2011

Inciting the Social Imagination: Education Research for the Public Good

M. Christopher Brown II

Fisk University

Follow this and additional works at: https://surface.syr.edu/ia

Part of the Arts and Humanities Commons

Recommended Citation
Imagining America, 21.
https://surface.syr.edu/ia/21

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Scholarship in Action at SURFACE. It has been accepted for inclusion in Imagining America by an authorized administrator of SURFACE. For more information, please contact surface@syr.edu.
Division J - Postsecondary Education
Section 4: Faculty, Curriculum, and Teaching
Location: Sheraton/ Grand Ballroom A
Date/Time: Saturday, April 9, 2011, 2:15 – 3:45 (90 min)

Chair: M. Christopher Brown II, Fisk University

Participants:

I. Profiles and Pathways: A Mixed Methods Study of the Aspirations and Career Decisions of Early Career Publicly Engaged Scholars – Tim Eatman, Syracuse University; Staci Weber, Syracuse University; Wendy Nastassi, Syracuse University; and Adam Bush, University of Southern California.

II. College Unbound: Imagining and Operationalizing Publicly Engaged – Scholarly Practice in a New College Model. Adam Bush, University of Southern California; Tim Eatman, Syracuse University.

III. The Engaged Scholar in the Disengaged Institution: Framing Scholar Activism for Faculty Success – Leon Caldwell, Rhodes College.

IV. From Ivory Tower to Lookout Tower: Evolving conceptualizations of Knowledge Making in the 21st Century - Tim Eatman, Syracuse University; Shuaib Meacham, University of Delaware

Abstract

Publicly Engaged Scholarship (PES) is emerging as a paradigm expanding notions about knowledge production and a methodological toolkit for impactful, interdisciplinary, scholarly practice. While a growing literature provides evidence for its efficacy, more is needed to specify key dimensions. Shaped around findings from a national study exploring the aspirations and decisions of graduate students and early career professionals, this research symposium brings together key individual and institutional aspects of PES. The second paper presents insights from the first year of an innovative college model designed around core principles of PES. Employing a conceptual approach, two additional papers in turn, interrogate the role of “activism” in scholarship and present conceptual framing for knowledge production and institutional change in the 21st Century.
Session Summary

As institutions of higher education demonstrate an increasing sensitivity to issues of specific and immediate relevance to the “public good,” there is a concomitant shift in the ways that scholarly work is being conducted (Boyer, 1996b; Calhoun, 2006). From the very beginnings of American higher education there have always been cadres of academics intentional about the public dimensions of their work. In the current era an apparent concentration of scholars who resonate with Publicly Engaged Scholarship (PES) by shaping their research, scholarly activity, teaching and practice around questions of the public good is emerging (Boyer, 1996a; Schon, 1995). As this shift registers, questions about graduate school experiences (Beckman, Brandenberger, & Shappell, 2009; Wendler, Bridgeman, & Cline, 2010), career pathways - including faculty life, work and rewards (Ellison & Eatman, 2008; Saltmarsh, Giles Jr, Ward, & Buglione, 2009; Walker, Golde, Jones, Bueschel, & Hutchings, 2008), innovative approaches for the development of higher education options (Kamenetz, 2010) and issues concerning knowledge production and institutional change (Alperovitz, Dubb, & Howard, 2008; Fitzgerald, 2007) loom large.

Pivoting on a national study of the aspirations and decisions of graduate students and early career professionals (in and outside of academe), the objectives of this research symposium are fivefold. First, it draws from compelling empirical data to delineate the career parameters and profiles of publicly engaged scholars. Second, the symposium will analyze the impact of a publicly engaged curricular model on the experiences of first generation college students. This symposium will then promote a deeper conceptual understanding of PES by examining, the rich tradition of the ‘scholar activist,’ and the ivory tower metaphor, which is so critical to academic identity and practice, and the impact of PES upon these dominant metaphorical conceptions. Finally, this symposium seeks to demonstrate the connections among the papers through a dialogic interaction among the panelists as well as elucidating questions and insights about PES through interaction with attendees.

Employing a panel style format, each of the researchers will give brief presentations of their scholarship followed by a discussant-facilitated conversation among the panelists’ addressing the relatedness of their work under a PES frame. A major element of this discussion will be to reflect upon a series of pre-conference meetings among panelists established to facilitate a collaboration process illustrative of PES work. Session attendees will then be drawn into the exchange for dialogue and feedback. This symposium has been planned toward the development of scholarly products.

The significance of this symposium resides in the fact that it includes one of the first empirical, data-driven pictures of an increasingly prominent research paradigm, and one of few to be conducted on a national-scale. This symposium complements the empirical with a data-driven analysis of the manner in which a PES curriculum impacts the experiences of one of the most challenged demographics in higher education, first generation college students. Finally, this symposium provides sophisticated conceptual and theoretical insights into PES by examining historical traditions identifying alternative metaphors through which to perceive the academy from a publicly engaged perspective.
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Research Questions

1. What profile(s) best suit the emerging publicly engaged scholar in the cultural disciplines (arts humanities & design)?
   a. Origins of identification, philosophies
   b. Types of interests, projects, and work.
2. How does graduate education prepare students to become publically engaged scholars?
   a. Mentoring
   b. Training in engaged research methods
   c. Culture of public purpose and legitimacy of community engagement
3. What professional pathways exist for publicly engaged scholars?
   a. Range of options
   b. Factors relating to sector choice
   c. Risks and benefits of particular pathway choices
   d. What kinds of programmatic resources and structures do students interested in public scholarship consider most effective or influential?
4. What impact does the PAGE program for graduate education in the cultural disciplines?
   a. Demonstrate the value added of the program.
   b. What next steps related to publically engaged scholarship do PAGE participants take in actualizing career options?
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Paper 1 Title: Profiles and Pathways: A Mixed Methods Study of the Aspirations and Career Decisions of Early Career Publicly Engaged Scholars

KEY DATA POINTS (SURVEY):

Identity

Demographics

---

Figure 1. PES survey participants by gender.

Figure 2. PES survey participants by race.

Figure 3. PES survey participants by field.
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**KEY DATA POINTS (SURVEY CONT.):**

What do you hope to accomplish through your engaged scholarship? (Select all that apply.)
Total responses (N): 429 Did not respond: 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social entrepreneurialism</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance research methodology</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>39.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enact or support civic leadership</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>39.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide support/mentorship for your research population</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>47.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal growth</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>60.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve pedagogy</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>60.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enact or support civic engagement and responsibility</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>67.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand knowledge, methods, and/or scholarship in the public</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>72.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote social justice</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>74.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand knowledge, methods, and/or scholarship in the discipline</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>77.16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How important was/is it for you to find a position after graduate school at a college or university that values publicly engaged scholarship? Total responses (N): 431 Did not respond: 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not important</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat important</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>16.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Important</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>19.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very important</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>28.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely important</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>26.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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KEY DATA POINTS (STRUCTURED INTERVIEWS):

Spheres of Commitment:
Individual:
  • Why public scholars do this work?
University:
  • The mission of the university
  • The department’s commitment to public scholarship
  • The university’s relationship with its community
Community (local, national, abroad):
  • Who are the public scholars’ collaborators?
  • Importance of “local” work

Identity:
  • Teacher
  • Engaged artist
  • Activist
  • Change agent
  • Scholar
  • Multiple hats

Motivation:
  • Tools for change
  • Personal
  • Innate
  • PES as pedagogy

Bridging Worlds:
  • Higher education and community
  • Higher education and K-12 education
  • Theory and practice

EMERGENT TYPOLOGIES:

Profile 1 – Cradle to community scholar (Sarah)
Profile 2 – Artist as engaged scholar (Cynthia)
Profile 3 – Teacher to Engaged Scholar (Tomas)
Profile 4 – Program coordinator to engaged administrator/scholar (Selinda)
(Profile 5) – Engaged interdisciplinarian
(Profile 6) – Activist to scholar
(Profile 7) – Engaged Pragmatist
Profiles:

Sarah:  
I am a publicly engaged scholar, I think. After volunteering in a nursing home as a child, I worked there after graduating college with an art degree. Working there raised many questions for me, which lead me to study aging in graduate school. I found support in a professor who also served our community as a child psychologist. Her mentorship gave me the encouragement I needed to bridge my interdisciplinary interests in art and aging.

Tomas:  
For years, I taught in urban city schools. When I decided to return to graduate school and become a faculty member, I could not fathom leaving secondary education all together. In order to keep one foot in the K-12 arena and another in higher education, I learned the benefits of community-based research teams. I now work with community members, local educators, faculty, and students on school reform and enhancing classroom learning.

Cynthia:  
I am an artist, an activist, and a scholar. I use documentary film as a creative outlet to give people a voice. When I applied to graduate school I wanted to remain local, so I would not have to uproot my family or leave my artist community. My advisor introduced me to his work and used the term publicly engaged scholarship. I now have the language to support the work I have always done. I am a publicly engaged artist tied to the academy.

Selinda:  
After graduating college, I accepted an offer for coordinator of service learning. I loved my job, but envied the faculty members who taught their students using service learning as a form of pedagogy. Thus, I began to pursue my PhD part-time and use public need to inform my scholarship. I now teach community-based classes because I believe in the pedagogy of public scholarship. I am still untenured. Other than that, I have my dream job and would not change a thing.
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**Conceptual Map**

**Focus Question:** What are the key elements of publicly engaged scholarship (PES)?

- Publicly Engaged Scholarship hinges on clear adaptable definitions leading to well articulated criteria mediated by democratic practice amplifying reciprocal exchanges that necessitate inquiries of shared knowledge peer review

- Publicly Engaged Scholarship manifests in well articulated criteria leading to public good impact dependent on reciprocal exchanges that necessitate inquiries of shared knowledge peer review

- Publicly Engaged Scholarship requires a "continuum of scholarship" paradigm that seeks interdisciplinarity that welcomes graduate program formats innovative mentoring models that lead to rewards that provide the basis for faculty and other academic actors

- Publicly Engaged Scholarship may include or lead to non-traditional ways of thinking and doing that change and influence Conceptualizations of faculty work, that change and influence Scholarly Products (primary & secondary artifacts), that change and influence diverse knowledge-making traditions, that change and influence mutually beneficial relationships. These reciprocal exchanges provide evidence of quality for community based partners, academic partners, community partners, that change and influence public good impact, overall public good impact, and that change and influence public good impact

- Publicly Engaged Scholarship bolsters the public good impact of education research for the public good by inciting the social imagination.
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Paper 2 Title: College Unbound: Imagining and Operationalizing Publicly Engaged Scholarly Practice in a New College Model.

Link to Summary

Intervention model

Individual
- Connectedness:
- Identity in Engagement
- Transition and Persistence

Institutional
- Tensions between CU actual experience and traditional College model—uncertainty, size, responsibility/burden of representation, developmentally appropriate individuation
- Relationship between home, college, and the surrounding community
- Development of Core Learning competencies
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