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Architectural Collections at Syracuse

- Syracuse holds the papers of many of the leading architects of the 20th century. Among them.
  - Marcel Breuer  
    - 130.0 linear ft.
  - Pietro Belluschi  
    - 453.0 linear ft.
  - William Lescaze  
    - 65.0 linear ft.

Marcel Breuer, Cape Cod Cottage
Architectural Collections at Syracuse

- Collections include:
  - Correspondence
  - Writings
  - Clippings
  - Photographs
  - Original Drawings
  - Blueprint sets

- While collections contain works that (c)would be considered “art,” they are classed as papers and stored provided to patrons accordingly.

Architectural Collections at Syracuse

- The oversized works
  - Oversized was anything that did not fit in a standard document case or record storage carton.
  - Storage of these most problematic and challenging

- Constraints
  - Past practice / the legacy
  - Space
  - Money
Storage of Architectural Materials

- Oversized materials stored in:
  - VERY limited flat files
  - Wrapped rolls
  - Rolls in waste cans/boxes
    - Nicely folded in folders
    - Rolls packed in large boxes
  - Wardrobe boxes and just about anything else

Storage of Architectural Materials

- Oversized materials stored in:
  - Planhold cabinets
    - Received from architects with drawing clamped in cabinets...
    - Damaging to materials
  - Legacy tubes
    - VERY tightly rolled
    - Once out, did not fit back in...
Storage Options

- Flat files:
  - They’re works of art on paper and should be matted and stored flat...
    - Space
    - Cost
    - Staffing

- Larger Tubes:
  - Items wouldn’t be as tightly rolled, but still dangerous to remove and reinsert.
    - However, if system was changed... An opportunity?

An Opportunity

- Acquisition of the Werner Seligman Papers
  - Included an extensive slide collection, models, presentation boards, several boxes of photographs, specifications, competition programs, articles, newspaper clippings, reports, studies, and other items. Also included were several thousand drawings in approximately 230 cardboard tubes and bags, or in some cases, rolled with rubber bands. Blueprints, sepias, and original drawings on trace were mixed together.
    - Smaller collection(!)
    - Needed to be properly housed with few legacy issues that needed to be worked around.
    - Some money and lots of administrative support
Not Options

- Retaining original housings (or lack thereof)
- Flat files
  - To costly and inefficient in space use
  - Some items too large even for those
- Planholds or similar
  - Damaging to materials
  - To costly and inefficient in space use
  - Some items too large even for those

Learning From Others...

- If we roll the drawings around the outside of a tube, then...
  - Items easy to get off of tube
  - Not damage reinserting
  - Support items...
  - This method is common for the storage of textiles.
    - Image from Gaylord Catalog
And Applying To Our Needs

- Next we take that tube with the drawings wrapped around and insert into a larger one...
  - Mylar wrapper to secure drawings also protects against abrasion
  - Caps at both ends protect against dust...

Using The Materials
Comparison to Flat Files

- Each unit holds 56 tubes in 3 linear feet of floor space
  - Tubes nested within each other for maximum storage density
  - Easy to remove from upper rows as well supported by inner tube
  - $2500 for 56 tube unit including construction costs
- Flat files would require 23 linear feet of floor space for an equivalent number
  - Files stacked 2 high @ 10 drawers each
  - Not wise to stack higher due to handling issues related to lifting out large items...
  - $10,800 = $1800 x 6 (double stacked 5 drawer units)

The Prototype Units
The Prototype Units

Construction Details

- **Storage units constructed using:**
  - 3 metal shelving frames
  - 1x2’s and 1/2” plywood
  - By reusing surplus library shelving frames, we were able to easily ensure a very rigid and stable frame and reduce overall construction costs.

- **Inner tubes cut down by 2”**
  - Ensures that small diameter tubes fit into larger tubes with caps on both ends.
  - Endcaps protect against dust, and are adhered at the far end.
    - Labeled sequentially on removable end.
Phase 2

- Based on success of original 4-unit prototype 15 additional units, 840 tubes, were constructed.
  - Allowed return of materials stored on tables as they would not fit back into original tubes.
  - Allowed removal of legacy Planhold units
    - Materials transferred 1:1 (Planhold clamp : tube)
  - Allowed for rehousing of materials in legacy tubes

Phase 2 – Clearing the Planholds
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Phase 2 – “The Wall”

- 396 tubes required to hold materials from Planholds and “piles” in Special Collections.
- 444 tubes available
  - Filled with materials being rehoused from legacy tubes and other accessions stored in boxes...

- To rehouse all materials at SUL will require an estimated 30 units beyond the 19 already in place.
SUL Resources

  - Article co-authored with Carolyn Davis and Debra Olson
  - Specifications for construction
  - Materials required sheet...

http://library.syr.edu/information/preservation/Seligmann/
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