Abstract

Even measured against the Middle East’s historically tumultuous dynamics, the dramatic political transformations witnessed in 2011 will shape global affairs for decades to come. The collapse of the ruling power structures in Tunisia, Egypt, Libya, and Yemen, as a result of people’s unprecedented street protests and the ongoing protests in Syria and Bahrain, were all unexpected events with global ramifications. In the midst of this turmoil with new national and international players and evolving political structures, Iran has attempted to use its soft power to exploit new opportunities and advance its narrow interests. The unpredictable outcome of these changes, coupled with a dearth of understanding about Iran’s soft power capabilities and its intent, have proven to be a difficult mix for Western, particularly American analysts, as they attempt to predict Iran’s future role in the region and the far-reaching implications of that role.

The authors of this article posit that regardless, of the eventual outcome of recent regional events, there should be little doubt that Iran will identify ways to take advantage of its soft power tools to productively serve its own interests. To that end, this article will show that Iran’s employment of soft power has been an intrinsic element of its current political system. It has become a veteran practitioner of these tactical tools, and public diplomacy continues to remain indispensable for bolstering its internal claims of legitimacy.

Key Words

Iran, Middle East, Islamic Revolution, soft power, narrative, storytelling, ‘Nezam’

‘Soft Power’ as Defined by Iranian Scholars

National exercise of soft power is thought to be one of the most effective tools available for propagating a country’s public diplomacy agenda. It can increase a country’s ability to influence others, strengthen international prestige, increases global interaction, and obtains better economic opportunities with an eye towards establishing and sustaining long-term relationships. When Joseph Nye first introduced “soft power” into the international relations lexicon, it was a reminder that hard power is not the only tool available to achieve national goals. Nye defined soft power as, “the ability to get what you want through attraction rather than coercion or payments. It arises from the attractiveness of a country’s culture, political ideals, and policies. When our policies are seen as legitimate in the the eyes of others, our soft power is enhanced.” The central tools of soft power are cultural values, ideology, being a model for emulation, and appealing to commonly shared values. According to Nye, exercising soft power requires (1) persuasion, or the ability to convince others by one’s arguments, and (2) attraction, the ability to pull others by one’s example. He states, “Soft power uses … an attraction to shared values and the justness and duty of contributing to the achievement of those values.”

The concept of soft power is not an exclusively Western academic exercise, and understanding its implementation by non-Western countries is particularly significant in effectively countering and responding to it. Hamid Mowlana, in his book, Global Information and World Communication: New Frontiers in International Relations, published in 1986, defined soft power as an implicit power and suggests that religion, ethics, beliefs, ideology, and science are its fountainhead. In referencing Mowlana’s definition, Manoscheh Mohammad, a former Iranian Deputy Foreign Minister for Education writes, “in soft power, mentality counts as the main basis upon which investments are made … attraction is being utilized in soft power to create common values.” Mohammad further states, “soft power is related to those capabilities and moral strengths that indirectly affect the others’ behaviors or interests through utilization of culture, ideals or moral values. Soft power is not political advertisement but comprises rational arguments and common values, and it influences public opinion outside and inside the country.” His perspective not only suggests that the relevance and utility of soft power is derived from a foundation of national character rather than merely being a tool in the service of national interest. It further posits that soft power “outshines and overcomes hard power in a sphere in which the power is derived from spiritual sources and mainly rooted in divine and religious faiths and beliefs.”

The domestic sources of foreign policy focus their attention on the degree to which the identities of actors are a foundation of national character rather than merely being a tool in the service of national interest. It further posits than viewing soft power merely as a mechanism for pursuing political or strategic national interest.

Soft Power as an Intrinsic and Indispensable Foundation for the ‘Nezam’

The 1979 Islamic Revolution in Iran fueled, mobilized, and directed a multi-faceted popular uprising into a unique theological structure. The success of Iran’s revolution was due in large part to the political sagacity, persuasive rhetoric, and creative narratives utilized by Ayatollah Khomeini who masterfully homerogenized opposition to the Shah’s regime by appealing to the foundational beliefs of the various Shi’a factions, nationalist parties, and leftist groups. The uncommonly multi-dimensional identity of the Iranian public not only encouraged Ayatollah Khomeini to skillfully approximate the many cultural, social, and political ideals of the Iranian people; he was also able to formulate a universally applicable model. He understood that a cultural identity that is broadly defined rather than narrowly parochial is a significant source of soft power. This effort is evident in the preferred slogans of the Revolution, which peppered Khomeini’s speeches as he lobbed for a “revolution without borders.” This vision of Khomeini’s revolution was aimed at increasing Iran’s popularity in the Middle East through the collective rejection of American and Israeli presence in the region and included explicit animosity towards Israel, dubbed the “Zionist colonizer,” to engender Shi’a solidarity with all “oppressed” Muslims. The foundation of these narratives was built on the theme of good resisting the oppression of evil.
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In reaction to the recent events of the Arab Spring, Supreme Leader Ayatollah Seyed Ali Khamenei has chosen to continue this narrative by describing Iran as the mother of an “Islamic Awakening” in the region. Echoing decade-old slogans, Khamenei, addressing a group of Iran’s Air Force commanders and officials on February 8, 2012, stated, “the Islamic establishment is moving towards a real, lasting, strong, and durable identity which is defendable in the face of aggressions.”18 In Khamenei’s view, these recent developments continue to serve as proof of the strength and resilience of the Iranian nation used by the Iranian regime have caught on in the region and those countries that followed the arrogant front are now standing beside the Iranian nation and pursuing their (Iranian nation’s) objectives and slogans.”19 He has emphasized that elections and popular votes underpin the framework of religious democracy, the main essence of which is Islam. Further, he claimed, “Those who think that democracy originated in the West are wrong, because, although democracies in the Islamic establishment and the West look the same on the surface, they are different.”20 In this worldviews, the framework of religious democracy is completely different than those of Western democracy, since the Western democracies are “oppressive.”

Regarding the current uprising in Syria, in a meeting with Turkish Prime Minister Recep Tayyip Erdogan, Khamenei has been cited as saying, “The Islamic Republic will defend Syria because of its support for21 the resistance front from the Zionist aggression and is a favorite supporter of Syria in international affairs.”22 As Hay Takehy writes in his book, Guardians of the Revolution published in 2009, “Ahmadinejad and the Iranian clerical elites…employ such rhetoric as a means of appealing to public opinion in an inflamed region.”23 Referring to Ahmadinejad he further writes, “[through] his use of Islamic discourse and his appeals to local grievances, Ahmadinejad has managed to leapfrog the sectarian divide and allow a Shi’A Persian country to capture the imagination of Sunni Arabs.”24

Pursuing this foreign policy agenda through the Islamic narrative in order to garner regional influence has served another important purpose for over thirty years. The skillful exercise of soft power to secure the Islamic Revolution’s external legitimacy has become necessary for the Nezam’s legitimacy to rule internally. However, as the ruling elite face a younger post-revolutionary generation far removed from the values of the 1979 revolution, they have relied on Iran’s international prominence to justify their continued monopoly on power. While Islamic doctrine is at the core of Iran’s narrative aimed at attaining legitimacy and influence in the region, it reflects only one facet of the Iranian public’s multi-dimensional identity, and although understanding the implication of the multiplicity of Iran’s identities requires its own separate discussion, at the very least it is worth a cursory glance here.

Using Soft Power and Dealing With Multi-dimensional Identities

The concept of identity comes from social psychology, where it refers to the images of individuality and distinctiveness (“selfhood”) held and projected by an actor and formed (and modified over time) through relations with significant “others.” Thus, the term, by convention, references mutually constructed and evolving images of self and other.

Iranian national identity is not an objective and static phenomenon. Due to Iran’s ancient history, and its multi-ethnic and multi-religious make-up, various ruling elites have emphasized different identities to bind the population together to form cohesiveness and legitimize their rule over this varied population. Throughout the twentieth century, Iran has been through a series of dramatic political events that have been instrumental in the articulation and continuous construction and reconstruction of its national identity. Such events in the modern era include the Constitutional Revolution of 1906, the rise of the Pahlavi Dynasty in the early twentieth century, the rise and the 1953 fall of Mohammad Mosadegh, the 1979 Islamic Revolution, and the eight-year Iran-Iraq War. As Ansari also states, “many of the political debates in modern Iran revolve around the competition between various definitions of Iranian nationalism and the determination to monopolize discourse by imposing an ‘authentic’
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Conclusion

As noted through the few examples related here, the Iranian state has become quite adept at using the soft power tools at its disposal to effectively manage its international affairs and even affect its domestic constituencies. We have shown that even preceding the Revolution and certainly during its existence, the use of soft power has been integral to both the state’s sense of identity and its survival. With such strong disincentives for failure, the Iranian state’s ability to influence regional events should not be underestimated or unexpected. Though there is little doubt that the Middle East will continue to see change, unless that change includes the Nezam ruling Iran, one thing will likely stay the same – Iran’s persistent and practiced effort to influence the region.


