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**Purpose:** Interphotoreceptor retinoid-binding protein (IRBP) is unusual for a lipid-binding protein in that its gene is expressed uniquely by cells of photoreceptor origin and consists of four homologous repeats, each coding for a module of ~300 amino acid residues. All-trans retinol binding domains, which appear to be present in each module, are composed of conserved hydrophobic regions [Baer et al, Exp Eye Res 1998; 66:249-262]. Here we investigate the role of highly conserved arginines contained in these regions.

**Methods:** To study the arginines in an individual module without the interference of ligand-binding activity elsewhere in the protein, we expressed in *E. coli* the fourth module of *Xenopus* IRBP by itself as a soluble thioredoxin fusion protein (X4IRBP). Arginines 1005, 1041, 1073 and 1122 were separately replaced by glutamine using PCR overlap extension mutagenesis. The glutamine substitutions were confirmed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. The binding of all-trans retinol and 9-(9-anthroyloxy)stearic acid (9-AS) to X4IRBP and each of the mutants was evaluated by fluorescence spectroscopy. Binding was followed by monitoring the enhancement of ligand fluorescence and the quenching of protein endogenous fluorescence. The ability of the recombinant proteins to protect all-trans retinol from oxidative degradation was evaluated by monitoring absorbance at 325 nm over time.

**Results:** The substitution of Gln for Arg¹⁰⁰⁵ about doubled the amount of ligand necessary to attain saturation and about doubled the level of fluorescence enhancement obtained at saturation for both all-trans retinol and 9-AS. Although there was not a significant change in the *Kₐ*, the substitution increased the calculated number of binding sites (N) from ~2 to ~4 per polypeptide. The other Arg->Gln mutants did not significantly change the *Kₐ* or N. None of the mutations compromised the ability of the module to protect all-trans retinol from degradation.

**Conclusions:** Our data suggest that the function of the conserved arginines in IRBP is fundamentally different from that of other retinoid-binding proteins. These residues do not appear to play a role in defining the specificity of the ligand-binding domain. Rather, Arg¹⁰⁰⁵ appears to play a role in defining the capacity of the domain. Our data suggest that the binding site consists of a single hydrophobic cavity promiscuous for fatty acids and all-trans retinol.

Hydrophobic ligand-binding proteins transport diverse and potentially toxic molecules needed for critical biological processes (e.g. [1]). Interphotoreceptor retinoid-binding protein (IRBP) mediates the transport of 11-cis retinaldehyde and all-trans retinol between retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and the photoreceptors (for reviews see [2-4]). IRBP provides a unique system to study how directional transport of different but structurally related ligands can be accomplished by the same protein.

IRBP is the most abundant soluble protein component of the extracellular material surrounding the photoreceptors and separating them from the RPE [5-11]. This material is known as the interphotoreceptor matrix. Unlike most retinoid-binding proteins present in a wide variety of tissues, IRBP expression is restricted to the retina and pineal gland [12-18]. It has also been shown that IRBP is expressed by the RPE in zebrafish [19]. IRBP is large (124 kDa in *Xenopus*) compared to other retinoid-binding proteins. Its large size is due to the fact that the IRBP gene is composed of multiple homologous repeats. Each repeat codes for a module of ~300 amino acid residues. Mammalian and amphibian IRBP's are composed of four repeats [21-24]. In contrast, teleost IRBP is composed of only two [25,26]. In all vertebrate classes examined to date, IRBP’s three introns are located in the repeat coding for the carboxy-terminal module (Figure 1A). This suggests that IRBP arose through the quadruplication of an ancestral gene composed of four exons [27,28].

Although it is not known how IRBP mediates the bi-directional transport of retinoids across the interphotoreceptor matrix, several important observations have been made. First, by binding retinoids, IRBP solubilizes and protects these molecules from isomeric and oxidative degradation [29]. Second, IRBP enhances the delivery of all-trans retinol from the rods to the RPE [30,31], and the transfer of 11-cisretinaldehyde from the RPE to the rods [31-35]. The mechanism by which IRBP promotes this bi-directional transport is not understood. A reduced affinity of 11-cis retinaldehyde for IRBP in the presence of docosahexaenoic acid may play a role in the delivery of this retinoid to the photoreceptors [36]. It also has been
postulated that IRBP functions by interacting with cell surface or matrix receptors [34,37].

Insights gained from phylogenetic alignments combined with recombinant protein expression technology are providing new information on the relationship between IRBP’s structure and its function. An emerging picture is that the protein’s biochemical [38-40] and physiological activity [41] is contained within each of the protein’s individual modules. This suggests that there could be advantages in performing site-directed mutagenesis studies in the isolated modules rather than in the full-length protein. In this way, the effect of specific substitutions on the ligand-binding properties of individual domains may be studied without the presence of binding sites in other modules. This could be especially helpful for binding studies employing fluorescence spectroscopy since nonequivalence of the binding sites and internal quenching can complicate the interpretation of such ligand-binding essays (see [42]).

We are using the African clawed frog (Xenopus laevis) because this animal has potential advantages for uncovering the relationship between the structure and function of IRBP. First, Xenopus IRBP has a 4-module structure similar to that of human IRBP [24]. Xenopus are easily cared for under different lighting conditions and their large inner segments facilitate morphological analysis. Furthermore, Xenopus eyes are metabolically active for extended periods. Unlike other amphibians and teleosts, the retina can be detached in both light- and dark-adapted animals. Remarkably, the detached retina may be re-constituted allowing the introduction of molecules into the adult subretinal space [41,43]. Molecules may also be introduced into the subretinal space of the embryonic Xenopus retina through optic vesicle injections [44,45]. Finally, the Xenopus retina is particularly amenable to transgenic technology [46,47].

The fourth module of Xenopus IRBP as well as regions of the module corresponding to its exon segments are readily expressed as soluble thioredoxin-fusion proteins [48]. We found that all-trans-retinol binds to two regions within the fourth IRBP module. One of these sites is localized to Exons (2+3), and the other to Exon 4. Exon 1 has no retinol-binding activity. The potential importance of Exons 2 through 4 in the formation of the retinoid-binding site(s) is emphasized by their similarity with the newly recognized family of C-terminal processing proteases (CtpAs) [49]. CtpAs, which have only been described in plants and prokaryotes, selectively degrades proteins with nonpolar C-termini. It is possible that IRBP’s hydrophobic ligand-binding sites are composed of domains derived from CtpAs.

CtpAs and IRBPs share three highly conserved hydrophobic regions [20,26,48,50]. IRBP Exons (2+3) contain two of the conserved hydrophobic segments, and Exon 4 contains one [48]. There is no significant similarity between the CtpAs and the Exon 1 region of module 4. In CtpAs, the conserved domains are responsible for recognition and cleavage of hydrophobic C-termini. Mutagenesis studies suggest that arginines within the conserved domains form salt bridges with aspartic acid residues critical to the recognition signal in the D1 precursor protein [51]. The fact that the arginine residues contained within the conserved IRBP domains are perfectly conserved between teleost, amphibian and mammalian IRBPs suggests that they may also be critical to the function of IRBP.

In lipocalins, mutagenesis and X-ray crystallographic studies have shown that arginine can confer specificity for retinoic and fatty acids by providing its guanidinium group to stabilize the carboxylate anion of these ligands [52-55]. Arginine has a similar role in the binding pocket of retinoic acid receptors [56,57]. The importance of arginines to retinoid-binding proteins is further emphasized by the recent finding that substitution of Gln for Arg150 in cellular retinaldehyde-binding protein (CRALBP) causes autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa [58]. This substitution in CRALBP appears to interfere with its ability to bind 11-cis retinaldehyde, presumably leading to disruption of vitamin A metabolism in this disease.

The function of the conserved IRBP arginines is not known. Here, we selected the four most conserved arginines within the fourth XenopusIRBP module for substitution studies. The position of each of these arginines (Arg1005, Arg1041, Arg1073 and Arg1122) is identified in Figure 1B. We replaced Gln for Arg at each of these sites, expressed each of the mutants in E. coli, and compared their ligand-binding properties for 9-(9-anthroyloxy) stearic acid (9-AS) and all-trans retinol.

METHODS

PCR site-directed mutagenesis, expression and purification: The fourth module of Xenopus IRBP (X4IRBP) and its mutants were expressed and purified as thiredoxin fusion proteins. The cDNA B1-B1 corresponding to the fourth module has been described [20] and used to express this module as polyhistidine [59] and thiredoxin fusion proteins [48]. This same cDNA was used to create arginine to glutamine substitutions at positions 1005, 1041, 1073 and 1122. These numbers correspond to the full-length Xenopus IRBP (Genbank accession number X95473). The mutations were introduced

Figure 1. Summary of IRBP gene structure and location of the Arg->Gln substitutions. (A) Map of the IRBP gene with exons represented as rectangles and introns as interrupted lines. The first exon codes for protein modules 1 through 3 and part of module 4. The remainder of the fourth module is composed of segments derived from exons 2 through 4. Each module consists of ~300 amino acid residues. (B) Similarity plot of the C-terminal modules of human [22], bovine [27] Xenopus [20] and zebrafish [26] IRBPs. The stippled regions under the plot have a similarity score > 1.0. The positions of the Arg->Gln substitutions presented in this paper are indicated. Portions of this figure have been modified from Baer et al. [48].
by PCR overlap extension mutagenesis [60]. The oligonucleotide primers and mutagenesis strategy are summarized in Figure 2A,B. All plasmid constructs were transformed into GI724 E. coli [61].

Descriptions of overlap extension PCR do not mention a common pitfall. PCR can introduce an adenosine nucleotide at the 3’ end of each strand produced. If allowed to remain, these “A overhangs” will be incorporated into the final PCR overlap extension product. The initial PCR product was therefore treated with T4 DNA polymerase to remove the A overhangs. Furthermore, the PCR primers were designed so that their 3’ end is 5’ to an adenosine. In this way, if an A overhang remained it would not cause a mutation. The sequence of each construct was confirmed by automated fluorescence DNA sequencing (Figure 2C).

Fermentations were carried out as previously described [48]. A 7-liter fermentor (Applikon, Foster City, CA) was used to grow the cells at 37 °C in induction media. After the cells reached an OD₅₅₀ of 0.5, the growth temperature was lowered to 30-35 °C before protein expression was commenced by the addition of tryptophan. The cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl), and ruptured with a French pressure cell. Protein purification was carried out at 4 °C in the presence of 1 mM phenylmethysulfonyl-fluoride, 1.4 µM pepstatin A, 0.3 µM aprotinin, and 2 µM leupeptin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). The lysate was cleared by centrifugation and treated with DNAse I (Sigma). The fusion proteins were precipitated from the supernatant with ammonium sulfate and further purified by ion exchange chromatography using a 200 mM to 850 mM NaCl linear gradient (Macro-Prep High Q Support, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Purity, which ranged from 85-96%, was determined by laser densitometry (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA). The concentration of recombinant protein was determined by absorbance spectroscopy and amino acid analysis.

Extinction coefficients were calculated from the transmittance activated dissociation mass spectrometry.

**RESULTS**

**Expression and purification:** Each of the Arg->Gln substitution mutants could be expressed in E. coli as a soluble thioredoxin fusion protein using conditions previously opti-
mized for X4IRBP [48]. The yield after purification was ~15 mg (320 nmoles) per liter of culture. There was no apparent difference in the chromatographic behavior, yield, or solubility of the mutants compared to that of X4IRBP.

The replacement of Gln for Arg at positions 1005 and 1122 was directly confirmed by LC-MS/MS. Tryptic peptides containing amino acid residues 1005 and 1122, could be extracted from in-gel tryptic digests and identified by their mass to charge ratio (Figure 3). The amino acid sequence of these peptides was determined by collision-activated dissociation mass spectrometry. In contrast, tryptic peptides containing the Arg1041->Gln and Arg1073->Gln substitutions could not be identified. However these peptides, which have a large predicted size, either could not be extracted from the acrylamide, or could not be eluted from the reversed phase column. Since tryptic digests of the wild type protein generate peptides with C-terminal Arg1041 and Arg1073, the absence of these peptides in the digests indicates that the desired substitutions were created.

**Binding of 9-AS:** The binding of 9-AS was monitored by following the enhancement of 9-AS fluorescence and quenching of protein endogenous fluorescence. In each experiment, titrations of X4IRBP were done along side that of the mutant. To confirm that the concentrations of X4IRBP and the mutants were the same, samples of the aliquots used in the fluorescence assays were run side by side on SDS-polyacrylamide gels. A representative set of gels is shown in Figure 4. Note that although each of the modules of IRBP are ~36 kDa in size, the fusion protein migrates with an Mr ~45 due to the presence of the thioredoxin fusion protein.

Compared to X4IRBP, the overall shape of the enhancement curves was not significantly changed by substituting Gln for Arg at positions 1041, 1073, and 1122 (Figure 5B-D). In contrast, although the initial slopes of the curves were similar for the Arg1005 ->Gln mutant and X4IRBP, this mutant required about twice as much 9-AS to reach saturation (Figure 5A). Furthermore, this mutant attained twice the level of fluorescence enhancement at saturation compared to X4IRBP (see below). We have seen a similar effect for the same substitution expressed as a polyhistidine fusion protein within inclusion bodies (data not illustrated). Thus, the effect is not dependent on whether the protein is expressed in an insoluble form requiring renaturation, or in a soluble form as a thioredoxin fusion protein.

The dissociation constants (K_d) of all four Arg->Gln mu-

---

Figure 3. Confirmation of Arg 1005 -> Gln substitution by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. This figure shows the amino acid sequence of the Arg1005->Gln thioredoxin fusion protein mutant. The exclamation mark (!) denotes the end of the thioredoxin fusion protein. The blue regions indicate the tryptic fragments that were identified by their mass to charge ratio. The amino acid sequences of these tryptic fragments were confirmed by collision-activated dissociation mass spectrometry. The asterisks (*) denote the location of the four arginines that were mutated: (Arg1005, Arg1041, Arg1073, and Arg1122). The underlined regions are highly conserved between the carboxy-terminal modules of IRBPs and ClpAs [48].

Figure 4. Coomassie blue stained SDS-10% polyacrylamide gels of purified recombinant X4IRBP and the four Arg->Gln mutants. In each panel X4IRBP was run along side one of the 4 Arg->Gln mutants (loading level = 5 µg). All of the mutants were expressed in E. coli as soluble thioredoxin fusion proteins with similar yields as X4IRBP. The proteins shown here were purified by ammonium sulfate precipitation followed by ion exchange chromatography. The purity ranged from 85 to 96% based on densitometric scans of the Coomassie blue stained gels. The concentration of the protein was determined by amino acid analysis.
tants were similar to that of X4IRBP which had a $K_d$ of $0.065\pm0.029$ µM (Table 1). The number of binding sites in each mutant was also similar to that of X4IRBP except for Arg$^{1005}\rightarrow$Gln. This mutant had a significantly enhanced 9-AS binding capacity; X4IRBP and Arg$^{1005}\rightarrow$Gln bound $1.88\pm0.06$ and $4.27\pm0.09$ equivalents respectively.

The rate of 9-AS binding to X4IRBP and Arg$^{1005}\rightarrow$Gln is compared in Figure 6. The half time for 9-AS association to X4IRBP and Arg$^{1005}\rightarrow$Gln was 1.2 and 4.2 minutes respectively. To investigate whether the calculated binding capacity of the Arg$^{1005}\rightarrow$Gln mutant could have been overestimated due to the slower association rate, we allowed more time for equilibrium to be reached during the titrations. This was done by extending the time interval between the addition of each aliquot of 9-AS from 1 min to 25 min for Arg$^{1005}\rightarrow$Gln and to 7 min for X4IRBP. To obtain more data points near saturation, we extended the titration to ~9 µM 9-AS compared to only ~4.5 µM in Figure 5. The titration is shown in Figure 7. Despite the additional time provided for equilibrium to be reached, the binding capacity of the Arg$^{1005}\rightarrow$Gln mutant remained high ($N = 5.00\pm0.29$). For X4IRBP 0.87\pm0.12 binding sites were calculated compared to 1.88\pm0.06 in Figure 5. This reduction may be more apparent than real because fewer points are present in the dynamic range of the titration. The above results suggest that the enhanced binding capacity of the Arg$^{1005}\rightarrow$Gln mutant for 9-AS can not be attributed to an artifact related to its apparent lower association rate.

9-AS binding was also followed by monitoring protein fluorescence quenching (Figure 8). Despite the offsets, which are due to small differences in protein concentration, the overall
The $K_d$'s for all four mutants were not significantly different to X4IRBP was similar to that reported by Baer et al. [48].

The $K_d$ for all-trans retinol binding site in X4IRBP. The $K_d$ for all-trans retinol of all mutants reported here is slightly less than $2.20 \pm 0.31 \mu M$. For Arg 1005 ->Gln mutant.

The association increased from 1.24 min for X4IRBP to 4.19 min for the Arg 1005 ->Gln mutant.

Figure 6. Normalized association curves of 9-AS with X4IRBP and the Arg1005 ->Gln mutant monitored by fluorescence over time. The association curve of X4IRBP (filled symbols) shows the increase in absolute fluorescence after addition of 0.92 $\mu M$ 9-AS to 1.3 $\mu M$ X4IRBP. The association curve of Arg1005 ->Gln (open symbols) shows the increase in absolute fluorescence after the addition of 0.96 $\mu M$ 9-AS to 0.92 $\mu M$ Arg1005->Gln. In both cases fluorescence was monitored at 440 nm upon excitation at 360 nm. The half-time for association increased from 1.24 min for X4IRBP to 4.19 min for the Arg1005 ->Gln mutant.

Figure 7. Normalized 9-AS fluorescence enhancement titrations comparing X4IRBP and the Arg1005 ->Gln mutant. The binding of 9-AS to 1.33 $\mu M$ of X4IRBP (filled symbols) and 0.92 $\mu M$ of the Arg1005 ->Gln mutant (open symbols) was monitored at 440 nm upon excitation at 360 nm. For X4IRBP, $N = 0.87 \pm 0.12$ and $K_d = 0.51 \pm 0.09 \mu M$. For Arg1005 ->Gln, $N = 5.00 \pm 0.29$ and $K_d = 0.05 \pm 0.07 \mu M$. Nonnormalized data is shown as an insert.

Table 1. Summary of binding parameters determined by fluorescence enhancement titrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>9-AS Enhancement*</th>
<th>All-trans retinol Enhancement#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X4IRBP</td>
<td>1.880.06 $\pm 0.06580.029 \mu M$</td>
<td>1.280.19 $\pm 0.720.19 \mu M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg1005-&gt;Gln</td>
<td>4.270.09 $\pm 0.140.06 \mu M$</td>
<td>2.950.15 $\pm 0.800.11 \mu M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg1041-&gt;Gln</td>
<td>2.100.17 $\pm 0.190.11 \mu M$</td>
<td>0.760.30 $\pm 3.360.31 \mu M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg1073-&gt;Gln</td>
<td>2.580.09 $\pm 0.08380.047 \mu M$</td>
<td>1.380.30 $\pm 0.920.25 \mu M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg1122-&gt;Gln</td>
<td>2.180.06 $\pm 0.110.04 \mu M$</td>
<td>1.150.21 $\pm 3.360.26 \mu M$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Monitored at 440 nm upon excitation at 360 nm.
# Monitored at 480 nm upon excitation at 330 nm.
Protein fluorescence quenching was also used to monitor the binding of all-trans retinol. The overall shape of the titration curves and level of quenching achieved at saturation were similar for each protein except for the Arg1005->Gln mutant (Figure 12). This mutant had a slightly greater level of quenching compared to that of X4IRBP (Figure 12A). This is reflected in its higher number of binding equivalents (N = 0.51±0.11, Arg1005->Gln; N = 0.15±0.08, X4IRBP). The Arg1005->Gln mutant also had a slightly increased affinity for all-trans retinol compared to that of X4IRBP and the other three Arg->Gln mutants (Table 2). There were no significant differences in the K$_d$'s of the other three mutants compared to that of X4IRBP (Table 2). In panel B, the offset in the titration curves was due to a small difference in protein concentration between X4IRBP and the mutant. N was less for titrations monitoring quenching compared to those monitoring enhancement probably due to internal quenching (see Discussion).

Protection of all-trans retinol from degradation in the presence of the recombinant IRBPs was evaluated by monitoring the absorbance of retinol at 325 nm as a function of time. The ability of X4IRBP and the mutants to protect all-trans retinol is compared in Figure 13. For a control the stability of all-trans retinol in PBS was assessed. Only ~60% of the original absorbance of all-trans retinol at 325 nm remained after 2 h in the absence of an IRBP. Compared to the PBS control, all four of the Arg->Gln substitution mutants and X4IRBP greatly reduced the rate of decline of absorbance at 325 nm.

Figure 8. Binding of 9-AS to X4IRBP and the four Arg->Gln mutants monitoring quenching of protein fluorescence (excitation 280 nm; emission 340 nm). Each panel is a separate experiment comparing a single Arg->Gln substitution (open symbols) to that of X4IRBP (filled symbols). Note that the shape of the quenching curves is similar for X4IRBP and the mutants. Protein concentration was 1 µM.
DISCUSSION

We have expressed X4IRBP and the Arg<sup>1005</sup>-&gt;Gln substitution mutant in two E. coli-based systems. When expressed with polyhistidine tags in the pRSET system, both proteins were insoluble, requiring renaturation from inclusion bodies. The remarkable feature of the thioredoxin fusion protein system was that the proteins were expressed primarily in a soluble form. Thioredoxin enhances the solubility of a variety of proteins in E. coli. It does this by facilitating the reduction of abnormal disulfide bonds in partly folded intermediates [67, 68]. The relative amount of protein in the soluble fraction can be further increased by optimizing the temperature of protein induction [48, 61]. For X4IRBP and the substitution mutants described here, lowering the incubation temperature from 37 °C to 30-32 °C before inducing expression significantly enhanced the yield of soluble protein. This phenomenon, which is not unique to thioredoxin fusion proteins, may be due to lower temperatures slowing the rate of protein production thus providing more time for limiting amounts of chaperone proteins to refold the overexpressed recombinant protein.

Mutagenesis studies typically rely only on DNA sequencing to confirm the desired mutation. LC-MS/MS allows for a higher level of confidence. We previously used LC-MS/MS to sequence peptides generated from in-gel trypsin digests of X4IRBP [48]. Each of these peptides could be matched to IRBP or thioredoxin with a 60% coverage of the fusion protein. In the present study, we found that the same peptides can

Figure 9. Binding of all-trans retinol to X4IRBP and the Arg-&gt;Gln mutants followed by monitoring ligand fluorescence enhancement upon binding (excitation 325 nm; emission 480 nm). Each panel is a separate experiment comparing a single Arg-&gt;Gln mutant (open symbols) to that of X4IRBP (filled symbols). The binding curves are similar except for the Arg<sup>1005</sup>-&gt;Gln mutant where more ligand was required to reach saturation and the level of fluorescence enhancement attained was higher (panel A). Protein concentration was 1 µM.
generally be isolated from the digest if the mutation has not disrupted the trypsin cleavage sites. Since trypsin cuts at arginine, the peptide profile was different for each of the mutants compared to X4IRBP. For Arg1005->Gln and Arg1122->Gln, a new peptide could be isolated that contained the desired amino acid substitution. For Arg1041->Gln and Arg1073->Gln the increased size of the resulting tryptic peptide precluded its direct characterization by LC-MS/MS.

Since the individual modules may represent functional units of IRBP each containing its own ligand-binding site(s) [38-40,48], studying the effect of mutations in isolated modules may be more useful than in the whole protein. Subtle effects of the mutation may be more easily identified without the presence of binding sites in other modules. Limitations of fluorescence spectroscopy may be circumvented by examining the modules individually. Since each module has different properties [38-40], the assumption of equivalent binding sites is not valid when studying the full-length IRBP. Furthermore, as pointed out by Ward [42]:

If the effect of ligand binding does affect the fluorescent properties of other binding sites, a nonlinear dependence of fluorescent change on fractional occupancy would result even for equivalent and independent binding sites. This type of effect could be caused by a conformational change on ligand binding affecting the fluorescent properties associated with consequent binding of ligand or via fluorescent energy transfer.

Such a mechanism accounts for the nonlinear quenching of the intrinsic protein fluorescence of lactate dehydrogenase on binding of NADH [69] and BSA on binding of 1-anilino-8-naphthalene sulfonate (reviewed in [42]). In the present study, such internal quenching could explain why N determined from titrations following quenching of intrinsic protein fluorescence was less than N determined from titrations monitoring ligand fluorescence enhancement. An alternative explanation is that not all the binding sites support protein quenching.

In a screen of patients with retinitis pigmentosa, McGee et al. [70] found several point mutations in human IRBP that did not segregate with disease. None of these mutations correspond to the substitutions made in the present study. Lin et al. [38] studied two amino acid substitutions in human IRBP: Arg725->Cys and Gly719->Ser. Although Arg725->Cys does not correspond directly to any of the mutations made here, this amino acid residue in the third module of human IRBP corresponds to Arg1005 in the fourth module of Xenopus IRBP. All mutations were introduced by PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis. The binding of all-trans retinol to X4IRBP, N = 1.50 ± 0.31 µM of the Arg1005->Gln mutant (open symbols) was monitored at 480 nm upon excitation at 330 nm. For Arg1005->Gln, N = 1.50±0.31 and Kd = 0.80±0.26 µM. For Arg1005->Gln, N = 3.67±0.49 and Kd = 0.93±0.26 µM. Nonnormalized data is shown as an insert.

The binding of 9-(9-anthroyloxy) stearic acid (9-AS) and all-trans retinol to X4IRBP and the Arg->Gln substitution mutants was followed by monitoring quenching of protein endogenous fluorescence. The number of ligand-binding sites (N) and the dissociation constant (Kd) were determined as described by Baer et al. [48].

Table 2. Summary of binding parameters determined by quenching titrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>9-AS Quenching*</th>
<th>All-trans retinol Quenching*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Kd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4IRBP</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg1005-&gt;Gln</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg1041-&gt;Gln</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg1073-&gt;Gln</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg1122-&gt;Gln</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Monitored at 340 nm upon excitation at 280 nm.

Figure 10. Normalized all-trans retinol fluorescence enhancement titrations showing direct comparison between X4IRBP and the Arg1005->Gln mutant. The binding of all-trans retinol to 1.33 µM of X4IRBP (filled symbols) and 0.92 µM of the Arg1005->Gln mutant (open symbols) was monitored at 480 nm upon excitation at 330 nm. For X4IRBP, N = 1.50±0.31 and Kd = 0.80±0.26 µM. For Arg1005->Gln, N = 3.67±0.49 and Kd = 0.93±0.26 µM. Nonnormalized data is shown as an insert.

Figure 11. Emission spectra of X4IRBP and the Arg1005->Gln mutant showing the quenching of intrinsic protein fluorescence upon binding all-trans retinol. Solutions of 1 µM mutant and X4IRBP were excited at 280 nm. Emission was measured from 300 nm to 540 nm in the absence of all-trans retinol (A) and then five min after adding 7.1 µM all-trans retinol (B). Note the quenching of protein fluorescence at 340 nm upon addition of all-trans retinol.
though we saw a significant effect on ligand binding caused by changing this residue to glutamine, Lin et al. [38] found little effect on ligand binding by substituting Cys for Arg at residue 725. Although species differences may account for this apparent discrepancy, it is also possible that the difference reflects the different residue that the Arg was changed to and/or the fact that Lin et al. [38] examined the full-length protein while we studied an individual module.

Our results suggest that none of the Arg->Gln substitutions resulted in a global disruption of the module’s structure. First, we found no reduction in the ability of any of the mutants to protect retinol from degradation, a property of IRBP that is regarded to be important in its function. Second, the substitutions did not appreciably change the $K_d$ for 9-AS or all-trans retinol binding. It should be pointed out that for the most accurate determination of $K_d$, titrations should be carried out at a protein concentration that is less than the $K_d$ (for discussion see [71]). Since the recombinant IRBPs were used at 1 $\mu$M, which is usually higher than the $K_d$s, we may have missed subtle changes caused by the substitutions. A more detailed analysis of the binding kinetics using stop-flow methodologies is underway in our laboratory.

In contrast to the lack of effect on $K_d$, substitution of Gln for Arg\textsuperscript{1005} increased the amount of all-trans retinol or 9-AS required to reach saturation. Furthermore, the substitution approximately doubled the amount of fluorescence enhancement at saturation. The simplest explanation for these findings is that this substitution increased the module’s ligand-binding capacity. The protein concentration used in these titrations was in an ideal range for evaluating the stoichiometry change. Fitting the ligand-binding equation, without any assumptions regarding how N or $K_d$ should change, resulted in an approximate doubling of N. This doubling is supported by the fact that the level of fluorescence enhancement also doubled. Although a change in fluorescence enhancement could be caused by altering the hydrophobicity of the ligand-binding domain.

Figure 12. Binding of all-trans retinol to X4IRBP and the Arg->Gln mutants monitoring quenching of protein fluorescence (excitation 280 nm; emission 340 nm). Each panel is a separate experiment comparing a single Arg->Gln mutant (open symbol) to that of X4IRBP (filled symbol).
the large change observed is difficult to explain by a local environmental change alone. The apparent reduced rate of 9-AS association was considered as an explanation for the high value of N. However, the same result was obtained when even more time was allowed for equilibrium to be reached. Underestimating the protein concentration would also result in overestimating N. Absorbance spectroscopy can lead to errors in determining protein concentration due to inaccuracies in the extinction coefficient and the fact that contaminants can absorb at 280 nm. For these reasons we also employed amino acid analysis to determine the concentration of the IRBP used in the ligand-binding assays. The values obtained by the two methods were similar. SDS-PAGE analysis confirmed that the protein concentrations used in the side by side titrations of wild type and mutant were very similar (Figure 4).

In many lipocalins, conserved arginines form electrostatic interactions with bound ligand. For example, in cellular retinoic acid-binding protein, myelin P2, muscle fatty acid-binding protein, and intestinal fatty acid-binding protein, the carboxylate of the bound retinoic acid or fatty acid coordinates with specific conserved Arg residues [52-55]. In contrast, we found that the K_d's for 9-AS and all-trans retinol binding were not significantly different for X4IRBP compared to that of any of the Arg->Gln mutants. The lack of notable ionic involvement of the conserved arginines studied here suggests that the mode of ligand binding in IRBP is different from that of the above lipocalins. This is consistent with the recent finding that the binding of retinol to native bovine IRBP is stabilized mainly by hydrophobic interactions [72].

Although our finding that substituting a Gln for an Arg can enhance binding capacity is unprecedented for a retinoid-binding protein or any lipocalin, a parallel may be drawn with the binding of retinol to native bovine IRBP.
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