem 3.4, with one principal ingredient. Let us begin with a point-wise inequality

$$|\mathbf{a} + \alpha|^{\lambda p} + |\mathbf{b} + \beta|^{\lambda q} \ll |\mathbf{a} + \alpha|^{\lambda p} \ll \langle \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{a} + \alpha) \mid (\mathbf{a} + \alpha) \mid \mathbf{a} + \alpha \mid^{(\lambda-1)p} \rangle$$

We decompose the vector field $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{a} + \alpha) \mid \mathbf{a} + \alpha \mid^{(\lambda-1)p}$ as $\mathbf{v} = \Pi_+ \mathbf{v} + \Pi_- \mathbf{v}$, use the equation $\mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{a} + \alpha) = \mathbf{b} + \beta$, and integrate. By the orthogonality of $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_-^{\lambda q}(\mathbb{X})$ and $\Pi_+ \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}_+^{\frac{\lambda q}{\lambda q-1}}(\mathbb{X})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{a} + \alpha|^{\lambda p} + |\mathbf{b} + \beta|^{\lambda q} &\ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} \langle \mathbf{b} \mid \Pi_+ \mathbf{v} \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{X}} \langle \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{a} + \alpha) \mid \Pi_- \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ &\ll \|\mathbf{b}\|_{\lambda q} \|\Pi_+ \mathbf{v}\|_{\frac{\lambda q}{\lambda q-1}} + \|(\mathbf{a} + \alpha)^{p-1}\|_{\lambda q} \|\Pi_- \mathbf{v}\|_{\frac{\lambda q}{\lambda q-1}} \\ &\ll \|\mathbf{b}\|_{\lambda q} \|\mathbf{a} + \alpha\|_{\lambda p}^{\lambda p - p + 1} + \|\mathbf{a} + \alpha\|_{\lambda p}^{p-1} \|\Pi_- \mathbf{v}\|_{\frac{\lambda q}{\lambda q-1}} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used boundedness of the operator Π_+ ; $\mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X})$ with $s = \frac{\lambda q}{\lambda q-1}$ and the identity $\|\mathbf{v}\|_s = \|\mathbf{a} + \alpha\|_{\lambda p}^{\lambda p - p + 1}$. With the aid of Young's inequality the term $\|\mathbf{a} + \alpha\|_{\lambda p}$ can be absorbed by the left hand side. We thus obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{a} + \alpha|^{\lambda p} + |\mathbf{b} + \beta|^{\lambda q} \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{b}|^{\lambda q} + \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\Pi_- \mathbf{v}|^s$$

Now comes the principal ingredient in the proof; we have $\Pi_- \alpha = 0$, so

$$(4.3) \quad \Pi_- \mathbf{v} = [\Pi_- (\mathbf{a} + \alpha)^{1+\epsilon}] - [\Pi_- (\mathbf{a} + \alpha)]^{1+\epsilon} + (\Pi_- \mathbf{a})^{1+\epsilon}$$

where $\epsilon = (\lambda - 1)p$.

The s -norm of $(\Pi_- \mathbf{a})^{1+\epsilon}$ is controlled by the λp -norm of \mathbf{a} , because the operator Π_- is bounded in the space $\mathcal{L}^{(1+\epsilon)s}(\mathbb{X}) = \mathcal{L}^{\lambda p}(\mathbb{X})$; namely,

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\Pi_- \mathbf{v}|^s \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{a}|^{\lambda p}$$

The first two terms in the right hand side of (4.3) form a power type commutator. Precisely, we are dealing with a commutator of the linear operator Π_- and the nonlinear map $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow |\mathbf{v}|^{\epsilon} \mathbf{v}$ with ϵ close to zero; the exponent ϵ can be both positive or negative. Here is what a complex interpolation method yields [22, 23, 24, 33, 34].

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose a linear operator $\Pi; \mathcal{L}^r(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^r(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$ is continuous for all exponents r in the range $1 \leq \mathfrak{q} < r < \mathfrak{p} \leq \infty$. Then for every $1 \leq \mathfrak{q} < s < \mathfrak{p} \leq \infty$, we have*

$$\| \Pi(\mathbf{v}^{1+\epsilon}) - (\Pi\mathbf{v})^{1+\epsilon} \|_s \ll |\epsilon| \cdot \| \mathbf{v}^{1+\epsilon} \|_s, \quad \text{whenever} \quad \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{s} < 1 + \epsilon < \frac{\mathfrak{p}}{s}$$

This gives:

$$\| [\Pi_-(\mathbf{a}+\alpha)^{1+\epsilon}] - [\Pi_-(\mathbf{a}+\alpha)]^{1+\epsilon} \|_s \ll |\epsilon| \cdot \| (\mathbf{a}+\alpha)^{1+\epsilon} \|_s = |\epsilon| \cdot \| \mathbf{a}+\alpha \|_{\lambda_p}^{1+\epsilon}$$

Finally, we chose ϵ small enough, meaning that $\lambda \approx 1$, to absorb this last term by the left hand side of equation (4.2). Combining with equation (4.4), we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{a} + \alpha|^{\lambda p} + |\mathbf{b} + \beta|^{\lambda q} \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{b}|^{\lambda q} + \int_{\mathbb{X}} |\mathbf{a}|^{\lambda p}$$

Let us introduce the notation

$$(4.6) \quad [\mathfrak{f}] = |\mathbf{a}|^p + |\mathbf{b}|^q \quad [\phi] = |\alpha|^p + |\beta|^q$$

We just proved that:

Theorem 4.2. *For all parameters λ sufficiently close to 1; precisely, for*

$$(4.7) \quad \lambda \in (\lambda_-, \lambda_+), \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{q} \leq \lambda_- < 1 < \lambda_+ \leq \frac{\mathfrak{p}}{p}$$

we have

$$(4.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\phi]^\lambda \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}]^\lambda$$

4.1. Acceptable solutions. We shall speak of (λ_-, λ_+) as the *fundamental interval* for the equation (3.15), see Definition 5.3 for clarification of this notion. The estimate (4.8) raises an interesting question. Suppose we are given $\mathfrak{f} = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}]^\lambda < \infty$, one might consider solutions $\phi = (\alpha, \beta)$ of the equation (3.15) satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{X}} [\phi]^\tau < \infty$, for some $\tau \neq \lambda$. We assume that both exponents λ and τ lie in the fundamental interval. Is it true that $\int_{\mathbb{X}} [\phi]^\lambda < \infty$ and, therefore, the estimate (4.8) holds? In general the answer to this question is "yes". However, the proof requires somewhat more elaborate variants of the power type commutators and the associated estimates, like in Theorem 4.1. The interested reader may wish to consult [7] for such commutators to verify the above statement. This affirmative answer also settles the case $\lambda = 1$; that is, when the data $\mathfrak{f} = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ belongs to the natural setting of the equation. The term *acceptable solution* refers to a pair $\phi = (\alpha, \beta)$ satisfying Equation (3.15) such that $\int_{\mathbb{X}} [\phi]^\tau < \infty$, for some $\tau \in (\lambda_-, \lambda_+)$. Thus, within the fundamental interval for τ , if the data $[\mathfrak{f}]$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{X})$, then the acceptable solutions actually belong to the natural setting of the equation. This means that $[\phi] \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{X})$ and, in particular, such solutions are unique.

4.2. A nonlinear counterpart of the Riesz Transforms. Associated with each system (3.15) is its operator

$$\mathfrak{R}; \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}) \times \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}) \times \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{X}), \quad \text{defined by } \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{f} = \phi$$

We regard \mathfrak{R} as a counterpart of the classical Riesz Transforms in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{V})$. Actual extension of the domain of definition of \mathfrak{R} may be accomplished based on estimates of the acceptable solutions to the system (3.15). Such an approach is commonly realized by first estimating the operator in a conveniently chosen dense subspace of its natural domain of definition, and then extending it in accordance with the estimate. We shall work with the following dense subspace

$$\mathcal{L}^*(\mathbb{X}) \times \mathcal{L}^*(\mathbb{X}) \subset \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}) \times \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{X}), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{L}^*(\mathbb{X}) = \bigcap_{1 \leq s \leq \infty} \mathcal{L}^s(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{V})$$

5. MARCINKIEWICZ INTERPOLATION IN A NONLINEAR CONTEXT

This section takes on the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem to the context of nonlinear equations (3.15). The idea of decomposing and integrating functions over their level sets is the core of the matter. In our nonlinear setting, however, one faces additional challenges because of insufficient additivity properties of the solutions to (3.15); these properties proved very proficient in case of the linear operators.

Definition 5.1. Whenever $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q} \leq \lambda \leq \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}$, the operator \mathfrak{R} will be said to satisfy the *weak λ -type* inequality if

$$\text{meas}\{x; [\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{f}(x)] > t\} \ll \frac{1}{t^\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}]^\lambda, \quad \text{for every } t > 0$$

Here the implied constant is independent of $\mathfrak{f} = (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) \in \mathcal{L}^*(\mathbb{X}) \times \mathcal{L}^*(\mathbb{X})$. Thus, in particular, the induced solution $\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{f} = (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{X}) \times \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{X})$ is implicitly assumed to belong to $\mathcal{L}^{\lambda p}(\mathbb{X}) \times \mathcal{L}^{\lambda q}(\mathbb{X})$ as well.

5.1. The main result. The following generalization of Marcinkiewicz Theorem turns out particularly useful when applied to the p -harmonic type PDEs..

Theorem 5.2. *Let λ_- and λ_+ be exponents, $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q} \leq \lambda_- < 1 < \lambda_+ \leq \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}$, for which \mathfrak{R} is both of weak λ_- -type and weak λ_+ -type. Then for every $\tau \in (\lambda_-, \lambda_+)$ the operator \mathfrak{R} is of strong τ -type, meaning that*

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{f}]^\tau \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}]^\tau \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{L}^*(\mathbb{X}) \times \mathcal{L}^*(\mathbb{X}).$$

At this stage we shall make the following

Definition 5.3 (Fundamental Interval). The largest interval (λ_-, λ_+) , $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q} \leq \lambda_- < 1 < \lambda_+ \leq \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}$, for which \mathfrak{R} acts from $\mathcal{L}^{\lambda_-}(\mathbb{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{into}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{weak}}^{\lambda_-}(\mathbb{X})$ and from $\mathcal{L}^{\lambda_+}(\mathbb{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{into}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{weak}}^{\lambda_+}(\mathbb{X})$, will be called the *fundamental interval* of the equation (3.15).

Remark 5.4. The point to make here is that in Section 4 we were able to establish the estimate (4.8) only for λ 's sufficiently close to 1. In the above definition, however, we do not insist on this assumption. Thus Theorem 5.2 broadens estimate (4.8) to be true in the entire fundamental interval.

Proof. Let us refresh the equations

$$\mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{a} + \alpha) = \mathbf{b} + \beta \quad \mathfrak{B}(x, \mathbf{b} + \beta) = \mathbf{a} + \alpha$$

and remind our notation

$$\mathfrak{f} = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \quad \phi = (\alpha, \beta) = \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{f} \quad \int_{\mathbb{X}} \langle \alpha(x) | \beta(x) \rangle dx = 0$$

For further notational convenience we introduce

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{a} + \alpha, \quad \mathcal{B} = \mathbf{b} + \beta, \quad \mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{f}, \quad [\mathcal{H}] = |\mathcal{A}|^p + |\mathcal{B}|^q$$

Thus the system of equations abbreviates to:

$$(5.2) \quad \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B} \quad \text{or, equivalently} \quad \mathfrak{B}(x, \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{A}$$

and we are reduced to showing that

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathcal{H}(x)]^\tau dx \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}(x)]^\tau dx, \quad \text{for } \tau \in (\lambda_-, \lambda_+)$$

which is certainly true for $\tau = 1$, so we need only consider τ between 1 and $\lambda = \lambda_\pm$; precisely, for τ satisfying

$$0 \leq \frac{\tau - 1}{\lambda - 1} < 1, \quad \text{where either } \lambda = \lambda_- < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = \lambda_+ > 1$$

We shall demonstrate the proof for $1 < \tau < \lambda = \lambda_+$. The case $\lambda_- = \lambda < \tau < 1$ goes in an exactly similar way, which will be emphasized several times as the proof develops. We observe that whenever vector fields \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are coupled by the relations (5.2), they are comparable in the following fashion

$$|\mathcal{A}|^p \cong \langle \mathcal{A} | \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathcal{A}) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{A} | \mathcal{B} \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{B}(x, \mathcal{B}) | \mathcal{B} \rangle \cong |\mathcal{B}|^q$$

5.2. Marcinkiewicz decomposition. For $t \geq 0$ and a given pair $\mathfrak{f}(x) = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ we consider a decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{f}(x) = \mathfrak{f}^t(x) + \mathfrak{f}_t(x)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{f}^t(x) = (\mathbf{a}^t, \mathbf{b}^t) = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \mathfrak{f}(x), & \text{if } [\mathfrak{f}(x)] = |\mathbf{a}(x)|^p + |\mathbf{b}(x)|^q > t \\ 0 & \text{if } [\mathfrak{f}(x)] = |\mathbf{a}(x)|^p + |\mathbf{b}(x)|^q \leq t \end{cases}$$

Similarly

$$\mathfrak{f}_t(x) = (\mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{b}_t) = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \mathfrak{f}(x), & \text{if } [\mathfrak{f}(x)] \leq t \\ 0 & \text{if } [\mathfrak{f}(x)] > t \end{cases}$$

Then we solve the equations for $\phi^t(x) = (\alpha^t, \beta^t) \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}) \times \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X})$ and $\phi_t(x) = (\alpha_t, \beta_t) \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X}) \times \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X})$, respectively.

$$\mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{a}^t + \alpha^t) = \mathbf{b}^t + \beta^t \quad \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathbf{a}_t + \alpha_t) = \mathbf{b}_t + \beta_t$$

where

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} \langle \alpha^t(x) | \beta^t(x) \rangle dx = 0 \quad \int_{\mathbb{X}} \langle \alpha_t(x) | \beta_t(x) \rangle dx = 0$$

Caution. It is not true in general that $\phi(x) = \phi^t(x) + \phi_t(x)$.

Let us denote

$$\mathcal{A}^t = \mathfrak{a}^t + \alpha^t, \quad \mathcal{B}^t = \mathfrak{b}^t + \beta^t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_t = \mathfrak{a}_t + \alpha_t, \quad \mathcal{B}_t = \mathfrak{b}_t + \beta_t$$

$$\mathcal{H}_t = (\mathcal{A}_t, \mathcal{B}_t) \quad [\mathcal{H}_t] = |\mathcal{A}_t|^p + |\mathcal{B}_t|^q$$

$$\mathcal{H}^t = (\mathcal{A}^t, \mathcal{B}^t) \quad [\mathcal{H}^t] = |\mathcal{A}^t|^p + |\mathcal{B}^t|^q$$

We shall also introduce the *energy integrands*

$$E^t(x) = \langle \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^t | \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}^t \rangle \geq 0, \quad E_t(x) = \langle \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_t | \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_t \rangle \geq 0$$

Lemma 5.5 (point-wise inequalities). *We have*

$$(5.4) \quad [\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_t] \ll E_t + [\mathcal{H}] \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}^t] \ll E^t + [\mathcal{H}]$$

$$(5.5) \quad [\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_t] \ll E_t + [\mathcal{H}_t] \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}^t] \ll E^t + [\mathcal{H}^t]$$

Proof. For all exponents $1 < p < \infty$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_t|^p &\ll |\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_t|^2 (|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{A}_t|)^{p-2} + \begin{cases} \text{either } |\mathcal{A}|^p \\ \text{or } |\mathcal{A}_t|^p \end{cases} \\ &\ll \langle \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_t | \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathcal{A}) - \mathfrak{A}(x, \mathcal{A}_t) \rangle + \begin{cases} \text{either } [\mathcal{H}] \\ \text{or } [\mathcal{H}_t] \end{cases} \\ &\ll \langle \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_t | \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_t \rangle + \begin{cases} \text{either } [\mathcal{H}] \\ \text{or } [\mathcal{H}_t] \end{cases} = E_t + \begin{cases} \text{either } [\mathcal{H}] \\ \text{or } [\mathcal{H}_t] \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the exponent $1 < q < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_t|^q &\ll |\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_t|^2 (|\mathcal{B}| + |\mathcal{B}_t|)^{q-2} + \begin{cases} \text{either } |\mathcal{B}|^q \\ \text{or } |\mathcal{B}_t|^q \end{cases} \\ &\ll \langle \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_t | \mathfrak{B}(x, \mathcal{B}) - \mathfrak{B}(x, \mathcal{B}_t) \rangle + \begin{cases} \text{either } [\mathcal{H}] \\ \text{or } [\mathcal{H}_t] \end{cases} \\ &\ll \langle \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_t | \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_t \rangle + \begin{cases} \text{either } [\mathcal{H}] \\ \text{or } [\mathcal{H}_t] \end{cases} = E_t + \begin{cases} \text{either } [\mathcal{H}] \\ \text{or } [\mathcal{H}_t] \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Adding up the above inequalities we conclude with the desired estimate corresponding to the lower subscript $t > 0$.

$$[\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_t] = |\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_t|^p + |\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_t|^q \ll E_t + \begin{cases} \text{either } [\mathcal{H}] \\ \text{or } [\mathcal{H}_t] \end{cases}$$

In an exactly the same way we derive the inequalities for the upper superscript $t > 0$. \square

Now, the (p, q) -orthogonality comes into play when integrating the truncated energy functions E^t and E_t ,

Lemma 5.6 (The energy estimates). *We have,*

$$\mathcal{E}^t \mathfrak{f} := \int_{\mathbb{X}} E^t(x) \, dx \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}_t] + \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}_t]^{\frac{1}{p}} [\mathcal{H}]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}_t]^{\frac{1}{q}} [\mathcal{H}]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{E}_t \mathfrak{f} := \int_{\mathbb{X}} E_t(x) \, dx \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}^t] + \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}^t]^{\frac{1}{p}} [\mathcal{H}]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}^t]^{\frac{1}{q}} [\mathcal{H}]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{a} - \mathfrak{a}^t = \mathfrak{a}_t$ and $\mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{b}^t = \mathfrak{b}_t$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E^t &= \langle \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^t \mid \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}^t \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{a}_t + \alpha - \alpha^t \mid \mathfrak{b}_t + \beta - \beta^t \rangle = \\ &= -\langle \mathfrak{a}_t \mid \mathfrak{b}_t \rangle + \langle \mathfrak{a}_t \mid \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}^t \rangle + \langle \mathfrak{b}_t \mid \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^t \rangle + \langle \alpha - \alpha^t \mid \beta - \beta^t \rangle \ll \\ &= [\mathfrak{f}_t] + [\mathfrak{f}_t]^{\frac{1}{p}} (E^t + [\mathcal{H}])^{\frac{1}{q}} + [\mathfrak{f}_t]^{\frac{1}{q}} (E^t + [\mathcal{H}])^{\frac{1}{p}} + \langle \alpha - \alpha^t \mid \beta - \beta^t \rangle \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we have used inequalities in Lemma 5.5. Then, with the aid of Young's inequality, the term E^t can be absorbed by the left hand side.

$$E^t \ll [\mathfrak{f}_t] + [\mathfrak{f}_t]^{\frac{1}{p}} ([\mathcal{H}])^{\frac{1}{q}} + [\mathfrak{f}_t]^{\frac{1}{q}} ([\mathcal{H}])^{\frac{1}{p}} + \langle \alpha - \alpha^t \mid \beta - \beta^t \rangle$$

Since $\alpha - \alpha^t \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mathbb{X})$ and $\beta - \beta^t \in \mathcal{L}_-^q(\mathbb{X})$, the integral of the last term vanishes. Hence, integrating over \mathbb{X} yields the first inequality of the lemma. The second one is proven in much the same way. \square

Now the assumption that the operator \mathfrak{R} is $\mathcal{L}_{\text{weak}}^\lambda$ -type comes into play.

Lemma 5.7. *Let the exponent τ lie between 1 and λ ; that is, $0 < \frac{\tau-1}{\lambda-1} < 1$. Then*

$$(5.6) \quad \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-1} \text{meas}\{x; [\mathcal{H}_t(x)] > t\} \, dt \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}]^\tau \quad \text{if } 1 < \tau < \lambda = \lambda_+$$

and

$$(5.7) \quad \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-1} \text{meas}\{x; [\mathcal{H}^t(x)] > t\} \, dt \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}]^\tau \quad \text{if } \lambda_- = \lambda < \tau < 1$$

Proof. We shall be concerned with the level sets $\{x; \Gamma(x) > ct\}$, where c will be a constant, again called implied constant, and the parameter t will run from 0 to ∞ . This implied constant may alter from line to line, but this will have no effect on the subsequent estimates of the integrals over the entire domain \mathbb{X} . Therefore, whenever it is convenient, we shall abbreviate the notation $\{x; \Gamma(x) > ct\}$ to $\{x; \Gamma(x) \succ t\}$.

Let us take the case $1 < \tau < \lambda = \lambda_+$. We begin with the point-wise inequality $[\mathcal{H}_t(x)] = [\mathfrak{f}_t + \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{f}_t] \ll [\mathfrak{f}_t] + [\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{f}_t]$. Hence

$$\text{meas}\{x; [\mathcal{H}_t(x)] > t\} \ll \text{meas}\{x; [\mathfrak{f}_t(x)] \succ t\} + \text{meas}\{x; [\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{f}_t(x)] \succ t\}$$

Since the identity operator and \mathfrak{R} are both of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{weak}}^\lambda$ -type, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-1} \text{meas}\{x; [\mathcal{H}_t(x)] > t\} dt \ll \\ & \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-1} \text{meas}\{x; [\mathfrak{f}_t(x)] \succ t\} dt + \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-1} \text{meas}\{x; [\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{f}_t(x)] \succ t\} dt \\ & \ll \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-1} \left(t^{-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}_t(x)]^\lambda dx \right) dt + \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-1} \left(t^{-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}_t(x)]^\lambda dx \right) dt \\ & = 2 \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-\lambda-1} \left(\int_{[\mathfrak{f}] \leq t} [\mathfrak{f}(x)]^\lambda dx \right) dt = 2 \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}(x)]^\lambda \left(\int_{[\mathfrak{f}]}^\infty t^{\tau-\lambda-1} dt \right) dx \\ & = \frac{2}{\lambda - \tau} \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}(x)]^\tau dx \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}(x)]^\tau dx \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

The case $\lambda_- = \lambda < \tau < 1$ is treated in much the same way; it begins with the point-wise inequality $[\mathcal{H}^t(x)] = [\mathfrak{f}^t + \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{f}^t] \ll [\mathfrak{f}^t] + [\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{f}^t]$. We leave the details to the reader. \square

6. THE INTERPOLATION ESTIMATE, PROOF OF THEOREM 5.2

We aim to show that

$$(6.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\phi(x)]^\tau dx \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}(x)]^\tau dx$$

Equivalently,

$$(6.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathcal{H}(x)]^\tau dx = \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}(x) + \phi(x)]^\tau dx \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{f}(x)]^\tau dx$$

Let us discuss in details the case $1 < \tau < \lambda$. We make use of (5.5), which yields $[\mathcal{H}] \ll E_t + [\mathcal{H}_t]$, and the energy estimate in Lemma 5.6, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathcal{H}(x)]^\tau dx &= \tau \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-1} \text{meas}\{x ; [\mathcal{H}(x)] > t\} dt \\
&\ll \tau \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-1} \text{meas}\{x ; [\mathcal{H}_t(x)] > t\} dt \\
&\quad + \tau \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-1} \text{meas}\{x ; [E_t(x)] > t\} dt \\
&\ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [f]^\tau + \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-2} \mathcal{E}_t f dt \\
&\ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [f]^\tau + \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} [f^t] + \int_{\mathbb{X}} [f^t]^{\frac{1}{p}} [\mathcal{H}]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \int_{\mathbb{X}} [f^t]^{\frac{1}{q}} [\mathcal{H}]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) dt \\
&\ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [f]^\tau + \int_0^\infty t^{\tau-2} \left(\int_{[f]>t} [f] + [f]^{\frac{1}{p}} [\mathcal{H}]^{\frac{1}{q}} + [f]^{\frac{1}{q}} [\mathcal{H}]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) dt \\
&= \frac{\tau}{\tau-1} \int_{\mathbb{X}} [f]^\tau + \frac{1}{\tau-1} \int_{\mathbb{X}} \left([f]^{\tau-\frac{1}{q}} [\mathcal{H}]^{\frac{1}{q}} + [f]^{\tau-\frac{1}{p}} [\mathcal{H}]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is just an application of Fubini's Theorem. It is at this stage that we may (and will do) separate $[\mathcal{H}]$ from $[f]$ without damaging the subsequent estimates. By Hölder's inequality it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathcal{H}(x)]^\tau dx &\ll \\
&\int_{\mathbb{X}} [f]^\tau + \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} [f]^\tau \right)^{1-\frac{1}{\tau q}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathcal{H}]^\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau q}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} [f]^\tau \right)^{1-\frac{1}{\tau p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathcal{H}]^\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau p}}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, with the aid of Young's inequality the term $\int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathcal{H}]^\tau$ in the right hand side can be absorbed by the left hand side. It results in the desired estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathfrak{R}f(x)]^\tau dx \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [f(x)]^\tau dx + \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathcal{H}(x)]^\tau dx \ll \int_{\mathbb{X}} [f(x)]^\tau dx$$

We leave it to the reader to verify, in an exactly similar fashion, the case $\lambda < \tau < 1$; simply the subscript t should be replaced by superscript t . \square

Finally, taking $\mathfrak{b} = 0$ in the above estimates the proof of Theorem 1.1 goes through with hardly any changes.

REFERENCES

1. K. Astala, T. Iwaniec, I. Prause and E. Saksman, *Burkholder integrals, Morrey's problem and quasiconformal mappings*, (Submitted, 2010), ArXiv [math.CA] 1012.0504
2. C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Academic Press, 1988.
3. J. Bergh, and J. Löfström, *An Introduction to Interpolation Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
4. F.E. Browder, *Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems*, Bulletin of the AMS **69** (1963), 862–874.

5. A.P. Calderón, *Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method*, Studia Math. 24 (1964), 113–190.
6. A.P. Calderón, *Spaces between L^1 and L^∞ and the theorem of Marcinkiewicz*, Studia Math. 25 (1966), 273–299.
7. C. Capone, L. Greco and T. Iwaniec, *Higher integrability via Riez transforms and interpolation*, Nonlinear Analysis 49 (2002), 513–523.
8. R.R. Coifman, M. Cwikel, R. Rochberg, Y. Sagher and G. Weiss, *A theory of complex interpolation for families of Banach spaces*, Advances in Mathematics 43 (1982), no. 3, 203–229.
9. R. Coifman, P.L. Lions, Y. Meyer and S. Semmes, *Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 72 (1993), 247–286.
10. M. Cwikel and P. Nilsson, *Interpolation of Marcinkiewicz Spaces*, Math. Scand. 56 (1985), 29–42
11. K. Dąbrowski and E. Hensz-Chądzyńska, *Jozef Marcinkiewicz (1910–1940) in commemoration of the 60 th anniversary of his death*, Fourier Analysis and Related Topics, Banach Center Publications, vol. 56, (2002), 1–5.
12. L.D’Onofrio and T. Iwaniec, *Interpolation theorem for the p -harmonic transform*, Studia Mathematica 159(3) (2003), 373–390.
13. L.D’Onofrio and T. Iwaniec, *The p -Harmonic Transform Beyond its Natural Domain of Definition*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal 53 (3) (2004), 667–702.
14. L.D’Onofrio and T. Iwaniec, *Notes on p -Harmonic Analysis*, AMS, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 370 (2005), 25–49.
15. G.F.D. Duff and D.C. Spencer, *Harmonic tensors on Riemannian manifolds with boundary*, Ann. Math. (2), 56, (1952), 128–156.
16. L. Greco, T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, *Inverting the p -harmonic operator*, Manuscripta Mathematica 92 (1997), 249–258.
17. S. G. Krein, Ju. I. Petunin and E. M. Semenov, *Interpolation of linear operators*, Transl. of Math. Monographs, Vol. 54, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence R.I., 1982.
18. T. Iwaniec, *On L^p -integrability in PDEs and quasiregular mappings for large exponents*, Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Series A.I. Mathematica 7 (1982), 301–322.
19. T. Iwaniec, *Projections onto gradient fields and L^p -estimates for degenerate elliptic operators*, Studia Mathematica 75 (1983), 293–312.
20. T. Iwaniec, *p -harmonic tensors and quasiregular mappings*, Annals of Mathematics 136 (2), (1992) 589–624.
21. T. Iwaniec, *Nonlinear commutators and Jacobians*, The Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, Volume 3, Special Issue (1997), 775–796.
22. T. Iwaniec, *Nonlinear Differential Forms*, Lectures in Jyväskylä International Summer School, August 1998, University of Jyväskylä, Dept. Math. Report 80, Editor: Pertti Mattila.
23. T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, *Weak minima of variational integrals*, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik 454 (1994), 143–161.
24. T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, *Quasiharmonic Fields*, Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré Analyse Non Linéaire 18 (2001), 519–572.
25. T. Iwaniec, C. Scott and B. Stroffolini, *Nonlinear Hodge theory on manifolds with boundary*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, Serie quarta 177 (1999), 37–115.
26. L. Maligranda, *Józef Marcinkiewicz (1910–1940) – on the centenary of his birth*, The opening address by Lech Maligranda at the Józef Marcinkiewicz Centenary Conference in Poznań, June–July, 1910.
27. J. Marcinkiewicz, *Sur l’interpolation d’opérations*, Comptes Rendus des Séances de l’Académie des Sciences. Série A e B, 208 (1939), 1272–1273.
28. J. Marcinkiewicz, *Collected Papers*, A. Zygmund (ed). PWN, Warszawa, 1964.

29. G.I. Minty, *Monotone (nonlinear) operators in Hilbert space*, Duke Mathematical Journal **29** (1962), 341-346.
30. C.B. Morrey, *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1966).
31. F. Murat, *A survey on compensated compactness*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics 148, Longmans, Harlow, (1987), 145–183.
32. M. Riesz, *Sur les maxima des formes bilinéaires et sur les fonctionelles linéaires*. Acta Math. 49 (1926), 465–497.
33. R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, *Derivatives of analytic families of Banach spaces*, Annals of Mathematics (2) 118 (1983), 315–347.
34. C. Sbordone, *Nonlinear commutators and applications to the regularity properties of the Jacobian*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 43 (1995), no. 2, 363–369.
35. C. Scott, *L^p -theory of differential forms on manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Mat. Soc. 347, (1995), 2075–2096.
36. E. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability of Functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970.
37. G.O. Thorin, *An extension of a convexity theorem due to M. Riesz*, Kungl. Fysiogr. Sällsk. i Lund Förh. 8, (1938), 166–170.
38. A. Zygmund, *On a theorem of Marcinkiewicz concerning interpolation of operations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 35 (1956), 223-248.
39. A. Zygmund, *Józef Marcinkiewicz*, Wiadomości Matematyczne 4 (1960), 11–41 (in Polish).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, SYRACUSE, NY 13244, USA
AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, FINLAND

E-mail address: tiwaniec@syr.edu