

9-1-1991

# On Perfect Weighted Coverings with Small Radius

G. D. Cohen

S. N. Litsyn

H. F. Mattson Jr

Follow this and additional works at: [http://surface.syr.edu/eecs\\_techreports](http://surface.syr.edu/eecs_techreports)



Part of the [Computer Sciences Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Cohen, G. D.; Litsyn, S. N.; and Mattson, H. F. Jr, "On Perfect Weighted Coverings with Small Radius" (1991). *Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Technical Reports*. Paper 139.

[http://surface.syr.edu/eecs\\_techreports/139](http://surface.syr.edu/eecs_techreports/139)

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the L.C. Smith College of Engineering and Computer Science at SURFACE. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Technical Reports by an authorized administrator of SURFACE. For more information, please contact [surface@syr.edu](mailto:surface@syr.edu).

SU-CIS-91-35

***On Perfect Weighted Coverings  
with Small Radius***

G. D. Cohen, S. N. Litsyn, and H.F. Mattson, Jr.

September 1991

*School of Computer and Information Science  
Syracuse University  
Suite 4-116, Center for Science and Technology  
Syracuse, New York 13244-4100*

# ON PERFECT WEIGHTED COVERINGS WITH SMALL RADIUS<sup>1</sup>

Gérard D. Cohen

Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Télécommunications  
46 rue Barrault, C-220-5  
75634 Paris cédex 13, France  
Email: Cohen@inf.enst.fr

Simon N. Litsyn

Dept. of Electrical Engineering-Systems  
Tel-Aviv University  
Ramat-Aviv  
69978, Israel  
Email: litsyn@genius.tau.ac.il

H. F. Mattson, Jr.

School of Computer and Information Science  
4-116 Center for Science & Technology  
Syracuse, New York 13244-4100  
Email: jen@SUVVM.acs.syr.edu, jen@SUVVM.bitnet

**Abstract:** We extend the results of our previous paper [8] to the nonlinear case: The Lloyd polynomial of the covering has at least  $R$  distinct roots among  $1, \dots, n$ , where  $R$  is the covering radius. We investigate *PWC* with diameter 1, finding a partial characterization. We complete an investigation begun in [8] on linear *PMC* with distance 1 and diameter 2.

---

<sup>1</sup>This paper was presented at the French-Soviet Workshop in Algebraic Coding, ENST, Paris, 22-24 July 1991. It will appear in the Proceedings, to be published by Springer in the LNCS series.

# 1 Introduction

Much attention has been devoted to the problem of classifying perfect codes (See [13, 15]). Further generalizations of perfectness were introduced in [10, 2, 11, 14]. For all these codes the diameter of the covering spheres equals the covering radius of the code which by use of Delsarte's results leads to a very rigid set of possible parameters. This framework was broadened by introducing new types of perfect configurations [5, 6, 12, 16]. All these extensions fall under the concept of perfect weighted coverings (*PWC*) first considered in [8]. Although general, these definitions leave hope for a complete classification, at least for small diameter. The linear case with diameter at most 2 was considered in [8], where some motivation related to list decoding was given.

We are pleased to acknowledge that this problem arose in discussions with I. Honkala in Veldhoven in June, 1990.

## 2 Notations and known results

We denote by  $\mathbf{F}^n$  the vector space of binary  $n$ -tuples, by  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  the Hamming distance, by  $C(n, K, d)R$  a code  $C$  with length  $n$ , size  $K$ , minimum distance  $d = d(C)$  and covering radius  $R$  [9], [7]. When  $C$  is linear, we write  $C[n, k, d]R$ , where  $k$  is the binary log of  $K$ . We denote the Hamming weight of  $x \in \mathbf{F}^n$  by  $|x|$ .

For  $x \in \mathbf{F}^n$ ,  $A(x) = (A_0(x), A_1(x) \dots A_n(x))$  will stand for the distance distribution of  $C$  with respect to  $x$ ; thus

$$A_i(x) := |\{c \in C : d(c, x) = i\}|.$$

For any  $(n + 1)$ -tuple  $M = (m_0, m_1, \dots, m_n)$  of weights, i.e., rational numbers, we define the  $M$ -density of  $C$  at  $x$  as

$$(2.1) \quad \theta(x) := \sum_{i=0}^n m_i A_i(x) = \langle M, A(x) \rangle.$$

We consider only *coverings*, i.e., codes  $C$  such that  $\theta(x) \geq 1$  for all  $x$ .

$$(2.2) \quad C \text{ is a } \textit{perfect } M\text{-covering if } \theta(x) = 1 \text{ for all } x.$$

We define the *diameter* of an  $M$ -covering as

$$\delta := \max\{i : m_i \neq 0\}.$$

To avoid trivial cases, we usually assume that  $m_i = 0$  for  $i \geq n/2$ , i.e.,  $\delta < n/2$ .

Here are the known special cases.

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Classical perfect codes: } m_i = 1 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, \delta.$$

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Perfect multiple coverings (PMC): } m_i = 1/j \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, \delta \\ \text{where } j \text{ is a positive integer. See [16] and [5].}$$

(2.5) Perfect  $L$ -codes:  $m_i = 1$  for  $i \in L \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$ . See [12] and [6].

(2.6) Strongly uniformly packed codes:  
 $m_i = 1$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, e - 1$   
 $m_e = m_{e+1} = 1/r$  for some integer  $r$ . See [14].

(2.7) Uniformly packed codes [2, 11]. For these codes  $\delta(M) = R(C)$ , and the  $m_i$ 's are uniquely determined.

The following necessary and sufficient condition was already in [8] in the linear case. For a perfect  $M$ -covering  $C$  one gets from the definition:

$$\sum_{i=0}^n m_i A_i(x) = 1 \text{ for all } x.$$

Summing over all  $x$  in  $F^n$  and permuting sums, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^n m_i \sum_{x \in F^n} A_i(x) = 2^n.$$

For  $i = 0$ , the second sum is  $|C| = K$ , for  $i = 1$  it is  $Kn$ , and so on. For the converse we use the condition  $\theta(x) \geq 1$ . Hence we get the following analog of the Hamming condition.

**Proposition 2.1** *A covering  $C$  is a perfect  $M$ -covering if and only if*

$$(2.8) \quad K \sum_{i=0}^n m_i \binom{n}{i} = 2^n.$$

□

### 3 A Lloyd theorem

In this section we prove

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $C$  be a perfect weighted covering with  $M = (m_0, m_1, \dots, m_\delta)$ . Then the Lloyd polynomial of this covering,*

$$L(x) := \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \delta} m_i P_{n,i}(x)$$

*has at least  $R$  distinct integral roots among  $1, 2, \dots, n$ .*

**Proof.** (Adapted from [1], Chapter II, Section 1, which records A. M. Gleason's proof of the classical Lloyd theorem.) The first part of the proof is identical to that of [8, Thm. 4.1].

We use the group algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of all formal polynomials

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}^n} \gamma_a X^a$$

with  $\gamma_a \in \mathbf{Q}$ , the field of rational numbers.

Define

$$(3.1) \quad S := \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \delta} m_i \sum_{|a|=i} X^a.$$

We let the symbol  $C$  for our code also stand for the corresponding element in  $\mathcal{A}$ , namely,

$$(3.2) \quad C := \sum_{c \in C} X^c.$$

Then we find that

$$(3.3) \quad SC = \sum_{c \in C} X^c \cdot S = \mathbf{F}^n := \sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}^n} X^a.$$

Characters on  $\mathbf{F}^n$  are group homomorphisms of  $(\mathbf{F}^n, +)$  into  $\{1, -1\}$ , the group of order 2 in  $\mathbf{Q}^\times$ . All characters have the form  $\chi_u$  for  $u \in \mathbf{F}^n$ , where  $\chi_u$  is defined as

$$\chi_u(v) = (-1)^{u \cdot v} \text{ for } u, v \in \mathbf{F}^n.$$

We use linearity to extend  $\chi_u$  to a linear functional defined on  $\mathcal{A}$ :

For all  $Y \in \mathcal{A}$  if  $Y = \sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}^n} \gamma_a X^a$ , then  $\chi_u(Y) := \sum \gamma_a \chi_u(a)$ .

It follows that

$$\chi_u(YZ) = \chi_u(Y)\chi_u(Z) \text{ for all } Y, Z \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It is known [1, 9] that for any  $u \in \mathbf{F}^n$ , if  $|u| = w$ , then

$$(3.4) \quad \chi_u \left( \sum_{|a|=i} X^a \right) = P_{n,i}(w).$$

It follows that

$$(3.5) \quad \chi_u(S) = L(w).$$

From (3.3), furthermore, we see that

$$\chi_u(SC) = \chi_u(S)\chi_u(C) = 0$$

for all  $u \neq 0$ .

Let  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_R$  be translate-leaders for  $C$  such that  $|u_i| = i$ . Define

$$C_i := X^{u_i} C.$$

Then

$$(3.6) \quad S C_i = \mathbf{F}^n.$$

Define the **symmetric subring**  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  as the set of all elements  $Y$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  in which the coefficient of  $X^a$  depends only on the weight of  $a$ :

$$(3.7) \quad Y = \sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}^n} \gamma_a X^a \in \overline{\mathcal{A}} \text{ iff } \forall a, b \in \mathbf{F}^n, |a| = |b| \rightarrow \gamma_a = \gamma_b.$$

The mapping  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}$  defined by

$$T(Y) := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\varphi} \varphi(Y),$$

where  $\varphi$  runs over all  $n!$  permutations of the  $n$  coordinates of  $\mathbf{F}^n$ , maps  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  onto  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Furthermore, as the reader may easily verify,

$$(3.8) \quad \forall Y \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}, \forall Z \in \mathcal{A}, T(YZ) = YT(Z).$$

Define  $\overline{C}_i := T(C_i)$ . Applying (3.8) to (3.6), we see that

$$S\overline{C}_i = \mathbf{F}^n$$

since, of course,  $S \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Define also

$$(3.9) \quad K := \{Z; Z \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}, SZ = 0\}.$$

Thus  $K$  is the kernel of the linear mapping from  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  to  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  defined by  $Y \mapsto SY$  for all  $Y \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ .

It follows from (3.8) that for any character  $\chi_u$  such that  $\chi_u(S) \neq 0$ ,

$$\forall Z \in K, \chi_u(Z) = 0.$$

Since  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  has dimension  $n + 1$ , its space of linear functionals also has dimension  $n + 1$ . Since every linear functional on  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  can be extended to one on  $\mathcal{A}$ , the  $n + 1$  linear functionals on  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  obtained by restricting the  $\chi_u$  to  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , as

$$\chi_u|_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} =: \chi_w \text{ for } |u| = w$$

$$w = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

are linearly independent.

Suppose that  $\rho$  is the exact number of values of  $w \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  for which

$$\chi_w(S) \neq 0.$$

Since  $\chi_w(S)\chi_w(K) = 0$  for all  $w$ , it follows that  $\chi_w(K) = 0$  for  $\rho$  values of  $w$ . Since  $S\overline{C}_i = \mathbf{F}^n$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, R$ , we see that

$$S(\overline{C}_i - \overline{C}_0) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, R.$$

The elements  $\overline{C}_i - \overline{C}_0$  are linearly independent because  $\overline{C}_i$  contains elements of weight  $i$  but of no smaller weight. We find that

$$R \leq \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} K \leq n + 1 - \rho,$$

since  $K$  is included in the intersection of the  $t$  kernels of the  $\chi_w$  mentioned above. But  $n + 1 - \rho$  is the number of  $\chi_w$ 's which vanish on  $S$ ; therefore  $\chi_w(S) = 0$  for at least  $R$  values of  $w$ .

Notice now that

$$\chi_w(S) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \delta} m_i P_{n,i}(w).$$

This finishes the proof. □

## 4 A construction

**Definition 4.1** Let  $C(n, K, d)R$  and  $C'(n', K', d')R'$  be two codes. Set

$$\chi_C(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in C \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We extend  $\chi_C$  to a mapping  $\chi : \mathbf{F}^{nn'} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^{n'}$  by setting

$$\chi(x) := (\chi_C(x_1), \chi_C(x_2), \dots, \chi_C(x_{n'}))$$

where the  $x_i$ 's are in  $\mathbf{F}^n$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n'$ , and  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n'})$  is their concatenation. We are now ready to define  $C \otimes C'$  as follows:

$$C \otimes C' = \{z \in \mathbf{F}^{nn'} : \chi(z) \in C'\}.$$

**Proposition 4.1**  $C \otimes C'$  has length  $nn'$ , minimum distance  $\min\{d, d'\}$  and covering radius  $RR'$ .

The proof is immediate. □

**Proposition 4.2** Let  $x$  and  $x'$  be such that  $d(x, C) = R, d(x', C') = R'$ . Suppose that  $A_R(x)$  and  $A_{R'}(x')$  are independent of  $x$ . Then for  $C \otimes C'$  the coefficient  $A_{RR'}(z)$  is the same for any  $z$  such that  $d(z, C \otimes C') = RR'$  and one has

$$A_{RR'} = A_R A_{R'}.$$

□

## 5 PWC with diameter 1

Let us denote such a PWC by  $(n, m_0, m_1)$ . From (2.2),  $A_1(x) = 1/m_1$  for any  $x$  not in  $C$ . Hence  $m_1 = 1/p$ , where  $p$  is an integer. This means that every two noncodewords have the same number of codewords at distance 1.

For  $c \in C$ , we get:  $m_0 + A_1(c)/p = 1$ , hence

$$A_1(c) = p(1 - m_0)$$

is a constant independent of  $c$ . Since  $A_1(c)$  is an integer, so is  $m_0 p$ .

Now the Hamming analogue (2.9) gives

$$K(pm_0 + n) = p2^n,$$

which implies

$$(5.1) \quad n = p'2^i - m_0 p, \text{ with } p' \mid p.$$

The case  $m_0 = 1/p$  corresponds to the PMC mentioned in (2.4); it is solved in [16] and [8].

Let us give a few general constructions.



**Proposition 5.1** *If there exists a PWC  $C(n, m_0, m_1)$ , then for any  $l \geq 0$  there exists a PWC  $C'(n + l, m_0 - lm_1, m_1)$ .*

**Proof.** Let us define  $C'$  as the set of vectors  $(c, f)$  in  $\mathbf{F}^{n+l}$ , where  $c \in C$  and  $f \in \mathbf{F}^l$ . Let  $A$  be the distance distribution for  $C_1$  and  $A'$  that for  $C'$ . There are two possibilities for an arbitrary  $(x, f) \in \mathbf{F}^{n+l}$ :

- (a)  $x \in C$ . Then  $A'_1((x, f)) = A_1(x) + l$ . Evidently  $A'_0((x, f)) = 1$ .
- (b)  $x \notin C$ . Then  $A'_0((x, f)) = 0$  by construction and  $A'_1((x, f)) = A_1(x)$ . □

**Proposition 5.2** *If there exists a PWC  $C(n, m_0, m_1)$ , then there exists a PWC  $C'(ns, m_0, m_1/s)$ .*

**Proof.** Apply construction  $\otimes$  (Def. 4.1) with outer code  $C(n, m_0, m_1)$  and inner code the  $[s, s - 1]$  parity code. □

**Proposition 5.3** *If there exists a PWC  $C(n, m_0, m_1)$ , then there exists a PWC  $C'(n, m_0/i, m_1/i)$ , for  $i$  a positive integer.*

**Proof.** Take the union of  $i$  cyclic shifts of code  $C$ . □

Let us now turn to the special case when  $m_0 = 1$ .

**Proposition 5.4** *A PWC with  $\delta = m_0 = 1$  exists for  $n = p(2^i - 1)$ ,  $m_1 = 1/p$ . It can be achieved by a linear code.* □

See [8] for a proof of this result. In contrast to the linear case, [8, Prop. 5.4], we cannot characterize PWC with  $\delta = m_0 = 1$  here. However, we have a partial characterization:

**Proposition 5.5** *A PWC  $(n, 1, 2^{-q})$  exists if and only if for some  $i$   $n = 2^q(2^i - 1)$ . Such a PWC can be achieved by a linear code.*

**Proof.** If  $m_1 = 2^{-q}$ , then  $p' = 2^{q'}$ ,  $q' \leq q$ , and (5.1) gives  $n = 2^q(2^{i+q'-q} - 1)$ . The converse stems from Proposition 5.4. □

We would like to point out that for some parameters satisfying (5.1) there is no corresponding code.

Consider the case  $m_0 = 1$ ,  $m_1 = 1/3$ . Proposition 5.4 gives the sequence of lengths  $n = 3 \cdot 2^i - 3$ . The other possibility is  $n = 2^i - 3$ . The first code in this sequence would be a PWC with  $n = 5$  and  $K = 12$ . Let us show its nonexistence.

**Proposition 5.6** *A  $(5, 1, 1/3)$  PWC does not exist.*

**Proof.** We may assume the code contains the zero vector. Furthermore, it does not contain vectors of weight 1, since the minimum distance is 2 for  $m_0 = 1$ . Every vector of weight 1 has to be covered by exactly two codewords of weight 2. There are exactly 5 codewords of weight 2, because if we consider the matrix of all such codewords, we see that each column has sum 2 (by the ‘‘coverage’’ condition just mentioned). Let  $x$  be any vector in  $\mathbf{F}^5$  of weight 3. Each ‘‘1’’ in  $x$  is covered by two codewords of weight 2. That makes six codewords of weight 2. By the pigeonhole principle, two are equal, say to  $c \in C$ . Then  $x$  is at distance 1 from  $c$ .

So the code does not contain vectors of weight 1 and 3, and we cannot cover vectors of weight 2. □

## 6 Linear PMC with diameter 2 ( $m_0 = m_1 = m_2 = 1/j$ )

The purpose of this section is to summarize and extend results from [8].

### 6.1 The case $s = 1$

**Proposition 6.1** [8] *The only PMC with  $s = 1, d = 2$  is the  $[2, 1, 2]$  code with  $j = 2$ .  $\square$*

We assume now that  $d$  is equal to 1. To set the stage, we repeat some material from [8]:

We find that the only possibility for the check matrix is the  $t$ -fold repetition of  $g(S_i)$  (generator matrix of a simplex code of length  $2^i - 1$ ) with  $l$  zero-columns appended, yielding  $n = t(2^i - 1) + l$ . It amounts to appending all possible tails of length  $l$  to codewords described in Proposition 5.2. It is easy to check that there are 2 kinds of covering equalities (namely, vectors coinciding with, or being at distance 1 from, codewords on the first  $t(2^i - 1)$  coordinates):

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 + lm_1 + \binom{t}{2} (2^i - 1)m_2 + \binom{l}{2} m_2 &= 1 \\ tm_1 + (2^{i-1} - 1)t^2m_2 + tlm_2 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(6.1) \quad t^2 - t(2^i + 1 + 2l) + (l^2 + l + 2) = 0$$

which has discriminant

$$(6.2) \quad D = (2^i + 1)^2 + 2^{i+2}l - 8.$$

We get a PMC iff  $D = x^2$  has integer solutions. For example, the values  $i = 3, l = 3, t = 14$  yield the PMC  $[101, 98]$  with  $j = 644$ . Of course, for  $i = t$  we get  $8l + 1 = x^2$  having all odd  $x$  as solutions.

Now we can characterize the solutions of  $D = x^2$ . We need the following result:

**Proposition 6.2**  $(2^{i+1} - 7)$  is a square mod  $2^{i+2}$ .

**Proof.** Proof by induction on  $i$ . If  $x$  is a solution for some  $i$ , i.e., for  $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $x^2 = \alpha 2^{i+2} + 2^{i+1} - 7$ , then for any  $\beta \in \mathbf{N}$  to be chosen later on, and  $i \geq 3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (x + 2^{i+1}\beta + 2^i)^2 &= x^2 + 2^{i+2}(x\beta + \alpha) + 2^{i+1}x + 2^{i+1} - 7 + 2^{2i}(1 + 4\beta^2 + 4\beta) \\ &\equiv 2^{i+2} \left( x\beta + \alpha + \frac{x-1}{2} \right) + 2^{i+2} - 7 \pmod{2^{i+3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x$  is odd, we can certainly find  $\beta$  to make  $x\beta + \alpha + \frac{x-1}{2}$  even. Then  $x + 2^{i+1}\beta + 2^i$  is a solution for  $i + 1$ . For  $i \leq 2$ , the proposition is easily checked.  $\square$

The first proof of this proposition was given by I. Shparlinski during the present Workshop.

Obviously, the congruence

$$x^2 \equiv 2^i - 7 \pmod{2^{i+2}}$$

has 4 roots. Denoting by  $a$  the one which lies in  $[0, 2^{i+1}]$ , they are

$$a, 2^{i+1} - a, 2^{i+1} + a, 2^{i+2} - a.$$

Now direct calculations lead to the solution of (6.2), giving the possible  $l$ . Then  $t$  is derived from (6.1).

**Theorem 6.1** *Linear PMC with  $m_0 = m_1 = m_2 = 1/j$ ,  $d = 1$  exist only for the following sets of parameters:*

$$l = (\gamma^2 2^{2i+2} \pm 2^{i+2} \gamma a + a^2 - 2^{i+1} + 7 - 2^{2i}) / 2^{i+2}$$

$$t = (2^i + 1 + 2l \pm \sqrt{(2^i + 1)^2 + 2^{i+2}l - 8}) / 2$$

$$n = t(2^i - 1) + l$$

$$k = n - i$$

$$j = (2^{i-1} - 1)t^2 + t(1 + l),$$

for  $\gamma \in \mathbf{Z}$ , provided  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ . □

## 6.2 The case $s = 2$

We have found the following *PMC* codes  $C$  in this case ( $d = s = \delta = 2$ ); see [8] for constructions.

$C$	$j$	$C^\perp$
[5, 1; 5]	$j = 1$	[5, 4; 2, 4]
[5, 2, 2]	$j = 2$	[5, 3; 2, 4]
[5, 3, 2]	$j = 4$	[5, 2; 2, 4]
[10, 7, 2]	$j = 7$	[10, 3; 4, 7]
[37, 32, 2]	$j = 22$	[37, 5; 16, 22]
[8282, 8269, 2]	$j = 4187$	[8282, 13; 4096, 4187]

The first is a classical perfect code. The notation  $[n, k; w_1, w_2, \dots]$  stands for an  $[n, k]$  code in which all nonzero weights are among  $w_1, w_2, \dots$ . In the above codes  $C^\perp$ , since  $s = 2$ , both weights are present. All the above codes  $C$  are *PMC* codes.

**Conjecture 6.1** *We conjecture the nonexistence of PMC with  $d = s = \delta = 2$  other than those in the table.*

## References

- [1] E. F. Assmus, Jr., H. F. Mattson, Jr., R. Turyn, "Cyclic codes," Final Report, Contract no. AF 19(604)-8516, AFCRL, April 28, 1966. Sylvania Applied Research Laboratory, Waltham, Mass. (Document no. AFCRL-66-348.)
- [2] L. A. Bassalygo, G. V. Zaitsev, V. A. Zinoviev, "On Uniformly Packed Codes," *Problems of Inform. Transmission*, vol. 10, no. 1, 1974, pp. 9-14.
- [3] L. A. Bassalygo, G. V. Zaitsev, V. A. Zinoviev, "Note on Uniformly Packed Codes," *Problems of Inform. Transmission*, vol. 13, no. 3, 1977, pp. 22-25.

- [4] A. R. Calderbank, J. M. Goethals, "On a Pair of Dual Subschemes of the Hamming Scheme  $M_n(q)$ ," *Europ. J. Combinatorics*, 6, 1985, pp. 133–147.
- [5] R. F. Clayton, "Multiple Packings and Coverings in Algebraic Coding Theory," Thesis, Univ. of California, Los Angeles, 1987.
- [6] G. D. Cohen, P. Frankl, "On tilings of the binary vector space," *Discrete Math.*, 31, 1980, pp.271-277.
- [7] G. D. Cohen, M. G. Karpovsky, H. F. Mattson, Jr., J. R. Schatz, "Covering Radius—Survey and Recent Results," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* IT-31, 1985, pp. 328–343.
- [8] G. D. Cohen, S. N. Litsyn, H. F. Mattson, Jr., "Binary Perfect Weighted Coverings," Sequences '91, Positano, 17–22 June 1991, *Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. To appear.
- [9] P. Delsarte, "Four Fundamental Parameters of a Code and Their Combinatorial Significance," *Information and Control*, vol. 23, no. 5, 1973, pp. 407–438.
- [10] J. M. Goethals, S. L. Snover, "Nearly Perfect Binary Codes," *Discrete Math.*, 3, 1972, pp. 65–88.
- [11] J. M. Goethals, H. C. A. van Tilborg, "Uniformly packed codes," *Philips Res. Repts* 30, 1975, pp. 9–36.
- [12] M. Karpovsky, "Weight distribution of translates, covering radius and perfect codes correcting errors of the given multiplicities," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* IT-27, 1981, pp. 462–472.
- [13] J. E. MacDonald, "Design methods for maximum minimum-distance error-correcting codes," *IBM J. Res. Devel.* vol. 4, 1960, pp. 43–57.
- [14] N. V. Semakov, V. A. Zinoviev, G. V. Zaitsev, "Uniformly Packed Codes," *Problems of Inform. Transmission*, vol. 7, 1971, no. 1, pp. 38–50.
- [15] Th. Skolem, P. Chowla, and D. J. Lewis, "The Diophantine equation  $2^{n-2} - 7 = x^2$  and related problems," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 10 , 1959, pp. 663–669.
- [16] G. J. M. van Wee, G. D. Cohen, S. N. Litsyn, "A note on Perfect Multiple Coverings of Hamming Spaces," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, IT – 37, no. 3, 1991, pp. 678–682.